

Comments for Planning Application 23/02758/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: 23/02758/FUL

Address: Land North Of 1 To 16 Sturt Avenue Camelsdale Linchmere West Sussex GU27 3SJ

Proposal: Erection of 9 no. dwellingshouses together with associated access, infrastructure, parking and landscaping.

Case Officer: Martin Mew

Customer Details

Name: Mr chris Medland

Address: 102 Camelsdale Road, Camelsdale, Haslemere, West Sussex GU27 3SL

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer made comments neither objecting to or supporting the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Although I support the contemporary appearance and principle of new homes on this site it is clear that the plot size and ratio of footprint to garden is incongruous to the area - the garden are not large enough for family houses and there are arguably too many houses and not enough variety of sizes. A large area of the site is taken up with car parking and garaging - there must be a better way of dealing with parking.

Equally 18 or more cars per day up and down sturt avenue and the moorfiled road (which is single file) junction with camelsdale road is problematic to say the least. County Highways will need to do something with that junction to increase capacity, especially given the rear entrance to camelsdale school and safety of pedestrians. Flooding is clearly an issue and the EA maps are due to be updated next year, the ground here is wet nearly all year, the previous raised ground floor solution seemed more resilient.

The, almost mock Le Corbusier, style of the houses although clunky in places is encouraged as long as the details follow through with the promise - it would be easy for the details to be cheapened during construction and the modernist qualities lost and just a boxy impression of a better scheme be the end result. Planning conditions on the details must be seen through.

Given the tree coverage I doubt the usefulness of the PV's. Air source or ground source heat pumps are needed.

All in all, there are too many houses, the gardens are too small, and the design does not create adequate resilience to the heightening flood risks associated with that site. It will make access along Moorfield even more dangerous than it currently is - its overdevelopment. Come back with 5

or 6 houses in a similar vein and it could be good.