

Angus Council

Application Number:	23/00082/FULL
Description of Development:	Change of use from police station to holiday accommodation and alterations to dwellinghouse
Site Address:	49 And 49B Gardyne Street Friockheim Arbroath DD11 4SP
Grid Ref:	359623 : 749718
Applicant Name:	Mrs Gillian Barclay

Report of Handling

The application has not been subject of variation.

Publicity

The application was subject to normal neighbour notification procedures.

The application was advertised in the Dundee Courier on 3 March 2023 for the following reasons:

- Neighbouring Land with No Premises

The nature of the proposal did not require a site notice to be posted.

Planning History

Planning application 21/00883/PPPL for 'Planning permission in principle for the erection of a dwellinghouse' was determined as approved subject to conditions on the 3rd March 2022.

Applicant's Case

No documents have been submitted in support of the proposal.

Consultations

Community Council - There was no response from this consultee at the time of report preparation.

Roads (Traffic) - has confirmed no objection.

Scottish Water - There was no response from this consultee at the time of report preparation.

Aberdeenshire Council Archaeology Service - has confirmed no objection.

Representations

There were no letters of representation.

Development Plan Policies

NPF4 – national planning policies

Policy 1 Tackling the climate and nature crises
Policy 2 Climate mitigation and adaptation
Policy 3 Biodiversity

Policy 4 Natural places
Policy 7 Historic assets and places
Policy 9 Brownfield, vacant and derelict land and empty buildings
Policy 12 Zero waste
Policy 13 Sustainable transport
Policy 14 Design, quality and place
Policy 18 Infrastructure first
Policy 23 Health and safety
Policy 29 Rural development
Policy 30 Tourism

Angus Local Development Plan 2016

Policy DS1 : Development Boundaries and Priorities
Policy DS3 : Design Quality and Placemaking
Policy DS4 : Amenity
Policy TC4 : Householder / Domestic Development
Policy TC8 : Community Facilities and Services
Policy TC16 : Tourism Development
Policy PV8 : Built and Cultural Heritage
Policy PV18 : Waste Management in New Development

The full text of the relevant development plan policies can be viewed at Appendix 1 to this report.

Assessment

Sections 25 and 37(2) of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 require that planning decisions be made in accordance with the development plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

In this case the development plan comprises: -

- National Planning Framework 4 (NPF4) (Adopted 2023)
- Angus Local Development Plan (ALDP) (Adopted 2016)

The development plan policies relevant to the determination of the planning application are reproduced at Appendix 1 and have been taken into account in preparing this report.

The ALDP was adopted in September 2016 while NPF4 was adopted in February 2023. Planning legislation indicates that where there is any incompatibility between the provision of the national planning framework and the provision of a local development plan, whichever of them is the later in date is to prevail.

The site is located in the development boundary for Friockheim and is not allocated or otherwise identified for development in the ALDP. Policy DS1 in the ALDP states that proposals on sites not allocated or otherwise identified for development, but within development boundaries will be supported where they are of an appropriate scale and nature and are in accordance with relevant policies of the ALDP.

Policy 1 in NPF4 states that when considering all development proposals significant weight will be given to the global climate and nature crises. Both NPF4 and the ALDP seek to encourage the reuse of brownfield land and buildings to help reduce the need for greenfield development. Policy DS1 in the ALDP states that in all locations, proposals that re-use or make better use of vacant, derelict or under-used brownfield land or buildings will be supported where they are in accordance with relevant policies of the ALDP. Policy 9 in NPF4 states that development proposals for the reuse of existing buildings will be supported, taking into account their suitability for conversion to other uses.

Policy TC8 in the ALDP states that the council will seek to safeguard rural services that serve a valuable local community function. It also states that proposals for alternative uses will only be acceptable in limited circumstances.

Policy 29 in NPF4 states that development proposals that contribute to the viability, sustainability and diversity of rural communities and local rural economy will be supported, including reuse of a redundant or unused building. It also states that development proposals in rural areas should be suitably scaled, sited and designed to be in keeping with the character of the area.

Policy 30 in NPF4 seeks to encourage, promote and facilitate sustainable tourism development which benefits local people, is consistent with our net zero and nature commitments, and inspires people to visit Scotland. It also states that development proposals for the reuse of existing buildings for short term holiday letting will not be supported where the proposal will result in an unacceptable impact on local amenity or the character of a neighbourhood or area; or the loss of residential accommodation where such loss is not outweighed by demonstrable local economic benefits. Policy TC16 in the ALDP states that proposals for new or improved tourism related facilities and tourist accommodation will be directed to sites within development boundaries. Such facilities will be supported in these locations where the development is of an appropriate scale and nature and is in keeping with the townscape and pattern of development. It also states that occupancy conditions to prevent tourist accommodation being occupied as permanent residential accommodation will be attached.

Policy TC4 in the ALDP states that proposals for householder development (including alterations to houses) will be supported where the siting, design, scale or massing of the proposal does not: -

1. adversely affect the residential amenity enjoyed by the house or surrounding domestic properties including, in the case of microgeneration, through noise or shadow flicker;
2. detrimentally affect the character and/or appearance of the building, site or surrounding area; and
3. result in the overdevelopment of the plot or a loss of garden ground, parking or bin storage.

Policy 16 in NPF4 identifies similar considerations regarding householder development proposals to those that are identified above in Policy TC4 in the ALDP.

There are other relevant considerations set out in NPF4 and the ALDP, but in summary these relate to the locational suitability of the proposal; the scale and nature of the proposal; and impacts of the proposal on amenity, the character and appearance of the dwellinghouse or wider area, the built, cultural and natural environment, road safety and infrastructure.

Information submitted in support of planning application 21/00883/PPPL identified that the police station has been sold to a private individual and the service provided by the police will continue in the area but there will no longer be a base in Friockheim. The land ownership certificate submitted in support of the proposal confirms that the applicant now owns the police station. The loss of the police station will not take away from the provision of the service. The proposal would not raise any significant tension with the aims of Policy TC8 in the ALDP.

The site is located in the development boundary where proposals for new tourist accommodation are directed to by Policy TC16 in the ALDP. The site is located in a predominantly residential area and having regard to the nature of the proposed use it is considered to be compatible with land uses in the surrounding area. The proposal would increase the opportunity for visitors to experience and enjoy Angus whilst also likely contributing to the local economy. The reuse of the redundant police station building would not result in the loss of mainstream residential accommodation. The proposal would not raise any significant tension with the aims of Policy 30 in NPF4 or Policy TC16 in the ALDP. In accordance with Policy TC16 in the ALDP, a condition is attached which would prevent the property from being used as a permanent or principal residence.

The scale and nature of the proposed use is in keeping with the townscape and pattern of development in the surrounding area. The proposal would only require minor external alterations to the property which ensures that it would remain in keeping with the townscape and pattern of development in the surrounding area.

The property would have 2 bedrooms and a curtilage to the north and south. The property would have a private vehicular access, 2 vehicular parking spaces and vehicular turning arrangements in the curtilage to the south. The council's roads service has confirmed no objection in relation to road traffic and

pedestrian safety. The proposal would also provide suitable provision for refuse collection/storage and recycling in the curtilage to the north. There are neighbouring properties outwith the control of the applicant located to the north, east and west of the property. Having regard to the nature, scale and location of the proposal, it would be unlikely to cause a significant adverse impact upon the amenity of neighbouring properties.

With regards to the proposed alterations to the dwellinghouse, the scale and nature of the proposal would not give rise to unacceptable impacts. The relationship between the proposal with neighbouring properties would not cause a significant adverse impact upon their amenity having regard to the council guidance contained in published planning advice notes. The proposal would not cause a significant adverse impact upon the character and appearance of the dwellinghouse or the wider area. The proposal would not result in overdevelopment of the plot or unacceptable loss of curtilage, vehicular parking or provision for refuse collection/storage and recycling. The proposal would be broadly compatible with relevant council guidance contained in published planning advice notes.

The site is not subject of any built, cultural or natural heritage designation and there is no evidence to suggest that the proposal would result in unacceptable direct or indirect impacts on the built, cultural or natural environment. The site is located in an area of land which may be of potential archaeological interest as it contains a standing structure (part of Friockheim). The council's archaeology service has confirmed no objection.

In respect of policies 1, 2 and 3 in NPF4, the proposal would reuse an existing building which reduces the need for greenfield development. The proposed use would generate greenhouse gas emissions but that would be the case with any proposal of this nature. The proposal generates traffic but not at a level which results in unacceptable impacts upon road traffic and pedestrian safety. The proposal has a neutral impact on biodiversity and the nature and scale of the proposal is such that biodiversity enhancement is not required. In circumstances where the proposal generally accords with detailed policies and does not give rise to unacceptable impacts, it is compatible with the general and overarching policies in NPF4.

The proposal does not give rise to any significant issues in terms of other development plan policy. The proposal in overall terms is compatible with the development plan.

In conclusion, the proposal is of a scale and nature appropriate to its location. The proposal is compatible with the relevant policies of the development plan and council guidance contained in published planning advice notes subject to conditions. There are no material planning considerations which would justify the refusal of planning permission.

Human Rights Implications

The decision to grant permission/consent, subject to conditions, has potential implications for neighbours in terms of alleged interference with privacy, home or family life (Article 8) and peaceful enjoyment of their possessions (First Protocol, Article 1). For the reasons referred to elsewhere in this report justifying this decision in planning terms, it is considered that any actual or apprehended infringement of such Convention Rights, is justified. The conditions constitute a justified and proportional control of the use of the property in accordance with the general interest and have regard to the necessary balance of the applicant's freedom to enjoy his property against the public interest and the freedom of others to enjoy neighbouring property/home life/privacy without undue interference.

Decision

The application is approved subject to conditions

Reason(s) for Decision:

1. The proposal is in accordance with the development plan, subject to conditions, as it is compatible with the locational criteria identified in the plan. The scale and nature of the proposal is acceptable and it would not give rise to unacceptable impacts on amenity, the character and appearance of the dwellinghouse or wider area and does not result in overdevelopment of the plot or unacceptable loss of curtilage, vehicular parking or provision for refuse collection/storage and recycling. The proposal

would not give rise to unacceptable impacts on the built, cultural and natural environment, road safety or infrastructure. There are no material considerations that justify refusal of planning permission contrary to the provisions of the development plan.

Conditions:

1. The development to which this permission relates must be begun not later than the expiration of three years from the date of its grant.

Reason:

To clarify the duration of this permission in accordance with the requirements of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 (as amended) and to ensure that it will lapse if not implemented within that period.

2. The tourist accommodation hereby approved shall be used as temporary holiday accommodation only and shall not be occupied as a place of permanent or principal residence. Specifically, the accommodation shall not be occupied by the same individual or group of individuals for a period exceeding a total of 12 weeks in any 26 week period. The operator of the site shall maintain a register of guests (including the name, address, dates of arrival and departure) of those staying at the site. On request, this guest register shall be made available to the council as planning authority for inspection.

Reason:

To ensure that the tourist accommodation is used for holiday purposes only in accordance with the detail and policy framework within which it has been determined to be acceptable.

Notes:

Case Officer: Walter Wyllie

Date: 31 May 2023

Appendix 1 - Development Plan Policies

NPF4 – national planning policies

Policy 1 Tackling the climate and nature crises

When considering all development proposals significant weight will be given to the global climate and nature crises.

Policy 2 Climate mitigation and adaptation

- a) Development proposals will be sited and designed to minimise lifecycle greenhouse gas emissions as far as possible.
- b) Development proposals will be sited and designed to adapt to current and future risks from climate change.
- c) Development proposals to retrofit measures to existing developments that reduce emissions or support adaptation to climate change will be supported.

Policy 3 Biodiversity

a) Development proposals will contribute to the enhancement of biodiversity, including where relevant, restoring degraded habitats and building and strengthening nature networks and the connections between them. Proposals should also integrate nature-based solutions, where possible.

b) Development proposals for national or major development, or for development that requires an Environmental Impact Assessment will only be supported where it can be demonstrated that the proposal will conserve, restore and enhance biodiversity, including nature networks so they are in a demonstrably better state than without intervention. This will include future management. To inform this, best practice assessment methods should be used. Proposals within these categories will demonstrate how they have met all of the following criteria:

- i. the proposal is based on an understanding of the existing characteristics of the site and its local, regional and national ecological context prior to development, including the presence of any irreplaceable

habitats;

- ii. wherever feasible, nature-based solutions have been integrated and made best use of;
- iii. an assessment of potential negative effects which should be fully mitigated in line with the mitigation hierarchy prior to identifying enhancements;
- iv. significant biodiversity enhancements are provided, in addition to any proposed mitigation. This should include nature networks, linking to and strengthening habitat connectivity within and beyond the development, secured within a reasonable timescale and with reasonable certainty. Management arrangements for their long- term retention and monitoring should be included, wherever appropriate; and
- v. local community benefits of the biodiversity and/or nature networks have been considered.

c) Proposals for local development will include appropriate measures to conserve, restore and enhance biodiversity, in accordance with national and local guidance. Measures should be proportionate to the nature and scale of development. Applications for individual householder development, or which fall within scope of (b) above, are excluded from this requirement.

d) Any potential adverse impacts, including cumulative impacts, of development proposals on biodiversity, nature networks and the natural environment will be minimised through careful planning and design. This will take into account the need to reverse biodiversity loss, safeguard the ecosystem services that the natural environment provides, and build resilience by enhancing nature networks and maximising the potential for restoration.

Policy NPF4 : Policy 4 Natural places

a) Development proposals which by virtue of type, location or scale will have an unacceptable impact on the natural environment, will not be supported.

b) Development proposals that are likely to have a significant effect on an existing or proposed European site (Special Area of Conservation or Special Protection Areas) and are not directly connected with or necessary to their conservation management are required to be subject to an "appropriate assessment" of the implications for the conservation objectives.

c) Development proposals that will affect a National Park, National Scenic Area, Site of Special Scientific Interest or a National Nature Reserve will only be supported where:

- i. The objectives of designation and the overall integrity of the areas will not be compromised; or
- ii. Any significant adverse effects on the qualities for which the area has been designated are clearly outweighed by social, environmental or economic benefits of national importance.

All Ramsar sites are also European sites and/ or Sites of Special Scientific Interest and are extended protection under the relevant statutory regimes.

d) Development proposals that affect a site designated as a local nature conservation site or landscape area in the LDP will only be supported where:

- i. Development will not have significant adverse effects on the integrity of the area or the qualities for which it has been identified; or
- ii. Any significant adverse effects on the integrity of the area are clearly outweighed by social, environmental or economic benefits of at least local importance.

e) The precautionary principle will be applied in accordance with relevant legislation and Scottish Government guidance.

f) Development proposals that are likely to have an adverse effect on species protected by legislation will only be supported where the proposal meets the relevant statutory tests. If there is reasonable evidence to suggest that a protected species is present on a site or may be affected by a proposed development, steps must be taken to establish its presence. The level of protection required by legislation must be factored into the planning and design of development, and potential impacts must be fully considered prior to the determination of any application.

g) Development proposals in areas identified as wild land in the Nature Scot Wild Land Areas map will only be supported where the proposal:

- i) will support meeting renewable energy targets; or,

ii) is for small scale development directly linked to a rural business or croft, or is required to support a fragile community in a rural area.

All such proposals must be accompanied by a wild land impact assessment which sets out how design, siting, or other mitigation measures have been and will be used to minimise significant impacts on the qualities of the wild land, as well as any management and monitoring arrangements where appropriate. Buffer zones around wild land will not be applied, and effects of development outwith wild land areas will not be a significant consideration.

Policy 7 Historic assets and places

a) Development proposals with a potentially significant impact on historic assets or places will be accompanied by an assessment which is based on an understanding of the cultural significance of the historic asset and/or place. The assessment should identify the likely visual or physical impact of any proposals for change, including cumulative effects and provide a sound basis for managing the impacts of change.

Proposals should also be informed by national policy and guidance on managing change in the historic environment, and information held within Historic Environment Records.

b) Development proposals for the demolition of listed buildings will not be supported unless it has been demonstrated that there are exceptional circumstances and that all reasonable efforts have been made to retain, reuse and/or adapt the listed building. Considerations include whether the:

- i. building is no longer of special interest;
- ii. building is incapable of physical repair and re-use as verified through a detailed structural condition survey report;
- iii. repair of the building is not economically viable and there has been adequate marketing for existing and/or new uses at a price reflecting its location and condition for a reasonable period to attract interest from potential restoring purchasers; or
- iv. demolition of the building is essential to delivering significant benefits to economic growth or the wider community.

c) Development proposals for the reuse, alteration or extension of a listed building will only be supported where they will preserve its character, special architectural or historic interest and setting. Development proposals affecting the setting of a listed building should preserve its character, and its special architectural or historic interest.

d) Development proposals in or affecting conservation areas will only be supported where the character and appearance of the conservation area and its setting is preserved or enhanced. Relevant considerations include the:

- i. architectural and historic character of the area;
- ii. existing density, built form and layout; and
- iii. context and siting, quality of design and suitable materials.

e) Development proposals in conservation areas will ensure that existing natural and built features which contribute to the character of the conservation area and its setting, including structures, boundary walls, railings, trees and hedges, are retained.

f) Demolition of buildings in a conservation area which make a positive contribution to its character will only be supported where it has been demonstrated that:

- i. reasonable efforts have been made to retain, repair and reuse the building;
- ii. the building is of little townscape value;
- iii. the structural condition of the building prevents its retention at a reasonable cost; or
- iv. the form or location of the building makes its reuse extremely difficult.

g) Where demolition within a conservation area is to be followed by redevelopment, consent to demolish will only be supported when an acceptable design, layout and materials are being used for the replacement development.

h) Development proposals affecting scheduled monuments will only be supported where:

- i. direct impacts on the scheduled monument are avoided;
 - ii. significant adverse impacts on the integrity of the setting of a scheduled monument are avoided;
 - or
 - iii. exceptional circumstances have been demonstrated to justify the impact on a scheduled monument and its setting and impacts on the monument or its setting have been minimised.
- i) Development proposals affecting nationally important Gardens and Designed Landscapes will be supported where they protect, preserve or enhance their cultural significance, character and integrity and where proposals will not significantly impact on important views to, from and within the site, or its setting.
 - j) Development proposals affecting nationally important Historic Battlefields will only be supported where they protect and, where appropriate, enhance their cultural significance, key landscape characteristics, physical remains and special qualities.
 - k) Development proposals at the coast edge or that extend offshore will only be supported where proposals do not significantly hinder the preservation objectives of Historic Marine Protected Areas.
 - l) Development proposals affecting a World Heritage Site or its setting will only be supported where their Outstanding Universal Value is protected and preserved.
 - m) Development proposals which sensitively repair, enhance and bring historic buildings, as identified as being at risk locally or on the national Buildings at Risk Register, back into beneficial use will be supported.
 - n) Enabling development for historic environment assets or places that would otherwise be unacceptable in planning terms, will only be supported when it has been demonstrated that the enabling development proposed is:
 - i. essential to secure the future of an historic environment asset or place which is at risk of serious deterioration or loss; and
 - ii. the minimum necessary to secure the restoration, adaptation and long-term future of the historic environment asset or place.

The beneficial outcomes for the historic environment asset or place should be secured early in the phasing of the development, and will be ensured through the use of conditions and/or legal agreements.

- o) Non-designated historic environment assets, places and their setting should be protected and preserved in situ wherever feasible. Where there is potential for non-designated buried archaeological remains to exist below a site, developers will provide an evaluation of the archaeological resource at an early stage so that planning authorities can assess impacts. Historic buildings may also have archaeological significance which is not understood and may require assessment.

Where impacts cannot be avoided they should be minimised. Where it has been demonstrated that avoidance or retention is not possible, excavation, recording, analysis, archiving, publication and activities to provide public benefit may be required through the use of conditions or legal/planning obligations.

When new archaeological discoveries are made during the course of development works, they must be reported to the planning authority to enable agreement on appropriate inspection, recording and mitigation measures.

Policy 9 Brownfield, vacant and derelict land and empty buildings

- a) Development proposals that will result in the sustainable reuse of brownfield land including vacant and derelict land and buildings, whether permanent or temporary, will be supported. In determining whether the reuse is sustainable, the biodiversity value of brownfield land which has naturalised should be taken into account.
- b) Proposals on greenfield sites will not be supported unless the site has been allocated for development or the proposal is explicitly supported by policies in the LDP.
- c) Where land is known or suspected to be unstable or contaminated, development proposals will demonstrate that the land is, or can be made, safe and suitable for the proposed new use.
- d) Development proposals for the reuse of existing buildings will be supported, taking into account

their suitability for conversion to other uses. Given the need to conserve embodied energy, demolition will be regarded as the least preferred option.

Policy 12 Zero waste

- a) Development proposals will seek to reduce, reuse, or recycle materials in line with the waste hierarchy.
- b) Development proposals will be supported where they:
 - i. reuse existing buildings and infrastructure;
 - ii. minimise demolition and salvage materials for reuse;
 - iii. minimise waste, reduce pressure on virgin resources and enable building materials, components and products to be disassembled, and reused at the end of their useful life;
 - iv. use materials with the lowest forms of embodied emissions, such as recycled and natural construction materials;
 - v. use materials that are suitable for reuse with minimal reprocessing.
- c) Development proposals that are likely to generate waste when operational, including residential, commercial, and industrial properties, will set out how much waste the proposal is expected to generate and how it will be managed including:
 - i. provision to maximise waste reduction and waste separation at source, and
 - ii. measures to minimise the cross-contamination of materials, through appropriate segregation and storage of waste; convenient access for the collection of waste; and recycling and localised waste management facilities.
- d) Development proposals for waste infrastructure and facilities (except landfill and energy from waste facilities) will be only supported where:
 - i. there are no unacceptable impacts (including cumulative) on the residential amenity of nearby dwellings, local communities; the transport network; and natural and historic environment assets;
 - ii. environmental (including cumulative) impacts relating to noise, dust, smells, pest control and pollution of land, air and water are acceptable;
 - iii. any greenhouse gas emissions resulting from the processing and transportation of waste to and from the facility are minimised;
 - iv. an adequate buffer zone between sites and sensitive uses such as homes is provided taking account of the various environmental effects likely to arise;
 - v. a restoration and aftercare scheme (including appropriate financial mechanisms) is provided and agreed to ensure the site is restored;
 - vi. consideration has been given to co-location with end users of outputs.
- e) Development proposals for new or extended landfill sites will only be supported if:
 - i. there is demonstrable need for additional landfill capacity taking into account Scottish Government objectives on waste management; and
 - ii. waste heat and/or electricity generation is included. Where this is considered impractical, evidence and justification will require to be provided.
- f) Proposals for the capture, distribution or use of gases captured from landfill sites or waste water treatment plant will be supported.
- g) Development proposals for energy-from-waste facilities will not be supported except under limited circumstances where a national or local need has been sufficiently demonstrated (e.g. in terms of capacity need or carbon benefits) as part of a strategic approach to residual waste management and where the proposal:
 - i. is consistent with climate change mitigation targets and in line with circular economy principles;
 - ii. can demonstrate that a functional heat network can be created and provided within the site for appropriate infrastructure to allow a heat network to be developed and potential local consumers have been identified;
 - iii. is supported by a heat and power plan, which demonstrates how energy recovered from the development would be used to provide electricity and heat and where consideration is given to methods to reduce carbon emissions of the facility (for example through carbon capture and storage)

- iv. complies with relevant guidelines published by Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA); and
- v. has supplied an acceptable decarbonisation strategy aligned with Scottish Government decarbonisation goals.

Policy 13 Sustainable transport

- a) Proposals to improve, enhance or provide active travel infrastructure, public transport infrastructure or multi-modal hubs will be supported. This includes proposals:
 - i. for electric vehicle charging infrastructure and electric vehicle forecourts, especially where fuelled by renewable energy.
 - ii. which support a mode shift of freight from road to more sustainable modes, including last-mile delivery.
 - iii. that build in resilience to the effects of climate change and where appropriate incorporate blue and green infrastructure and nature rich habitats (such as natural planting or water systems).
- b) Development proposals will be supported where it can be demonstrated that the transport requirements generated have been considered in line with the sustainable travel and investment hierarchies and where appropriate they:
 - i. Provide direct, easy, segregated and safe links to local facilities via walking, wheeling and cycling networks before occupation;
 - ii. Will be accessible by public transport, ideally supporting the use of existing services;
 - iii. Integrate transport modes;
 - iv. Provide low or zero-emission vehicle and cycle charging points in safe and convenient locations, in alignment with building standards;
 - v. Supply safe, secure and convenient cycle parking to meet the needs of users and which is more conveniently located than car parking;
 - vi. Are designed to incorporate safety measures including safe crossings for walking and wheeling and reducing the number and speed of vehicles;
 - vii. Have taken into account, at the earliest stage of design, the transport needs of diverse groups including users with protected characteristics to ensure the safety, ease and needs of all users; and
 - viii. Adequately mitigate any impact on local public access routes.
- c) Where a development proposal will generate a significant increase in the number of person trips, a transport assessment will be required to be undertaken in accordance with the relevant guidance.
- d) Development proposals for significant travel generating uses will not be supported in locations which would increase reliance on the private car, taking into account the specific characteristics of the area.
- e) Development proposals which are ambitious in terms of low/no car parking will be supported, particularly in urban locations that are well-served by sustainable transport modes and where they do not create barriers to access by disabled people.
- f) Development proposals for significant travel generating uses, or smaller-scale developments where it is important to monitor travel patterns resulting from the development, will only be supported if they are accompanied by a Travel Plan with supporting planning conditions/obligations. Travel plans should set out clear arrangements for delivering against targets, as well as monitoring and evaluation.
- g) Development proposals that have the potential to affect the operation and safety of the Strategic Transport Network will be fully assessed to determine their impact. Where it has been demonstrated that existing infrastructure does not have the capacity to accommodate a development without adverse impacts on safety or unacceptable impacts on operational performance, the cost of the mitigation measures required to ensure the continued safe and effective operation of the network should be met by the developer.

While new junctions on trunk roads are not normally acceptable, the case for a new junction will be considered by Transport Scotland where significant economic or regeneration benefits can be demonstrated. New junctions will only be considered if they are designed in accordance with relevant guidance and where there will be no adverse impact on road safety or operational performance.

Policy 14 Design, quality and place

a) Development proposals will be designed to improve the quality of an area whether in urban or rural locations and regardless of scale.

b) Development proposals will be supported where they are consistent with the six qualities of successful places:

Healthy: Supporting the prioritisation of women's safety and improving physical and mental health.

Pleasant: Supporting attractive natural and built spaces.

Connected: Supporting well connected networks that make moving around easy and reduce car dependency

Distinctive: Supporting attention to detail of local architectural styles and natural landscapes to be interpreted, literally or creatively, into designs to reinforce identity.

Sustainable: Supporting the efficient use of resources that will allow people to live, play, work and stay in their area, ensuring climate resilience, and integrating nature positive, biodiversity solutions.

Adaptable: Supporting commitment to investing in the long-term value of buildings, streets and spaces by allowing for flexibility so that they can be changed quickly to accommodate different uses as well as maintained over time.

Further details on delivering the six qualities of successful places are set out in Annex D.

c) Development proposals that are poorly designed, detrimental to the amenity of the surrounding area or inconsistent with the six qualities of successful places, will not be supported.

Policy 18 Infrastructure first

a) Development proposals which provide (or contribute to) infrastructure in line with that identified as necessary in LDPs and their delivery programmes will be supported.

b) The impacts of development proposals on infrastructure should be mitigated. Development proposals will only be supported where it can be demonstrated that provision is made to address the impacts on infrastructure. Where planning conditions, planning obligations, or other legal agreements are to be used, the relevant tests will apply.

Where planning obligations are entered into, they should meet the following tests:

- be necessary to make the proposed development acceptable in planning terms
- serve a planning purpose
- relate to the impacts of the proposed development
- fairly and reasonably relate in scale and kind to the proposed development
- be reasonable in all other respects

Planning conditions should only be imposed where they meet all of the following tests. They should be:

- necessary
- relevant to planning
- relevant to the development to be permitted
- enforceable
- precise
- reasonable in all other respects

Policy 23 Health and safety

a) Development proposals that will have positive effects on health will be supported. This could include, for example, proposals that incorporate opportunities for exercise, community food growing or allotments.

- b) Development proposals which are likely to have a significant adverse effect on health will not be supported. A Health Impact Assessment may be required.
- c) Development proposals for health and social care facilities and infrastructure will be supported.
- d) Development proposals that are likely to have significant adverse effects on air quality will not be supported. Development proposals will consider opportunities to improve air quality and reduce exposure to poor air quality. An air quality assessment may be required where the nature of the proposal or the air quality in the location suggest significant effects are likely.
- e) Development proposals that are likely to raise unacceptable noise issues will not be supported. The agent of change principle applies to noise sensitive development. A Noise Impact Assessment may be required where the nature of the proposal or its location suggests that significant effects are likely.
- f) Development proposals will be designed to take into account suicide risk.
- g) Development proposals within the vicinity of a major accident hazard site or major accident hazard pipeline (because of the presence of toxic, highly reactive, explosive or inflammable substances) will consider the associated risks and potential impacts of the proposal and the major accident hazard site/pipeline of being located in proximity to one another.
- h) Applications for hazardous substances consent will consider the likely potential impacts on surrounding populations and the environment.
- i) Any advice from Health and Safety Executive, the Office of Nuclear Regulation or the Scottish Environment Protection Agency that planning permission or hazardous substances consent should be refused, or conditions to be attached to a grant of consent, should not be overridden by the decision maker without the most careful consideration.
- j) Similar considerations apply in respect of development proposals either for or near licensed explosive sites (including military explosive storage sites).

Policy 29 Rural development

- a) Development proposals that contribute to the viability, sustainability and diversity of rural communities and local rural economy will be supported, including:
 - i. farms, crofts, woodland crofts or other land use businesses, where use of good quality land for development is minimised and business viability is not adversely affected;
 - ii. diversification of existing businesses;
 - iii. production and processing facilities for local produce and materials, for example sawmills, or local food production;
 - iv. essential community services;
 - v. essential infrastructure;
 - vi. reuse of a redundant or unused building;
 - vii. appropriate use of a historic environment asset or is appropriate enabling development to secure the future of historic environment assets;
 - viii. reuse of brownfield land where a return to a natural state has not or will not happen without intervention;
 - ix. small scale developments that support new ways of working such as remote working, homeworking and community hubs; or
 - x. improvement or restoration of the natural environment.
- b) Development proposals in rural areas should be suitably scaled, sited and designed to be in keeping with the character of the area. They should also consider how the development will contribute towards local living and take into account the transport needs of the development as appropriate for the rural location.
- c) Development proposals in remote rural areas, where new development can often help to sustain fragile communities, will be supported where the proposal:
 - i. will support local employment;

- ii. supports and sustains existing communities, for example through provision of digital infrastructure; and
 - iii. is suitable in terms of location, access, siting, design and environmental impact.
- d) Development proposals that support the resettlement of previously inhabited areas will be supported where the proposal:
- i. is in an area identified in the LDP as suitable for resettlement;
 - ii. is designed to a high standard;
 - iii. responds to their rural location; and
 - iv. is designed to minimise greenhouse gas emissions as far as possible.

Policy 30 Tourism

- a) Development proposals for new or extended tourist facilities or accommodation, including caravan and camping sites, in locations identified in the LDP, will be supported.
- b) Proposals for tourism related development will take into account:
- i. The contribution made to the local economy;
 - ii. Compatibility with the surrounding area in terms of the nature and scale of the activity and impacts of increased visitors;
 - iii. Impacts on communities, for example by hindering the provision of homes and services for local people;
 - iv. Opportunities for sustainable travel and appropriate management of parking and traffic generation and scope for sustaining public transport services particularly in rural areas;
 - v. Accessibility for disabled people;
 - vi. Measures taken to minimise carbon emissions;
 - vii. Opportunities to provide access to the natural environment.
- c) Development proposals that involve the change of use of a tourism-related facility will only be supported where it is demonstrated that the existing use is no longer viable and that there is no requirement for alternative tourism-related facilities in the area.
- d) Proposals for huts will be supported where the nature and scale of the development is compatible with the surrounding area and the proposal complies with relevant good practice guidance.
- e) Development proposals for the reuse of existing buildings for short term holiday letting will not be supported where the proposal will result in:
- i. An unacceptable impact on local amenity or the character of a neighbourhood or area; or
 - ii. The loss of residential accommodation where such loss is not outweighed by demonstrable local economic benefits.

Angus Local Development Plan 2016

Policy DS1 : Development Boundaries and Priorities

All proposals will be expected to support delivery of the Development Strategy.

The focus of development will be sites allocated or otherwise identified for development within the Angus Local Development Plan, which will be safeguarded for the use(s) set out. Proposals for alternative uses will only be acceptable if they do not undermine the provision of a range of sites to meet the development needs of the plan area.

Proposals on sites not allocated or otherwise identified for development, but within development boundaries will be supported where they are of an appropriate scale and nature and are in accordance with relevant policies of the ALDP.

Proposals for sites outwith but contiguous* with a development boundary will only be acceptable where it is in the public interest and social, economic, environmental or operational considerations confirm there is a need for the proposed development that cannot be met within a development boundary.

Outwith development boundaries proposals will be supported where they are of a scale and nature

appropriate to their location and where they are in accordance with relevant policies of the ALDP.

In all locations, proposals that re-use or make better use of vacant, derelict or under-used brownfield land or buildings will be supported where they are in accordance with relevant policies of the ALDP.

Development of greenfield sites (with the exception of sites allocated, identified or considered appropriate for development by policies in the ALDP) will only be supported where there are no suitable and available brownfield sites capable of accommodating the proposed development.

Development proposals should not result in adverse impacts, either alone or in combination with other proposals or projects, on the integrity of any European designated site, in accordance with Policy PV4 Sites Designated for Natural Heritage and Biodiversity Value.

*Sharing an edge or boundary, neighbouring or adjacent

Policy DS3 : Design Quality and Placemaking

Development proposals should deliver a high design standard and draw upon those aspects of landscape or townscape that contribute positively to the character and sense of place of the area in which they are to be located. Development proposals should create buildings and places which are:

- o Distinct in Character and Identity: Where development fits with the character and pattern of development in the surrounding area, provides a coherent structure of streets, spaces and buildings and retains and sensitively integrates important townscape and landscape features.
- o Safe and Pleasant: Where all buildings, public spaces and routes are designed to be accessible, safe and attractive, where public and private spaces are clearly defined and appropriate new areas of landscaping and open space are incorporated and linked to existing green space wherever possible.
- o Well Connected: Where development connects pedestrians, cyclists and vehicles with the surrounding area and public transport, the access and parking requirements of the Roads Authority are met and the principles set out in 'Designing Streets' are addressed.
- o Adaptable: Where development is designed to support a mix of compatible uses and accommodate changing needs.
- o Resource Efficient: Where development makes good use of existing resources and is sited and designed to minimise environmental impacts and maximise the use of local climate and landform.

Supplementary guidance will set out the principles expected in all development, more detailed guidance on the design aspects of different proposals and how to achieve the qualities set out above. Further details on the type of developments requiring a design statement and the issues that should be addressed will also be set out in supplementary guidance.

Policy DS4 : Amenity

All proposed development must have full regard to opportunities for maintaining and improving environmental quality. Development will not be permitted where there is an unacceptable adverse impact on the surrounding area or the environment or amenity of existing or future occupiers of adjoining or nearby properties.

Angus Council will consider the impacts of development on:

- Air quality;
- Noise and vibration levels and times when such disturbances are likely to occur;
- Levels of light pollution;
- Levels of odours, fumes and dust;
- Suitable provision for refuse collection / storage and recycling;
- The effect and timing of traffic movement to, from and within the site, car parking and impacts on highway safety; and
- Residential amenity in relation to overlooking and loss of privacy, outlook, sunlight, daylight and overshadowing.

Angus Council may support development which is considered to have an impact on such considerations, if the use of conditions or planning obligations will ensure that appropriate mitigation and / or compensatory measures are secured.

Applicants may be required to submit detailed assessments in relation to any of the above criteria to the Council for consideration.

Where a site is known or suspected to be contaminated, applicants will be required to undertake investigation and, where appropriate, remediation measures relevant to the current or proposed use to prevent unacceptable risks to human health.

Policy TC4 : Householder / Domestic Development

Proposals for householder development (including alterations/extensions to houses and flats, development within the curtilage of houses and flats, means of enclosure, satellite antenna and domestic scale microgeneration) will be supported where the siting, design, scale or massing of the proposal, does not:

- o adversely affect the residential amenity enjoyed by the house or surrounding domestic properties including, in the case of microgeneration, through noise or shadow flicker;
- o detrimentally affect the character and/or appearance of the building, site or surrounding area; and
- o result in the overdevelopment of the plot or a loss of garden ground, parking or bin storage.

Further guidance on householder development will be set out in a Householder Development Planning Advice Note.

Policy TC8 : Community Facilities and Services

The Council will encourage the retention and improvement of public facilities and rural services.

Proposals resulting in the loss of existing public community facilities will only be supported where it can be demonstrated that:

- o The proposal would result in the provision of alternative facilities of equivalent community benefit and accessibility; or
- o The loss of the facility would not have an adverse impact on the community; or
- o The existing use is surplus to requirements or no longer viable; and
- o No suitable alternative community uses can be found for the buildings and land in question.

The Council will seek to safeguard rural services that serve a valuable local community function such as local convenience shops, hotels, public houses, restaurants and petrol stations. Proposals for alternative uses will only be acceptable where it can be demonstrated that:

- o the existing business is no longer viable and has been actively marketed for sale as a going concern at a reasonable price/rent for a reasonable period of time;
- o the building is incapable of being reused for its existing purpose or redeveloped for an appropriate local community or tourism use; or
- o equivalent alternative facilities exist elsewhere in the local community.

New community facilities should be accessible and of an appropriate scale and nature for the location. In the towns of Angus, and where appropriate to the type of facility, a town centre first approach should be applied to identifying a suitable location.

Policy TC16 : Tourism Development

Proposals for new or improved tourism related facilities and tourist accommodation will be directed to sites within development boundaries. Such facilities will be supported in these locations where the development is of an appropriate scale and nature and is in keeping with the townscape and pattern of development.

Outwith development boundaries, proposals for new or improved tourism related facilities and accommodation will be supported where:

- o it has been demonstrated that the proposals cannot be located within a development boundary;
- or

- o there is a justifiable locational requirement for the development; and
- o the scale and nature of the development is in keeping with the character of the local landscape and pattern of development; and
- o there is no unacceptable impact on the built and natural environment, surrounding amenity, traffic levels, access or infrastructure.

Angus Council will attach occupancy conditions to prevent tourist accommodation being occupied as permanent residential accommodation. Applications to remove such occupancy conditions will not be supported.

Proposals to change the use or redevelop existing leisure or tourist facilities will only be supported where it is demonstrated:

- o that the existing business is no longer viable and there is no requirement for alternative tourist facilities in the location; and
- o that the existing business has been actively marketed for sale or lease as a going concern for a reasonable period at a reasonable market price.

Policy PV8 : Built and Cultural Heritage

Angus Council will work with partner agencies and developers to protect and enhance areas designated for their built and cultural heritage value. Development proposals which are likely to affect protected sites, their setting or the integrity of their designation will be assessed within the context of the appropriate regulatory regime.

National Sites

Development proposals which affect Scheduled Monuments, Listed Buildings and Inventory Gardens and Designed Landscapes will only be supported where:

- the proposed development will not adversely affect the integrity of the site or the reasons for which it was designated;
- any significant adverse effects on the site or its setting are significantly outweighed by social, environmental and/or economic benefits; and
- appropriate measures are provided to mitigate any identified adverse impacts.

Proposals for enabling development which is necessary to secure the preservation of a listed building may be acceptable where it can be clearly shown to be the only means of preventing its loss and securing its long term future. Any development should be the minimum necessary to achieve these aims. The resultant development should be designed and sited carefully in order to preserve or enhance the character and setting of the listed building.

Regional and Local Sites

Development proposals which affect local historic environment sites as identified by Angus Council (such as Conservation Areas, sites of archaeological interest) will only be permitted where:

- supporting information commensurate with the site's status demonstrates that the integrity of the historic environment value of the site will not be compromised; or
- the economic and social benefits significantly outweigh the historic environment value of the site.

Angus Council will continue to review Conservation Area boundaries and will include Conservation Area Appraisals and further information on planning and the built and cultural heritage in a Planning Advice Note.

Policy PV18 : Waste Management in New Development

Proposals for new retail, residential, commercial, business and industrial development should seek to minimise the production of demolition and construction waste and incorporate recycled waste into the development.

Where appropriate, Angus Council will require the submission of a Site Waste Management Plan to demonstrate how the generation of waste will be minimised during the construction and operational

phases of the development.

Development proposals that are likely to generate waste when operational will be expected to include appropriate facilities for the segregation, storage and collection of waste. This will include provision for the separate collection and storage of recyclates within the curtilage of individual houses.