

# Tree Survey Arboricultural Impact Assessment and Preliminary Method Statement

(TL 13594 04830)

Job Ref	Version	Author	Client	Date		
AAS/0418	Rev 02	Arborcare	-	09/02/2024		



# Contents

			Page					
1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0 5.0 6.0 7.0 8.0 9.0	<ul> <li>Introduction</li> <li>Limitations</li> <li>Statutory Obligations</li> <li>Executive Summary</li> <li>Survey Methodology</li> <li>Site Overview</li> <li>Arboricultural Impact Assessment</li> <li>Design advice, Arboricultural Method Statement</li> <li>Conclusion</li> </ul>							
Figure 1 Figure 2 Figure 3 Figure 4 Figure 5		Location plan The Old Rectory Aerial view of The Old Rectory Site Layout Existing Site Layout Proposals Diagram showing typical root morphology						
Table 1 Table 2 Table 3		Tree Categorisation Development Impacts and mitigation Tree Work Operations						
Append Appendi	ix 1 x 2	Tree Schedule & Cascade chart for categorisation Tree Plans: Tree Constraints Existing, Tree Protectio	n Plan(s)					
Append Append Append Append Append	ix 3 ix 4 ix 5 ix 6 ix 7	Terms of reference Statutory Protection Tree fencing proposed Statement of Supervision Timetable for Tree Protection Works						
Append	ix 8	Signs for Tree Protection Fencing						

Arboricultural Consultant	Arborcare Ltd	www.arborcareherts.co.uk	01483 726425	Unit N, Shangri-La Farm, Todds Green, Stevenage, Herts, SG1 2JE
Agent	-	-	-	-
Client	-	-	-	The Old Rectory, Westmill, Buntingford, SG9 9LL
Local Authority	East Herts Council	- ( )	01279 655261	East Herts Council, Wallfields, Pegs Lane, Hertfordshire, SG13 8EQ



# Common Causes of Tree Deaths which <u>Must Be Avoided</u>



#### 1.0 Introduction and Term of Reference

- 1.1 Arborcare has been instructed by their client to undertake a Tree Survey, Arboricultural Impact Assessment and Preliminary Method Statement at The Old Rectory, Westmill, Buntingford, SG9 9LL, following guidance in BS 5837 2012 Trees in Relation to Demolition, Design and Construction – Recommendations
- 1.2 The site survey was carried out on 21sr September by Arborcare This survey is based on a preliminary site survey; trees on site and immediately adjacent to the site have been inspected and relative qualitative tree data recorded in the Tree Schedule. Initial constraints upon the prospective development have been determined based on the information provided and the necessary protection and construction specifications required to allow their retention provided.
- 1.3 The report, any attached documents and subsequent revisions will form part of the supporting documents of any formal planning application in respect of the site and as such will be open to public scrutiny and comment.

Figure 1 – Screen shot from OS Maps showing location of The Old Rectory, Westmill



#### 2.0 Limitations

- 2.1 The content of this report is valid for one year from the date shown on the title page
- 2.2 The tree survey has been carried out from ground level using non-invasive methods using the Visual Tree Assessment (VTA) method developed by Mathheck and Breloer (1994); the survey is for the purpose of categorising tree(s) for future development. Trees are dynamic living organisms whose health and condition can be subject to rapid change depending on internal and external factors. While preliminary tree management recommendations have been provided this document is not a tree safety report.
- 2.3 The content and layout of this report are owned by the author, this report may not be copied or used without the author's agreement for any purpose other than the purpose indicated in this report.

The report was prepared by the author at the instruction of and for the use by the client named within the report. The author provides the advice without prejudice and bases his opinions on knowledge, experience, qualifications and published research and cannot be held responsible for the consequences of a difference of opinion held by third parties, for example the Local Planning Authority or Planning Inspector. The author does not accept liability for any loss or damage arising from reliance on the content of this report.

#### 3.0 Statutory Obligations

#### 3.1 Trees

- 3.1.1 Trees may be afforded statutory protection under a Tree Preservation Order (TPO) or designated Conservation Area (CA).
- 3.1.2 CA status affords living trees that exceed 75mm at 1.3m above ground level legal protection. Full planning consent (not outline) will override the need for any further application for tree work operations, providing that details of all tree works are included in submission and subsequently approval of any planning application by the local planning authority.
- 3.1.3 TPO status affords individual trees, groups, areas, or woodlands listed on a TPO schedule and plotted on a plan legal protection. Unauthorised works to trees is an offence and carries penalties.
- 3.1.4 The local planning authority can make new TPOs at any time without advanced notice. It is common for LPAs to make new TPOs on receipt of details of projects that may harm trees. Penalties for offences relating to TPO trees include, but are not exclusive to, lopping, topping, damaging or destroying trees which can be unintentionally caused by such simple means as damaging the soil structure around the trees during site preparation or building work.

The effect of a Tree Preservation Order is that a formal application will normally need to be submitted to the local planning authority (LPA) (subject to exceptions) for tree works. Such an application may be refused, approved, or approved subject to conditions. There is a right of appeal against refusals, conditions, or non-determination.

Unauthorised work, wilful damage, or destruction etc is a criminal offence, on summary conviction leading to fines of up to £20,000 per tree and on indictment, to an unlimited fine and/or imprisonment. All trees are a 'material consideration' in the town planning context and extra weight is normally given to those the subject of the above statutory protection. If TPOs are applied, it is imperative that the LPA is consulted with respect to any activities that affect trees whether directly or indirectly.

#### 3.2 Hedges

- 3.2.1 Hedges may be protected under the Hedgerow Regulations 1997 and a requirement for a hedgerow removal notice required. Where a hedgerow is 20m or more in length and meets another hedgerow, if the hedge is located on or alongside one of the following: -
  - Agricultural land, grazing/paddock land
  - Common land, including town or village greens.
  - Land used for forestry or the breeding or keeping of horses, ponies, or donkeys; or
  - A Local Nature Reserve or Site of Special Scientific Interest.

Full planning consent (not outline) will override the need for any further application for a hedgerow notification, providing no 'conditions' are applied on a decision notice being issued.

#### 3.3 Wildlife

3.3.1 Wildlife – prior to undertaking any tree works the laws in respect of protected species and habitats needs to be observed. Where tree works are required, advice may be required from a suitably qualified person prior to being able to proceed, this may require tree works being scheduled outside of the bird nesting period, typically March – August inclusive.

The following legislation protects various habitats and species of animals in the UK: -

- Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981(as amended)
- Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006 (NERC Act)
- Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as amended)
- Protection of Badgers Act 1992
- The Hedgerows Regulations 1997
- Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000

#### 4.0 Executive Summary

4.1 A total of nine individual trees have been surveyed T1 – T9, two hedges, a group of Laurel,G1, and a small shrub box hedge, summary of findings is shown in the table below.

Table 1 – Tree categorisation

Retention Category	Individual Trees (T)	Individual Hedges (H)
<b>A</b> High Quality	2	0
<b>B</b> Moderate Quality	5	2
C Low Quality	2	1
U Unsuitable for retention	0	0
Total	9	3

- 4.2 It is proposed to construct a garage to the south of the main dwelling.
- 4.3 To facilitate the garage extension five trees will be removed, the box hedge and some shrub planting.
- 4.4 Further details are provided in the tree schedule Appendix 1 and tree protection planAAS/0418 TPP Rev 02 February 2024 Appendix 2

Table 2 - Development impacts and mitigation measures.

Potential Development Impact	Tree(s) Affected	Mitigation Measures		
Access of contractor vehicles and material deliveries	T1, T2, T4 and T5	<ul> <li>T1 – Reduce back overhang by 1.5 –</li> <li>2m and minor crown lift of lower canopy to achieve 3.5m clearance from the ground.</li> <li>T2, T4 - Tree protection</li> <li>T5 - Crown lift to 3.5m to prevent conflict with material deliveries.</li> </ul>		
Construction of the garage	<b>T3, T6, T7,</b> T8, T9	Scope for replacement planting within the garden if conditioned.		

Table 3 - Tree Works to facilitate development.

Tree ID	Proposed Works
T1 andT5	Crown lift of the northern side of the canopy over the access to achieve a total of 3.5m clearance from the ground
T2, T3, T6, T7, T8, T9	Removal
Shrub hedge	Removal

#### 5.0 Survey Methodology

- 5.1 Trees on or adjacent to the site have been attributed a retention category as detailed in British Standard 5837 2012 'Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition & Construction – Recommendations'. The Root Protection Area (RPA) of individual trees or the largest tree within a group has been calculated based on its stem diameter and this has been used to produce a Tree Constraints and Protection Plan – see Appendix 2
- 5.2 Individually surveyed trees have been given a notional identification e.g. T1, T2, groups of trees G1, G2, woodland W1, W2 and hedgerows H1, H2. Full survey details and work recommendations.
- 5.3 Tree Categorisation Trees of A and B category should be considered as constraints to development, informing layout and design every attempt should be made to provide space for category A and B trees to enhance and flourish within a design while not placing post development pressure upon any retained tree. Trees of a C category will not usually be retained where they would impose a significant constraint to development. U category trees are in such a condition that they will be lost within 10 years and may be removed as good arboricultural practice.

All survey data is presented in the tree schedule- Appendix 1.

#### 6.0 Site Overview and Project details.

6.1 The Old Rectory is a detached family home located to the south of the main village of Westmill. There are established specimen trees on the grass verge to the front of the property and a mature tree at the front as you access the property.

Figure 2 – Google Earth screen shot of The Old Rectory, Westmill



6.2 The proposed project is to construct a side extension comprising a garage to wrap around the southern gable of the main dwelling.

Figure 3 - Site existing.



Figure 4 – Proposed layout, extension highlighted blue.



- 6.2 Details of statutory controls (TPO and CA) have been obtained from East Herts Council online mapping service see Appendix 3
  - Conservation Area Yes
  - Tree Preservation Orders No
- 6.3 Soils data obtained from a desk top study provides an indication of soil type; this report does not provide information on soil shrinkability which may be required for practitioners in other disciplines e.g. engineers designing foundations.
  - Soil Freely draining slightly acid with base rich soil (<u>https://www.landis.org.uk/soilscapes/</u>)
  - Bedrock Lewes Nodular and Seaford Chalk formation (<u>https://geologyviewer.bgs.ac.uk/? ga=2.225906586.1422226388.1695322210-580775102.1695322210</u>)

# Arborcare Photo Montage

# View of front access, with T4 and T5





View towards T4

# View of development area, with T3 - T8 , to be removed



# to be removed



## View of access



# View of development area towards T8



#### 7.0 Arboricultural Impact Assessment

7.1 Trees impose both above ground and below ground constraints; crowns, branches and trunks of retained trees (the trees that are kept in-situ as part of any scheme) present a physical constraint and these trees must not be subjected to any impact damage that may be incurred by plant and machinery if they are to survive and continue to contribute to the environment in the long-term.

The root systems of retained trees represent the most critical constraint, albeit an invisible one under normal circumstances. The most valuable part of the root systems for maintaining health and structural anchorage of trees is mostly located in the upper 600 millimetres of a soil profile.

Figure 5 - Diagram showing typical root morphology of a mature tree growing in homogenous ground conditions.



7.2 The Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA) uses tree data collected on site and information provided to evaluate direct and indirect effects of the proposed project and where necessary recommend mitigation.

- 7.2.1 Access Access into the site will utilise the current access from the main road; details provided on plan ref AAS/0418 TPP Rev 02 February 2024.
- 7.2.2 **Services** Details of additional services have not been provided, services are in situ on site from the main dwelling, any addition to the existing services can be achieved without encroaching into any RPA.
- 7.2.3 Topography (Implications of sloping ground) the site is considered relatively flat. The implications of this are more to do with the potential for contamination from liquids e.g. fuel, oils, chemicals e.g. porta-loo and cement mixing and washing moving downhill and/or contaminating high water tables this is not considered a constraint on this site. The site does have an incline to the west where cutting in will be required to facilitate the extension and 'marry in' to the existing levels at the back of the property.
- 7.2.4 Hard Surfacing Any removal of existing surfaces within RPA of retained trees must be done under arboricultural supervision, as the use of conventional plant machinery causes soil compaction.

There is no removal or construction of hard surfaces within the RPA of retained trees.

- 7.2.5 **Construction Phase -** Construction of a new garage to the south of the main dwelling will require the removal of five trees and shrub planting.
- 7.2.6 **Protective Tree measures** Protective fencing and ground protection will be required to ensure the retained trees are not compromised during the development see Appendix 2

**Protective Tree Fencing** – typically this will be a weldmesh type fencing secured on weighted bases or poles driven into the ground; 'Heras' style fencing. It is proposed to use orange barrier fencing see section 8 Design Advice and Method Statement.

**Ground Protection** – the use of a proprietary ground protection is desirable to accommodate the likely load. Alternatives may be used if agreed and proven not to distort or cause compaction to the underlying soil.

- 7.2.7 **Monitoring** In addition to the method it is beneficial to identify key arboricultural responsibilities associated with the progression of the development. Accordingly, a draft "Statement of Supervision (Arboriculture)" has been included in Appendix 5. The purpose of this document is to identify a decision making and data recording structure in the monitoring process, together with providing a list of specific trigger points. Prior to works commencing on site this document should be re-issued with contact names and document reference number included.
- 7.2.8 **Cultural Implications** there are no significant cultural implications as the trees to be removed are within the site, with limited public amenity.
- 7.2.9 Light Shading cast by the existing trees on site is not considered to be a constraint on the site leading to post development pressure for significant crown modification or their removal.

#### 8.0 Design advice, Arboricultural Method Statement

- 8.1 The information provided in this section has been provided based on any plans provided at the time of this report being prepared. Should there be amendments to the site layout in the future the advice provided may not be relevant and require revision prior to the commencement of the development.
- 8.2 If during development the layout encroaches significantly more into the RPAs than identified amendments to the engineering approach may be required and arboricultural advice must be sought.

#### 8.3 Arboricultural Method Statement

- 8..3.1 Location of site office/compound/parking/materials The scale of the development will not require a compound; material deliveries and storage can be accommodated at the front of the dwelling on the gravel driveway and grass areas indicated on the tree protection plan ref AAS/0418 TPP Rev 02 February 2024, Appendix 2.
- 8.3.2 **Protective Tree Fencing and ground protection –** Tree protection fencing will be required for T2, with ground protection proposed for the RPA of T1.

Due to there being an established access and gavel area at the front which encircles T4 the adoption of 'heras' type fencing is not felt to be a requirement. It is proposed that orange barrier fencing is used.

Details of tree protection fencing are provided in Appendix 4 and on the Tree Protection Plan in Appendix 2.

8.3.3 **Construction Phase -.** The construction of the side garage extension will require the removal of four trees, a section of the formal Box hedge and small shrub beds. There will be no requirement for the adoption of a no-dig foundation, a tradition strip foundation can be used as there is not encroachment into the RPA of retained treed.

8.3.4 Mixing and use of concrete around trees – Concrete (cementitious, mortar, cement, slurry) washout wastewater is caustic and considered corrosive, with a pH over 12. Wet concrete is toxic to trees and for this reason the incorporation of protection (sheathing with impermeable membrane e.g. heavy grade polythene sheeting) is extremely important to prevent contact with exposed roots and limiting potential for harm.

It is important <u>NOT</u> to mix concrete within the vicinity of trees where there is the risk of contamination of the soil.

8.1.5 Reporting and Monitoring - in accordance with item 6.3 of BS 5837 2012 the site and associated development should be monitored regularly by a competent arboriculturalist to ensure the arboricultural aspects of the planning permission are implemented.
Furthermore, regular contact between the site manager and arboriculturalist allows them to effectively address any issues should they arise. The arboriculturalist will contact the Local Planning authority and appropriate action taken.

A statement of supervision is provided in Appendix 5 along with a timetable of tree protection phasing in Appendix 6.

#### 9.0 Conclusion

- 9.1 The proposed garage side extension on the south elevation of the dwelling requires the removal of four trees which are within the Conservation Area, with limited wider amenity value from outside the private garden.
- 9.2 Minor canopy works are required to T1 and T5 located on the grass verge outside of the site. This is to reduce the canopies to remove any conflict with material deliveries.
- 9.3 The impact of the construction activities upon the tree(s) has the potential for causing physical damage to retained trees if protection measures are not implemented as shown on the Tree Protection Plans AAS/0418 TPP Rev 02 February 2024, Appendix 2.
- 9.5 There are no arboricultural reasons for the construction of a garage to be refused providing the details provided in this report and Tree Protection Plans are adhered to.

BS 5837 2012 – The Old Rectory, Westmill February 2024

# **APPENDIX 1**

# Tree Schedule and Cascade Chart for Categorisation



#### BS5837:2012 Tree Survey

#### Arborcare

Arborcare Client:

Project: AAS-0418 The Old Rectory, Westmill, SG9 9LL Survey Date: 21/09/2023

Surveyor: Arborcare



Unit N Shangri-La-Farm, Todds Green Stevenage Hertfordshire SG1 2JE Phone: 01483 726425

Tree and Tag No		Uabt	9	Stem	s	Cro	wn		RP	)	Dhue	Churchtung				Preliminary Recomm	nendation	c .	C-1	
Species		(m)	No	(1	Ø mm)	Spread (m)	Clear (m)	Age	A (m R (m	12) 1)	Condition	Condition				Survey Comn	nent		ERC	
H1																		Estimated	Measurements	
A Group		0	0						A: 0		Good	C: Good	No ac	tion	:: Un	nspecified			B.1.2	
									R: 0			S: Good B: Good	Comp	rises	s Hori	nbeam, Holly, Hawthor	ne		20 to 40 yrs	
H2																		Estimated	Measurements	
A Group		0	0						A: 0		Good	C: Good	No ac	tion	:: Un	nspecified			B.1.2	
									R: 0			S: Good B: Good	Comp	rises	s Hori	nbeam, Holly, Hawthor	ne		20 to 40 yrs	
H3																		Estimated	Measurements	
Leyland Cypress		0	0						A: 0		Good	C:	No ac	tion	:: Un	nspecified			<b>B.1.2</b>	
X Cupressocyparis Spp.									R: 0			S: B:							20 to 40 yrs	
G1																		Estimated	Measurements	
Shrub planting, with		0	0						A: 0		Good	C:	No ac	tion	:: Un	nspecified			C.1.2	
mature Laurel.									R: 0			S: B:							20 to 40 yrs	
Age Classifications:	N	Newly plante	ed	EM	Early I	Mature		Condi	tion:	С	Crown		Stems:		Ø	Diameter		05007 0010	- 1 - 1 - 11	
	Y SM	Young Semi-mature	e	M OM	Mature Over N	e Mature				S B	Stem Basal area	a	ERC:	(1	,⊨q) Estim	Equivalent stem diame	eter using B ributio	55837:2012	aetinition	
Dogo 1									Tr	ooMir	ador							05 <b>C</b> a	entember 2022	

Tree and Tag No			5	Stems			Crowi	n		RP		<u>.</u>		Preliminary Recommendations	
Species		Hght (m)	No	(m	Ø Im)	Sprea (m)	ad )	Clear (m)	Age	A (m²) R (m)	Condition	Condition		Survey Comment	ERC
T1															Estimated Measurements
Common Beech		23	1	630	)	Ν	8	1.75	М	A: 179.6	Good	C: Good	No act	ion :: Unspecified	A.1.2
Fagus sylvatica						Е	9	1.75		R: 7.56		S: Fair	<u> </u>		>40 yrs
						S W	8.5 9	1.5 2				B: Good	Scale I	nsect Cryptococus ragasuga	
<b>T</b> 2								2							
12		4.5							~		<b>a</b> 1				Estimated Measurements
Lawson Cypress/Chamaecypa	aris	12	1	265		N	2.5	1.5	SM	A: 31.8	Good	C: Good	No act	ion :: Unspecified	B.1.2
Chamaecypans Spp.						۲ ۲	2	1.5		R: 5.10		S: Fall B: Good	Off site	e, bifurcates at 2m tight union	20 to 40
						W	2	1.5				D. 0000			yıs
Т3															Estimated Measurements
Norway Spruce		13	1	425		N	4	1	м	A: 81.7	Good	C: Good	No act	ion ··· Unspecified	B.1.2
Picea abies						Е	4	1		R: 5.09		S: Fair			20 to 40
						S	4	1				B: Fair			yrs
						W	4	1							
T4															Estimated Measurements
Cupressus		23	1	830		Ν	3.5	2	М	A: 311.7	Good	C: Good	No act	ion :: Unspecified	B.1.2
Cupressus Spp.						Е	3	2		R: 9.96		S: Fair			20 to 40
						S	3.5	2				B: Fair			yrs
						VV	3.5	2							
Т5															Estimated Measurements
Common Beech		20	1	520	)	Ν	6	1	М	A: 122.3	Good	C: Good	No act	ion :: Unspecified	A.1.2
Fagus sylvatica						E	6.5	1		R: 6.23		S: Good			>40 yrs
						S	7	1				B: Good			
						vv	0	1							
Т6															Estimated Measurements
Wild Cherry		16	2	835	(Eq)	Ν	6	3	М	A: 315.4	Fair	C: Fair	Fell ::	Unspecified	B.1.2
Prunus avium						E	5	3		R: 10.01		S: Fair			20 to 40
						S	6	3				B: Fair			yrs
						vv	5	3							
Age Classifications:	N N	ewly plante	ed	EM	Early N	/lature		C	ondit	ion: C	Crown		Stems:	Ø Diameter	
	Y Y	oung		М	Mature					S	Stem			(Eq) Equivalent stem diameter using BS	5837:2012 definition
	SM S	emi-mature	9	OM	Over N	lature				В	Basal are	a	ERC:	Estimated Remaining Contributio	
Page 2										Tree	/linder				07 February 2024

Tree and Tag No		Habt	Ste	ems		Crow	n		RP	Dhuc	Structural		Preliminary Recommendations	
Species		(m)	No	Ø (mm)	Spre (m	ad )	Clear (m)	Age	A (m²) R (m)	Condition	Condition		Survey Comment	ERC
Т7														Estimated Measurements
Prunus		8	1	170	Ν	5	0.5	SM	A: 13.1	Fair	C: Fair	Fell :: l	Inspecified	B.1.2
Prunus Spp.					Е	3	1		R: 2.04		S: Fair			20 to 40
					S	3	1				B: Fair			yrs
					VV	4	1							
Т8														Estimated Measurements
Prunus		12	1	380	Ν	4	2	М	A: 65.3	Fair	C: Fair	Fell :: L	Jnspecified	C.1.2
Prunus Spp.					E	3.5	2		R: 4.55		S: Poor			10 to 20
					S	3	2				B: Fair			yrs
	_				••	2	2							
T9														Estimated Measurements
Common Laburnum		7	3	291 (E	q)N	4	1.5	М	A: 38.3	Fair	C: Good	No action	on :: Unspecified	C.1.2
Laburnum anagyroides					E S	3 35	2		R: 3.49		S: Fair B: Fair			20 to 40
					W	3.5 4	2				<b>D.</b> 1 dil			yı s
							-							
Age Classifications:	N Ne	ewly plante	d E	M Early	/ Mature		(	ondit	ion: (	C Crown		Stems:	Ø Diameter	
}	Y Yo	oung	N	1 Matu	ire				5	S Stem			(Eq) Equivalent stem diameter using BS	S5837:2012 definition
S	M Se	emi-mature	0	M Over	Mature				E	Basal are	а	ERC:	Estimated Remaining Contributio	
Dava 0									Tues	Minalan				07 Esta 000 (

#### BS 5837 2012 – The Old Rectory, Westmill February 2024 TABLE 1 – Cascade chart for tree categorisation Category and definition Criteria (including subcategories where appropriate) Identification on plan Trees unsuitable for retention Category 'U' Those in such a condition Trees that have a serious, irremediable, structural defect, such that their early loss is expected due to collapse, including that they cannot realistically those that will become unviable after removal of other category U trees (e.g. where, for whatever reason, the loss of be retained as living trees in companion shelter cannot be mitigated by pruning) the context of the current land use for longer than Trees that are dead or are showing signs of significant, immediate, and irreversible overall decline 10 years Trees infected with pathogens of significance to the health and/or safety of other trees nearby, or very low quality trees Suppressing adjacent trees or better quality

NOTE: Category U trees can have existing or potential conservation value which might be desirable to preserve see 4.5.7 – trees with identifiable conservation, heritage or landscape value.

	1 Mainly arboricultural qualities	2 Mainly landscape qualities	3 Mainly cultural values Including conservation
TREES TO BE CONSIDERED FOR	RETENTION		
<b>Category A</b> Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years	Trees that are particularly goodexamples of their species, especially if rare or unusual or those that are essential components of groups or formal or semi-formal Arboricultural features (e.g. dominant/or principle Trees within an avenue)	Trees, groups or woodlands of particular visual importance as arboricultural and/or landscape feature	Trees, groups or woodlands of significance conservation historical, commemorative or other value e.g. veteran trees or wood-pasture
Category B Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years	Trees that may be included in 'A' but are downgraded because of impaired condition (e.g. presence of significant though remediable defects, inc unsympathetic past management/storm damage) Such that they are unlikely to be suitable for retention beyond 40 years; or trees lacking the special quality necessary to merit the category 'A' designation.	Trees present in numbers, usually growing as groups or woodlands, such that they at a higher collective rating than they might as individuals; or trees occurring as collectives but situated so as to make little visual contribution to wider locality.	Trees with material conservation tract or other cultural value
<b>Category C</b> Trees of low quality with an Estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem dia of below 150mm	Unremarkable trees of very limitedmerit or such impaired conditionthat they do not qualify in higher categories	Trees present in groups or woodlands but without this conferring on them significantly greater collective landscape value; and/or trees offering low or only temporary/transient landscape benefit.	Trees with no material conservation or other cultural value

#### BS 5837 2012 – The Old Rectory, Westmill February 2024

## Terms of Reference to Tree Survey Form

	Number on tag fixed to tree or given number on plan where no tag has
Troo number/Tea	been used. Given number for groups (G), hedges (H) or shrubs (S).
Thee number/rag	Individual tree will have no tag if located on adjoining land or
	inaccessible
Species	Tree species - Common name or botanical name if no common name is
species	in common use
Tree beight	Height in metres where measurement is possible. Estimated where tree
	is inaccessible
	Trunk diameter measured at 1.5 metres above ground level (on the side
Stem Dia(s)	of the tree where the ground is highest). A formula applies to multiple
	stemmed trees
PDA radius	Root Protection Area radius in metres (linear) measured from centre of
RPA Taulus	tree trunk
RPA m2	Root Protection Area in square metres
Crowp sproad	Spread of tree crown in metres at each cardinal point (NESW) measured
Crown spread	from tree trunk
Crown Clearance	The height in metres on the tree of the lowest major branch and its
(1 <sup>st</sup> large branch)	direction (where relevant)
Canopy height	Headroom - The height above ground in metres of the lowest part of
above ground	the tree crown / branch ends (where relevant)
	The estimated stage of life of the tree in relation to its speciesstated as
Life stage	young, semi-mature, early mature, mature, over-mature e.g. A Silver
Life stage	Birch may be considered 'mature' at 40 years, but Oak may only be
	considered 'semi-mature' at the same chronological age
Structural	The condition of the tree in relation to the presence of any notable
&Physiological	structural defects or ill-health and any recommendations that may be
Condition and any	relevant to good arboricultural management or in relation to a
management	proposed development
recommendations	
Estimated remaining	An estimated range of the minimum number of years a tree may make a
contribution	positive contribution before it falls into decline (senescence).
	<10, 10+, 20+, 40+
Category & Sub	A qualitative gradingA to C or U recorded on tree survey plan and
category	assigned a colour, see Table 1 in report

### **APPENDIX 2**

## Tree Constraints Plan – Existing

(AAS/0418 TCP - Rev 01 - February 2024)

## Tree Constraints Plan – Aerial

(AAS/0418 TCP Aerial – Rev 01 - September 2023)

Tree Protection Plan – Pre-Demolition (AAS/0418 TPP – Rev 01 – February 2024)









No mixing of cement or washings from cement mixing on the gravel parking area or within the RPA of T1





### View of development area and T2, T3 and T6



#### APPENDIX 3 - Statutory Protection of trees on and off site and other constraints



Screen shot from East Herts Council online mapping service; site outlined in red.

#### BS 5837 2012 – The Old Rectory, Westmill February 2024

#### APPENDIX 4 – Fencing and ground protection details



BS 5837:2012 Specification for protective barrier

#### BS 5837 2012 – The Old Rectory, Westmill February 2024

#### Orange Barrier Fencing



#### Types of Ground Protection

There are various proprietary ground protection mats on the market which provide a secure and stable solution to preventing compaction within the Root Protection Area of a tree.

Various suppliers are available, by using Google and looking for '*Ground Protection Mats'* a supplier can be found.

Ground protection should be laid over an impermeable geo-textile to prevent leakage of any toxic materials into the soil beneath





APPENDIX 5 - Statement of Supervision - The Old Rectory, Westmill, Buntingford, SG9 9LL

#### Introduction

In accordance with a planning application to be submitted for The Old Rectory, Westmill, Buntingford, SG9 9LL

The purpose of this document is to ensure that all works that have an impact on retained trees is undertaken with the approved Method Statement and Tree Protection Plan. As such the purpose of the statement is to identify the following arboricultural issues.

- Approved documents
- Key staff and contacts
- Critical phase of pre-commencement, induction, and construction.
- The following documents must be available to all those with responsibility for arboricultural matters during construction.
- BS 5837 2012; Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction Recommendations.
- Notice of Planning Decision decision pending Arboricultural Method Statement and Tree Protection Plan for The Old Rectory, Westmill, Buntingford, SG9 9LL produced by Arborcare dated September 2023
- AAS/0418 TPP Rev 01 September 2023

#### Key Staff

Below are key people responsible for arboricultural matters on site during construction.

Agent	-	<b>_</b>	-
Arboricultural Professional	Arborcare	www.arborcareherts.co.uk	01483 726425
Site Manager	ТВС	-	-

#### BS 5837 2012 – The Old Rectory, Westmill February 2024

APPENDIX 6- Timetable for Tree Protection Works

ltem	Operation*	Pre- Construction	During Construction	On Completion
1	Carry out scheduled tree works to T1, T5, remove T3, T6 – T8, shrub hedge. Pre-commencement site meeting to discuss tree protection details	Х	_	-
2	Erect temporary fencing as plan ref AAS/0418 – TPP Rev 02 February 2024 - Appendix 2	Х	-	-
3	Affix warning signs to fencing – Appendix 9	Х	_	_
4	Maintain fencing, ground protection and signs in situ during demolition and construction phases	-	Х	Х
5	Arboricultural supervision and advice including site visits during the works to check the CEZ and liaison with the Local Authority as required.	Х	Х	Х
6	Remove both protective fencing and ground protection	Х	Х	Х
7	Check condition of the protected trees and consider if remedial works are necessary.	-	_	Х

\* All work to comply with the attached Arboricultural Method Statement and BS5837: 2012 Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction - Recommendations"

#### APPENDIX 7 - General Guidance

The following general precautions must be taken during the construction phase.

- No materials or fuel shall be stored close to or within the RPAs of trees to be retained or where new trees are to be established.
- There shall be no bonfires within 10m of the outer edge of the crown or RPA of a tree to be retained.
- Mechanical equipment must not be refuelled within the RPAs of retained trees or areas where new trees are to be established.
- No cement shall be mixed or stored within the RPAs of retained trees or areas where new trees are to be established.
- Cement mixers must not be washed within or uphill of the RPAs of retained trees or areas where new trees are to be established.
- The soil level within the RPA of a retained tree must not be raised or lowered without the agreement of the local authority Tree Officer.
- No plant shall be operated within the RPAs of retained trees unless the soil is suitably protected against compaction.
- Excavation should not take place within the RPAs of retained trees unless an arboricultural consultant or the local authority Tree Officer is supervising the work.
- The guidance provided by NJUG (2007) should be followed when installing
- underground services within the RPAs of retained trees.
- Surface water runoff must not be redirected into or out of the RPA of a retained tree.
- No materials shall be dumped within the RPA of a tree, whether in a skip or on the ground.
- No vehicles shall be parked or operate within the RPA of a retained tree.

**APPENDIX 8** – Signs to be printed at A3, lamintated then afixed to Tree Protection fencing





# **TREE PROTECTION AREA KEEP OUT !**

(TOWN & COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990) TREES ENCLOSED BY THIS FENCE ARE PROTECTED BY **PLANNING CONDITIONS AND/OR ARE THE SUBJECTS OF A TREE PRESERVATION ORDER. CONTRAVENTION OF A TREE PRESERVATION ORDER MAY** LEAD TO CRIMINAL PROSECUTION

**ANY INCURSION INTO THE PROTECTED AREA MUST BE** WITH THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF THE LOCAL **PLANNING AUTHORITY** 



# THE OLD RECTORY

W: https://www.arborcareherts.co.uk E: info@arborcareherts.co.uk

Tel: 01483 726425