

Comments for Planning Application 23/01979/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: 23/01979/FUL

Address: Corinthian Court Cross Street Shanklin Isle Of Wight PO37 6BU

Proposal: Proposed ramp and retention of white cladding to entrance porch

Case Officer: Victoria Taylor

Customer Details

Name: Mr Ashley Harcourt

Address: Flat 1, Corinthian Court, Cross Street Shanklin, Isle Of Wight PO37 6BU

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I see we have yet more comments beyond the cut off date by J Platt regarding matters that have nothing to do with the 'proposed ramp and retention of white cladding to entrance porch'.

Again I reserve a right of reply to comments made on a public forum.

Mr Platt's latest submitted comments are simply another attack on me that is personally abusive, derogatory and aggressive. I am not sure why the IWC portal allows comments that have nothing to do with Planning Applications from Applicants who have already provided a Supporting Statement with their Application? Hopefully the Planning Department can decide the veracity of such comments?

Regarding how many errors have been made in the past - well there is much in the public domain that a search engine can pull regarding errors and court. As for J Platt's reference to harassment allegations then he might revisit what he signed in court as it is not I that am currently in breach of such agreements. This whole Application now is the result of J Platt's errors and oversight regarding the ramp.

Re. dilution of shareholding in the freehold asset of the Company? There appears to be some confusion here? My comments were regarding the dilution of the voting rights of the individual Shareholders, NOT the Freehold Company. The 'Company' solicitors were very willing to explain their interpretation of the Share situation and asked J Platt for permission to do so but he outright ordered them not to communicate with me at all as he would deal with it. The petty comment about my misspelling (punctuation, not misspelling) one word beggars belief when compared with the many poorly presented, contradictory and misspelled communications from him since setting his eyes on the ground floor commercial unit. There is no embarrassment involved in my being absent

from meetings, merely a preference as to the company that I keep. Let us bear in mind here that for years J Platt attended NIL meetings until his purchasing of the commercial unit.

Directorships. It is routine form for current Directors to resign at the beginning of meetings, to be re-elected if (as in many previous years AGMs, none of which were attended by J Platt) no other Shareholders can be bothered to volunteer. The then Board did not seek re-election because they felt that they could not work with J Platt having discovered that prior to the AGM he had written substantially differing letters to the Board than he had to all other members stating that he wanted the Board removed and replaced by him. Both Mrs Pearce and I had the required support of other members to continue had we wished to be re-elected. We chose not to as another Shareholder whom we considered could be an impartial influence chose to be a Co-Director but sadly that person resigned within weeks. Perhaps a replacement of the current Board would be beneficial in restoring the previous equilibrium of the estate?

The Fire Report issues have already been covered and as J Platt states, he was very aware of the previous reports so why did he wait a year until commissioning yet a further report to bother with any of the issues he was already aware of? I note J Platt makes no mention that in the latest report it stated clearly that none of his flats, new or old, or indeed any others within the building, had door closures on them. Yet again I am specifically targeted in an ongoing public smear campaign. Oddly enough 'A little bit of knowledge can be a dangerous thing' is one of the very few statements J Platt has ever made that I can agree with given how he has repeatedly stated that he has 'studied law', yet with no such studying by me we both remain equally qualified in it.

Water. This has been cut off and interfered with on multiple occasions and it is not just me who has suffered this. As for the mention of 'crook', it is not me that has ever used that term.

Electricity. I never stated this was cut off by J Platt but it was nonetheless because of his development of the ground floor that any work was being done at all. The ground floor flats are indeed now wired for Wightfibre broadband but despite J Platt's statement the Wightfibre website clearly shows that it is still NOT available for flats 1-9. The reason given on their website being that they do not have permission of the managing agent, that being the management company, managed by J Platt. Again I think we are being distracted from the fact that all these issues have occurred because of the ground floor development and many of them occurred before any permissions whatsoever were given.

Of course J Platt could go on addressing my comments but it would be a nice change when doing so if he addressed all of them, not just the ones he considers 'silly' and feels he can blame on others? Nor is it helpful that there is nil response to the issues of financial statements; right to peaceful enjoyment of property; car park spaces being cut off; broadband services being cut off; fire escapes blocked; pedestrian accesses being cut off and resignation of Agents. Or indeed a comprehension of Directors statutory duties regarding conflict of interest and self-interest.

