

This is being responded to both on its own merits and related problems.

Firstly, in its own right.

There is no objection whatsoever to the removal of this shed. However, it raises the question as to whether it was ever given Planning Permission in the first place, as it is obviously not compatible with a Listed Building.

Secondly, on a matter of accuracy:

Although the application refers to 'The Rectory', Rising Bridge, Accrington, this building never was a rectory- it is the former Church of St. John the Evangelist, Blackburn Road, Stonefold, and is Listed under that name.

It is becoming increasingly difficult to pin point Planning Applications and proposals due to misnaming. Another current example of this is the Masterplan for Rawtenstall, which, in the Rossendale Free Press, shows an image labelled 'Bacup Road' and on the display boards at the consultation meetings labelled 'St. Mary's Way' when it is neither, but actually Bury Road.

It is vitally important that anyone seeing these references during the course of speed reading, or feeding information into. 'google' etc. should have the correct information - how can judgements or comments be made on incorrect identification?

I trust that you will look into this.