51-51A Great Underbank, Stockport

Phase 1 Preliminary Risk Assessment

Job Number:LKC 23 1319Date:November 2023Client:Kion Developments Ltd



INCREASING LAND VALUE





We advise and partner with our clients to design and deliver cost-effective consultancy, contracting and project management that enhances our environment and our communities.

Ground Investigation | Land Remediation | Project Management





DOCUMENT QUALITY ASSURANCE

Site Address	51-51a Great Underbank, Stockport, SK1 1NE	
Report Title	Phase 1 Preliminary Risk Assessment	
Job Number	LKC 23 1319	
Client	Kion Developments Ltd	

Revision	0	Date of Issue	November 2023	
Document Ref:	LKC 23 1319-A1-Great Underbank, Stockport R0			
Status	Final			
Written By	Elena Francis			
Approved By	Hannah Moss			

DISCLAIMER

This report has been prepared by LK Consult Ltd (LKC) who have exercised such professional skill, care and diligence as may reasonably be expected of a properly qualified and competent consultant, experienced in preparing reports of a similar scope.

However, to the extent that the report is based or relies upon information contained in records, reports or other materials provided to LKC, which have not been independently produced or verified, LKC gives no warranty, representation, or assurance as to the accuracy or completeness of such information.

This report is prepared solely for the benefit of the client named above. It may not be relied upon by or submitted to a third party for their reliance for the purposes of valuation, mortgage, insurance, and regulatory approval until all invoices have been settled in full.

Those using this information in subsequent assessments or evaluations do so at their own risk.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	1
2.	HISTORICAL REVIEW	2
3.	ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING	3
4.	SITE RECONNAISSANCE	5
5.	PRELIMINARY CONCEPTUAL MODEL	8
5.1.	Introduction	8
5.2.	Potential Contaminants	8
5.3.	Potential Receptors	8
5.4.	Potential Pathways	9
5.5.	Preliminary Contamination Conceptual Model	9
6.	PRELIMINARY GEOTECHNICAL RISK ASSESSMENT	12
7.	SUMMARY CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	13
7.1.	Summary Conclusions	13
7.2.	Recommendations	14

FIGURES

Figure	1 – Site	Location	and	Boundary	v Plan
inguic	r Site	Location	unu	Doundar	yrian

Figure 2 – Client Supplied Drawing

APPENDICES

- Appendix A Historical Maps
- Appendix B Envirocheck Report
- Appendix C Historical BGS Boreholes
- Appendix D Zetica UXO Unexploded Bomb Risk Map
- Appendix E Risk Evaluation
- Appendix F References



1. Introduction

LK Consult Ltd (LKC) has been commissioned to carry out a Phase 1 Preliminary Risk Assessment (PRA) for Kion Developments Ltd.

In accordance with current guidance (including LCRM¹ and the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)²), the PRA will include a site reconnaissance, site history, geology, hydrogeology, hydrology, mineral search, and a landfill search. Information gathered from the desk study and site reconnaissance will be used to develop a contamination conceptual model for the site.

Based on the findings of this report, an appropriate site investigation can be derived, if required.

A summary of the site details is presented in Table 1-1. Figure 1 indicates the site location and boundary plan. Figure 2 indicates the proposed development as a client supplied drawing

Location	51- 51a Great Underbank, located in central Stockport.
LOCATION	Centred at National Grid Reference 389570E 390470N.
Area	400m ² .
Topography	Site slopes steeply north-south from approximately 42m above ordnance datum
	(AOD) to 51m at the southern boundary.
	Three-storey building with basement in central and northern area of site, car park
Current Site Use	in south of site. Ground floor currently used as clothing store. First and second
	floor vacant.
Proposed	Residential development including converting existing floors into 4no. apartments
Development	and addition of 2no. townhouses. First floor to remain as commercial.

Table 1-1: Summary of site details.



2. Historical Review

In compiling the site history, LKC consulted Envirocheck historical mapping (Appendix A) and other public domain maps / aerial photography.

	Location on	Dates Present		Comments
Site reatures	Site			
		From	10	
Unreferenced	c	1848	1960	Likely residential properties.
Buildings	5			No longer present by 1965 mapping.
Public House	N	1895	1972	No longer present by 1979 mapping.
Car Park	S	1979	2023	
Commercial building	N	1979	2023	Ground floor used as clothing shop, rest of building vacant.

Table 2-1: Summary of site features. Dates are based on available historical map editions.

Surrounding	Distance	Direction	Dates Present		Commente
Area Features	(m)	Direction	From	То	Comments
					River Mersey. Shopping centre
					built over section of river to
Inland River	80	NW	1848	2023	north of site in 1970.
					Becomes ruin in 1959, then a car
Saw Mill	50	N	1934	1959	park in 1972.
Car Park	10	W	1960	2023	

Table 2-2: Summary of potentially contaminative features within 50m and potentially infilled features within 250m. Dates are based on available historical map editions.



3. Environmental Setting

A summary of environmental settings is presented in Table 3-1, based on a review of available environmental data.

Categories ^(data sources)		rces)	Details	
	Artificial Groun	d	No BGS recorded artificial ground.	
	Superficial Deposits		River Terrace Deposits- sand and gravel.	
Geology ^{1, 2}	Bedrock		Chester Formation- sandstone, pebbly (gravelly).	
	BGS Logs (100n	n)	BH Ref: SJ89SE52 (Robinson Tobacco Factory, Petersgate) 81m SE: gravel and sand to 2.2m, red sandstone to 15m.	
	Aquifer	Superficial	Secondary A Aquifer.	
Lludro	Designation	Bedrock	Principal Aquifer.	
	Source Protecti	ion Zone (SPZ)	Site not within an SPZ.	
geology	Groundwater A (100m)	bstractions	None.	
	Surface Watero	ourses (100m)	None.	
	Flooding Risk ^{1,3}	3	Flood Zone 2.	
Hydrology ¹	Surface Water Abstractions		None.	
	Discharge Consents (onsite)		None.	
	Pollution Incidents (onsite)		None.	
	Coal Mining		Not within a Coal Reporting Area.	
Minerals &			Not within a Development High Risk Area.	
Mining ^{1,4}	Surface Mineral Extractions (250m)		None.	
	Non-Coal Mining Area		No Hazard.	
	Collapsible Ground		Very low hazard.	
	Compressible Ground		No hazard.	
Ground	Ground Dissolu	tion	No hazard.	
Stability ¹	Landslide		Very low hazard.	
	Running Sand		Very low hazard.	
	Shrinking / Swe	elling Clay	No hazard.	
	Known / Regist	ered	None.	
Landfill Sites (250m) ¹	Potentially Infil water and wate Envirocheck Re	led Land (non- er), based on port	1no. feature: 82m NW, Unknown Filled Ground (water).	
	Potentially Infilled Sites, based		None.	

Table 3-1: Summary of the environmental setting.

Notes: Distance in brackets is the distance from site that features are included. Where no distance given, features relate to onsite only.

Data Sources:

1 Envirocheck Report (Appendix A & B).

2 http://mapapps2.bgs.ac.uk/geoindex/home.html

3 https://flood-map-for-planning.service.gov.uk/location

4 The Coal Authority Web Mapping Services (WMS) /

http://coal.decc.gov.uk/en/coal/cms/publications/data/map/map.aspx.

5 Zetica UXO Unexploded Bomb Risk Map (Appendix D).



Categories ^{(d}	ata sources)	Details	
		<1% of homes above Action Level. No protective	
Radon Potential ¹		measures are necessary in the construction of	
		new dwellings or extensions.	
Designated Sites (50m) ¹		None.	
		31m NE, dry cleaners. Inactive.	
		32m N, digital printing. Inactive.	
		32m N, photographic processors. Inactive.	
Contemporary Trade Direct	tory (50m)1	36m SE, commercial cleaning service. Inactive.	
		36m N, jewellery manufacturers and cleaners.	
		Inactive.	
		41m SE, T-shirts. Inactive.	
Fuel Station Entries (50m) ¹		None.	
Unexploded Ordnance		Domb Dialy Low	
Risk (UXO) – Zetica Risk	Zetica Risk Map⁵	Bomb Risk: Low.	
Мар		Strategic rargets: None identified within 100m.	

Table 3-2 (continued): Summary of the environmental setting.

Notes: Distance in brackets is the distance from site that features are included. Where no distance given, features relate to onsite only.

Data Sources:

1 Envirocheck Report (Appendix A & B).

5 Zetica UXO Unexploded Bomb Risk Map (Appendix D).



4. Site Reconnaissance

A site reconnaissance was carried out on 20th October 2023.

Relevant features identified on site are summarised below:

- Site comprises a 3-storey red brick building with basement in the central and northern part of site and a small car park in the south.
- The northern wall of the building forms the northern site boundary, which is adjacent to the pavement of Great Underbank.
- The ground floor of the building is currently a clothing shop. Access to the first and second floors of the building is via a door at the front of the building, next to the entrance to the clothing store.
- The first floor of the building is vacant with evidence of the flooring, roof tiles and all fixtures having been removed. Several windows are boarded up.
- LKC did not access the second floor however it is understood that the second floor is also vacant. The second floor spans the northern half of the building only.
- A small basement is situated beneath the northern part of the building. Access to the basement is via the clothing store. The basement is currently used for storage of stock and an electricity cupboard is present.
- A potential former boiler house is situated above the second floor of the building (refer to Photograph 5).
- The rear of the building is accessed via Pickford's Brow.
- A small car park is located to the north of Pickford's Brow. The groundcover comprises tarmacadam with areas of concrete. The surface was uneven in areas with surface water pooling.
- The car park is relatively level.
- No evidence of spillages / leakages of petroleum hydrocarbons / stains of the car park surface were identified.
- A metal fence and pedestrian gate are present at the north of the car park, with steps leading down to the flat roof of the first floor of the building.
- A red brick retaining wall runs along the south-eastern site boundary adjacent to the car park. A small car park is situated adjacent east at a higher level to site. The level difference is approximately 0.40m.
- A red brick retaining wall forms part of the southern site boundary adjacent to the pavement of Pickford's Brow. Pickford's Brow slopes steeply down to the west, and the pavement of Pickford's Brow is situated at a lower level to site. The level difference is between 0.40-1.00m and is greatest at the south -western corner of site.
- A red brick retaining wall is present along the south-western site boundary. A car park is present adjacent to the south-west of site and is situated at a lower level. The level difference between the car park on site and the car park to the west is between 1.80-2.00m.

The surrounding area comprises:

- North: Great Underbank and multi-storey car park / commercial buildings.
- East: Vacant building, car park and commercial buildings.
- South: Pickford's Brow and car park.



• West: Nationwide Bank and car park.

Site photographs are provided in Table 4-2.





Photograph 1: View of front of building from Great Underbank.





Photograph 5: View of building from car park, looking north. Potential former boiler house visible at top of building.

Photograph 6: Level difference between south-western part of car park and land adjacent south-west.





Photograph 7: Level difference between pavement of Pickford's Brow and site car park, looking west. Table 4-1: Site photographs.

Photograph 8: Level difference between site car park down to ground floor roof of building.

Site features are also shown on Plate 4-1.



Plate 4-1: Plan showing relevant site features.



5. Preliminary Conceptual Model

5.1. Introduction

The aim of the conceptual model is to provide a preliminary assessment of the likelihood of a pollutant linkage for each potential combination of contaminant, pathway, and receptor. A conceptual model can be used to make an informed decision on the contamination risks associated with the site and whether further site investigation work is required.

The sections below are therefore divided into potential contaminant, potential pathway and potential receptor as described in LCRM³, on the premise that, if there is no pollutant linkage, then there will be no risk to the receptor. The final section provides an assessment of the potential pollutant linkages that may still be present on the site if redevelopment were to occur.

5.2. Potential Contaminants

Potential viable contamination sources are detailed in Table 5-1. These are split into onsite sources, offsite sources and underlying geology.

Potential Source	Contaminants				
On site					
Unknown depth of made	Demolition rubble, ash, and clinker: asbestos, heavy metals, sulphates, PAHs ⁴ .				
ground below some or all of	Other fill material sources: unknown organic / inorganic compounds.				
the site (e.g., potential former	If organic / putrescible material: hazardous gas (principally carbon				
cellars,)	dioxide and methane).				
	Presence of basement may limit risk of hazardous gas.				
Demolished buildings	Asbestos containing materials (ACM).				
Car park	No significant contamination source anticipated.				
	Assuming a boiler house is / has been present: petroleum hydrocarbons (fuel / oils) and heavy metals, sulphates, PAHs ⁵ (waste				
Existing commercial / public	ash and clinker). Anecdotal evidence possible boiler house is present				
building (pre 1960s)	on 3 rd floor, therefore risk expected to be minimal as significant				
	downward migration of any contaminants not expected, and as				
	feature likely to be removed.				
	Surrounding Area				
Surrounding industrial land	Not expected to be a significant source due to distance from site and				
uses (saw mill,)	age of feature.				
Landfills / offsite potentially	Given size, distance and age of features, there is not considered to be				
infilled features within 250m	a significant source of hazardous gas.				
	Underlying Geology				
	<1% of homes above Action Level. No protective measures are				
Radon Affected Area	necessary in the construction of new dwellings or extensions.				
	No further consideration required.				
	Chester Formation- sandstone and pebble with River Terrace				
Superficial / Bedrock	Deposits - sand and gravel.				
	No significant contamination sources identified.				

Table 5-1: Potential contamination sources.

5.3. Potential Receptors

Potential receptors are detailed in Table 5-2.



Receptors			
Human boalth	Future site users (including residents, visitors, and site workers).		
Human nearth	Offsite land users.		
	Brook beneath site.		
Controlled waters	Principal Bedrock Aquifer.		
	Secondary A Aquifer.		
Buildings.			
Potable water pipes.			

Table 5-2: Potential receptors.

5.4. Potential Pathways

Potential pathways are detailed in Table 5-3.

Pathways					
		Inhalation of vapours outside.			
	Human health ⁶ (Mixed	Inhalation of vapours inside.			
	use: residential and				
	commercial land use:	Omitted as no private gardens: Ingestion of soil, ingestion			
Soil	apartments, town	of soil-derived dust, dermal contact with soil, dermal			
	houses and commercial	contact with soil derived indoor dust, inhalation of soil-			
	ground floor, no soft	derived outdoor dust, inhalation of soil-derived indoor			
	landscaping)	dust, ingestion of contaminated home-grown produce			
		and ingestion of soil attached to home-grown produce.			
Surface water	Surface run-off over imper	meable surface.			
Groundwater	Infiltration into the g	round, through potentially contaminated material			
Groundwater	(contamination possibly go	ping into solution).			
	Migration through potenti	ally permeable strata and preferential pathways.			
Groundwater	Superficial (sand and gravel) is likely to be relatively permeable.				
and gas	Bedrock (sandstone) likely to be relatively permeable.				
	Preferential pathways: brook onsite, services.				
Cas	Migration into buildings (Migration into buildings (e.g., via services) and accumulation of gases in confined			
Gas	spaces (potentially causing explosion if methane is present).				

Table 5-3: Potential pathways.

5.5. Preliminary Contamination Conceptual Model

The Preliminary Contamination Conceptual Model is illustrated in Table 5-4.

Generic potential pollutant linkages are described along with an assessment of the risk based upon guidance on probabilities and consequences outlined in CIRIA C552⁷.

In order to assess the potential risk for each pollutant linkage, an assessment of the magnitude of the potential consequence (severity) of the risk occurring and the magnitude of the probability (likelihood) of the risk occurring has been considered and classified. This is based on the guidance provided in CIRIA C552 and further details including a risk matrix is provided in Appendix E.

The probability is based on the site history, site reconnaissance, environmental setting, pathways, and receptors.

Reasonable worst-case consequence has been assumed at this stage.

Where LKC identified a low to very low risk, targeted or low-density intrusive investigation work, a watching brief (during construction work) or no investigation work will be recommended. This will be dependent on the nature of the site and the proposed development.



Where the risk falls into the moderate/low risk, LKC will undertake an assessment to establish what further work will be required.

Where LKC identifies a moderate or higher risk, intrusive investigation work or precautionary remediation is recommended.

Recommendations are discussed further in Section 7.

Due to the minor consequence associated with the phytotoxic effect to flora (i.e., loss of plants in a landscaping scheme), the overall risk for the majority of sites will be very low to low. Where soils contain significant concentrations of heavy metals, in general there will other pollutant linkages (i.e., the risk to human health) that will trigger the requirement for remediation (e.g., a clean environmental cover system). As such the risk to flora associated with phytotoxic contaminants will not be considered further.

The risk to buildings associated with elevated sulphate will be considered as part of the geotechnical assessment and will not be included in the contamination risk assessment.

It should be noted that there may be risk from short term exposure from contaminated soil to site workers. The Preliminary Contamination Conceptual Model deals with long term exposure to key receptors. Acute risks can be easily mitigated by good environmental management of the site during site works. Standard health and safety precautions (as per HSE guidance⁸) should be adopted by all workers involved with site enabling and construction works. Therefore, this receptor is not considered in the contamination conceptual model.



PL	Contaminants of Concern (source)	Pathway	Receptor	Probability	Consequence	Risk
1	Asbestos, PAHs, heavy metals, sulphates, petroleum hydrocarbons, naphthalene, other fill material sources: unknown organic/inorganic compounds (possible deep made ground, residential buildings, possible boiler house)	No viable pathways (dermal contact, inhalation, ingestion).	Future site users. Offsite receptors.	-	-	-
2	Petroleum hydrocarbons, naphthalene (unknown depth of made ground, residential buildings, possible boiler house)	Inhalation of vapours. Migration via permeable strata and preferential pathways.	Future site users	Low Likelihood	Medium	Moderate / Low
3	Ground gas: methane, carbon dioxide (unknown depth of made ground)	Migration via permeable strata and preferential pathways. Inhalation of gas. Explosion in confined spaces.	Future site users. Buildings.	Low Likelihood	Severe	Moderate
4	Petroleum hydrocarbons, PAHs, heavy metals, naphthalene (unknown depth of made ground, residential buildings, possible boiler house)	Surface run-off. Migration via permeable strata and preferential pathways. Perched waters migration.	Groundwater Surface water	Low Likelihood	Medium	Moderate / Low
5	Petroleum hydrocarbons, naphthalene (unknown depth of made ground, residential buildings, possible boiler house)	Ingestion of tainted water supply.	Future site users. Water pipes.	Low Likelihood	Medium	Moderate / Low

Table 5-4: Preliminary Contamination Conceptual Model.

Notes: PL = Pollutant Linkage. Contaminant of Concern (CoC) - See Table 5-1 for contamination sources. See Section 7 for recommendations.



6. Preliminary Geotechnical Risk Assessment

Table 6-1 summarises the possible geotechnical constraints of the site, based on the site history, environmental settings and site reconnaissance. Investigation work will be required to confirm the risks and provide a detailed geotechnical assessment and foundation design.

Coal Mining	Site is not within a Development High Risk Area. No further assessment required.	
Envirocheck Ground Stability Hazards	No to very low hazard identified. No further action required.	
Made Ground	Unknown depth and constituent of made ground across the site. Possible in-ground structures from previous developments. Unlikely to be a suitable founding stratum unless engineering work is undertaken.	
Superficial	Unknown strength of soils for foundation design. Sand and gravel anticipated below the site. Strata may be variable giving differential settlement.	
Bedrock	Strength and extent of bedrock weathering unknown. Unknown depth to bedrock.	
Groundwater	Unknown depth and variability of groundwater. Shallow / fluctuating groundwater can affect the strength of the soil, particularly in granular ground. Shallow groundwater can also affect construction works.	
Plasticity	No clay recorded on site.	
Sulphate	Unknown sulphate content of the made ground and natural.	
Road / Pavement Design	Unknown CBR values for footpath and road design.	
Existing Foundations	Foundations of existing buildings should be confirmed.	

Table 6-1: Summary of geotechnical constraints.



7. Summary Conclusions and Recommendations

7.1. Summary Conclusions

Table 7-1 summarises the site details, historical review, environmental settings and site reconnaissance.

Current Site Use & Dertinent	Three-storey building with basement in central and northern area	
Content Site Ose & Pertinent	of site, car park in south of site. Ground floor currently used as	
reatures	clothing store. First and second floor vacant.	
	Residential development including 4no. apartments and 2no.	
Proposed Development	townhouses. First floor to remain as commercial. No soft	
	landscaping proposed.	
	Onsite: Public house, residential buildings, commercial stores, car	
Main Historical Features	park, vacant building.	
Wall Historical reatures	Surrounding Area: Residential buildings, commercial buildings,	
	saw mill, car parks, warehouses.	
	Artificial: No BGS recorded artificial ground.	
Geology / Hydrogeology	Superficial: River Terrace Deposits- sand and gravel.	
	Bedrock: Chester Formation- sandstone, pebbly (gravely).	
Landfills / Infilled ground	No recorded landfills. Potentially infilled ground identified.	

Table 7-1: Summary of site details, historical review, environmental settings and site reconnaissance.

A preliminary contamination conceptual model has been produced by LKC, which is summarised in Table 7-2.

Pollutant Linkage	Contaminants	Risk
PL1 : Contaminants posing a risk to receptors via dermal contact, ingestion and inhalation (of soil, dust, fibres and home-grown produce).	-	-
PL2 : Volatile contaminants posing a risk to receptors via the inhalation of vapours.	Petroleum hydrocarbons, naphthalene	Moderate / Low
PL3 : Gas posing a risk to buildings and receptors via the migration of gas into building causing explosion and asphyxiation.	Hazardous Gas	Moderate
PL4 : Mobile contamination posing a risk to controlled waters via the migration through permeable strata.	Petroleum hydrocarbons, PAHs, heavy metals, naphthalene	Moderate / Low
PL5 : Organic contaminants posing a risk to receptors.	Petroleum hydrocarbons, naphthalene	Moderate / Low

Table 7-2: Summary risk table.

A preliminary geotechnical assessment has been carried out by LKC. Table 7-3 summarises the geotechnical constraints.

Coal Mining	Site is not within a Development High Risk Area. No further assessment required.	
Made Ground	Unknown depth and constituent of made ground across the site.	
Superficial	Unknown strength of soils for foundation design.	
Bedrock	Unknown depth to bedrock.	
Groundwater Unknown depth and variability of groundwater.		
Plasticity	No clay recorded onsite.	



Sulphate	Unknown sulphate content of the made ground and natural.	
Road / Rayamont Dosign	Unknown CBR values for footpath and road design should be	
Koau / Pavement Design	calculated.	
Existing Foundations	Existing foundations of building should be confirmed.	
Table 7.2: Summary of geotochnical constraints		

Table 7-3: Summary of geotechnical constraints.

Based upon the available information, LKC conclude there is a viable contamination and geotechnical risk and therefore further assessment is recommended.

7.2. Recommendations

Recommendations are provided in Table 7-4.

	Phase 2 intrusive investigation required.	
	Phase 2 investigation to be carried out in line with current	
Further Assessment	guidance, including BS10175 ⁹ , BS5930 ¹⁰ CIRIA C665 ¹¹ , RB17 ¹² and	
Recommendations	BS8485 ¹³ .	
	'Exploratory investigation': 1 sample per 25-50m square centres.	
	To be agreed with the local authority.	
Type of Investigation Work	Boreholes, with supplementary hand dug pits.	
	Limited intrusive investigation work recommended to confirm	
	ground conditions across the site.	
PL 2, 5	Testing of contaminants of concern based on preliminary	
	conceptual model and field observations (PID tests and visual /	
	olfactory evidence).	
	Intrusive investigation to confirm ground conditions. If significant	
PL 3	gas source and pathway identified, gas monitoring or gas	
	protection measures required.	
	Groundwater sampling, subject to ground conditions	
	encountered.	
	Groundwater samples to be collected from monitoring wells and	
	tested for contaminants of concern based on preliminary	
	conceptual model and ground conditions encountered.	
	Information from the above can be used to carry out a	
Reporting	contamination and geotechnical assessment and provide a	
	remediation strategy for the site.	

Table 7-4: Recommendations.

Further considerations are summarised in Table 7-5.

	As the site is in Flood Risk Zone 2 a Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) is required.
	NPPF ¹⁴ requires that an FRA is undertaken to assess the impact of
Flood Risk / Sustainable Drainage	the site on the local drainage system and to assess potential for
	Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) techniques.
	LKC have a Flood Risk assessor who would be happy to advise on
	the requirements of the Flood Risk Assessment.

Table 7-5: Further considerations.



Figures





SK01 Townhouse - Ground floor

Kelsall Architects 26 Oct 2023









Kelsall Architects 26 Oct 2023





ELV - Proposed Apartments North West Elevation





Colour: Dark grey extension facade application DC/087456



2 ELV - Proposed Apartments South East Elevation



4 ELV - Proposed Apartments South West Elevation

-Aluminium guttering and RWP _Aluminium casement window.

Zinc cladding to additional storey

-New brickwork face to rear extension

-Red dashed line denotes apartments approved under Prior Approval

-Existing lower floor built into rock

•

_Aluminium guttering and RWP

_Zinc cladding to additional storey extension facade Aluminium casement windows. Colour: Dark grey





1 PLN - Proposed Apartments Level 02 Plan scale: 1:100



2 PLN - Proposed Apartments Level 03 Plan scale: 1:100





Appendix A – Historical Maps

Historical Mapping Legends

Ordnance	Survey County Series 1:10,560	Ordnance Survey Plan 1:10,000	1:10,000 Raster Mapping
Grav Pit	vel Sand Other Pit Pits	مرین کر Chalk Pit, Clay Pit کر Gravel Pit در Chalk Pit, Clay Pit در Chalk Pit	Gravel Pit Gravel Pit Gravel Pit
C Qua	rry Shingle Orchard	Sand Pit Oisused Pit	Rock (scattered)
په ^م نه ^م نه ² من منه مرفق من منه منه ² من منه منه منه من منه منه منه منه منه منه منه منه منه م	ers	Refuse or Lake, Loch	ີ້ໍ້ໍີ Boulders Boulders (scattered)
4 2 5 4 6 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	and the second s	Dunes 200 Boulders	Shingle Mud Mud
Mixed Woo	d Deciduous Brushwood	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Sand Sand Sand Pit
			Slopes reaction Top of cliff
Fir	Furze Rough Pasture	ஒ் ் Orchard ெ தொல் \Y்ஸ் Coppice ரிரி Bracken ஸ்ப்ப்ச் Heath பட்டா, Rough ரி Grassland	General detail — — — — Underground detail — — — Overhead detail — — — — Narrow gauge railway
++++→ Ai flo	rrow denotes <u>a</u> Trigonometrical ow of water Station	<u> معا</u> يد Marsh ،،،∨//، Reeds <u>معا</u> دد Saltings	railway railway
r ∔• Si	ite of Antiquities 🔹 🔹 Bench Mark	Direction of Flow of Water Building	Civil, parish or County boundary (England only) Civil, parish or community boundary
• 285 S	ump, Guide Post, Well, Spring, ignal Post Boundary Post urface Level	Glasshouse Sand	District, Unitary, Metropolitan, Constituency London Borough boundary boundary
Sketched	Instrumental Contour	Pylon ————————————————————————————————————	Area of wooded vegetation Area of vegetation Area of vegetatio
Main Roads	Fenced Minor Roads	Cutting Embankment Standard Gauge	Coniferous Coni
	Sunken Road Raised Road	Road ''''''' Road Level Foot Single Track	★ trees (scattered) ★ tree Coppice or Osiers
And the second s	Road over Railway over Railway River	Giding, Tramway Or Mineral Line	متله Rough متله Grassland میلاه ۱۹۹۲ Heath
	Railway over Level Crossing	—— —— Geographical County	∩o_ Crub →⊻∠ Marsh, Salt →⊻∠ Marsh or Reeds
	Road over Road over River or Canal Stream	Administrative County, County Borough or County of City Municipal Borough Urban or Bural District	Water feature Flow arrows
	Road over Stream	Burgh or District Council Borough, Burgh or County Constituency Shown only when not coincident with other boundaries	MHW(S) Mean high water (springs) Mean low water (springs)
	County Boundary (Geographical)	Civil Parish — — — — Civil Parish Shown alternately when coincidence of boundaries occurs	Telephone line (where shown)
	County & Civil Parish Boundary	BP, BS Boundary Post or Stone Pol Sta Police Station	← Bench mark Triangulation
	County Borough Boundary (England)	Ch Church PO Post Office CH Club House PC Public Convenience	Point feature Pylon, flare stack
Co. Boro. Bdy.	County Burgh Boundary (Scotland)	FE Sta Fire Engine Stadon PH Public House FB Foot Bridge SB Signal Box Fn Fountain Spr Spring	or Mile Stone)
y	Rural District Boundary	GP Guide Post TCB Telephone Call Box MP Mile Post TCP Telephone Call Post	· ↓• Site of (antiquity) Glasshouse
	Civil Parish Boundary	MS Mile Stone W Well	General Building Important Building

$\begin{array}{c|c} G & R & O & U & P \\ \textbf{Historical Mapping & Photography included:} \end{array}$

Mapping Type	Scale	Date	Pg
Lancashire And Furness	1:10,560	1848	3
Cheshire	1:10,560	1882	4
Lancashire And Furness	1:10,560	1895	5
Cheshire	1:10,560	1899	6
Lancashire And Furness	1:10,560	1910 - 1911	7
Cheshire	1:10,560	1911	8
Lancashire And Furness	1:10,560	1923	9
Lancashire And Furness	1:10,560	1934	10
Cheshire	1:10,560	1938	11
Lancashire And Furness	1:10,560	1938	12
Ordnance Survey Plan	1:10,000	1954 - 1955	13
Ordnance Survey Plan	1:10,000	1965 - 1969	14
Ordnance Survey Plan	1:10,000	1971 - 1978	15
Manchester	1:25,000	1975	16
Ordnance Survey Plan	1:10,000	1980 - 1985	17
Ordnance Survey Plan	1:10,000	1989	18
Ordnance Survey Plan	1:10,000	1990 - 1995	19
10K Raster Mapping	1:10,000	1999	20
10K Raster Mapping	1:10,000	2006	21
VectorMap Local	1:10,000	2023	22

Historical Map - Slice A



Order Details

Order Number:	321316062_1_1
Customer Ref:	LKC 23 1319
National Grid Reference:	389560, 390460
Slice:	A
Site Area (Ha):	0.04
Search Buffer (m):	1000

Site Details

51a, Great Underbank, Stockport, SK1 1NE



0844 844 9952 0844 844 9951 www.envirocheck.co.uk

A Landmark Information Group Service v50.0 19-Oct-2023 Page 1 of 22

Tel: Fax: Web:



G R O U PHistorical Mapping & Photography included:

Mapping Type	Scale	Date	Pg
Lancashire And Furness	1:10,560	1848	3
Cheshire	1:10,560	1882	4
Lancashire And Furness	1:10,560	1895	5
Cheshire	1:10,560	1899	6
Lancashire And Furness	1:10,560	1910 - 1911	7
Cheshire	1:10,560	1911	8
Lancashire And Furness	1:10,560	1923	9
Lancashire And Furness	1:10,560	1934	10
Cheshire	1:10,560	1938	11
Lancashire And Furness	1:10,560	1938	12
Ordnance Survey Plan	1:10,000	1954 - 1955	13
Ordnance Survey Plan	1:10,000	1965 - 1969	14
Ordnance Survey Plan	1:10,000	1971 - 1978	15
Manchester	1:25,000	1975	16
Ordnance Survey Plan	1:10,000	1980 - 1985	17
Ordnance Survey Plan	1:10,000	1989	18
Ordnance Survey Plan	1:10,000	1990 - 1995	19
10K Raster Mapping	1:10,000	1999	20
10K Raster Mapping	1:10,000	2006	21
VectorMap Local	1:10,000	2023	22

Russian Map - Slice A



Order Details

Order Number:	321316062_1_1
Customer Ref:	LKC 23 1319
National Grid Reference:	389560, 390460
Slice:	A
Site Area (Ha):	0.04
Search Buffer (m):	1000

Site Details

51a, Great Underbank, Stockport, SK1 1NE



0844 844 9952 0844 844 9951 www.envirocheck.co.uk

Tel:

Fax:

Web:



G R O U P Lancashire And Furness

Published 1848

Source map scale - 1:10,560

The historical maps shown were reproduced from maps predominantly held at the scale adopted for England, Wales and Scotland in the 1840's. In 1854 the 1:2,500 scale was adopted for mapping urban areas; these maps were used to update the 1:10,560 maps. The published date given therefore is often some years later than the surveyed date. Before 1938, all OS maps were based on the Cassini Projection, with independent surveys of a single county or group of counties, giving rise to significant inaccuracies in outlying areas. In the late 1940's, a Provisional Edition was produced, which updated the 1:10,560 mapping from a number of sources. The maps appear unfinished - with all military camps and other strategic sites removed. These maps were initially overprinted with the National Grid. In 1970, the first 1:10,000 maps were produced using the Transverse Mercator Projection. The revision process continued until recently, with new editions appearing every 10 years or so for urban areas.





G R O U P Cheshire

Published 1882

Source map scale - 1:10,560

The historical maps shown were reproduced from maps predominantly held at the scale adopted for England, Wales and Scotland in the 1840's. In 1854 the 1:2,500 scale was adopted for mapping urban areas; these maps were used to update the 1:10,560 maps. The published date given therefore is often some years later than the surveyed date. Before 1938, all OS maps were based on the Cassini Projection, with independent surveys of a single county or group of counties, giving rise to significant inaccuracies in outlying areas. In the late 1940's, a Provisional Edition was produced, which updated the 1:10,560 mapping from a number of sources. The maps appear unfinished - with all military camps and other strategic sites removed. These maps were initially overprinted with the National Grid. In 1970, the first 1:10,000 maps were produced using the Transverse Mercator Projection. The revision process continued until recently, with new editions appearing every 10 years or so for urban areas.





