

SuDSmart Design



Sustainable Drainage Assessment

Site Address

Land to the rear of Orchard Cottage Main Street Grendon Underwood HP18 OSJ

Grid Reference

468646, 220238

Report Prepared for

Adrian Bird

Date

2024-02-02

Report Status FINAL Site Area 1.03 ha Report Reference

81010R1



Discharge to Pond

As infiltration has been proven infeasible, runoff from the proposed development is proposed to be discharged into the existing pond at a controlled rate.

SuDS features such as rainwater harvesting butts, permeable paving and attenuation tanks are proposed to provide attenuation up to the 1 in 100 year + 40% climate change rainfall event.

Report Author

David South Senior Consultant

Report Checker & Reviewer

Annabel Elleray Project Consultant

GeoSmart Information Ltd Suite 9-11, 1st Floor, Old Bank Buildings, Bellstone, Shrewsbury, SY1 1HU +44(0)1743 298 100 info@geosmartinfo.co.uk www.geosmartinfo.co.uk







1 Executive summary



This report assesses the feasibility of a range of Sustainable Drainage Scheme (SuDS) options in support of the Site development process. A SuDS strategy is proposed to ensure surface water runoff can be managed effectively over the lifetime of the development.

SuDS suitability

Risk	lssue	Result
	What is the infiltration potential at the Site?	Low
Discharge	What is the potential to discharge to surface water features?	High
Location	What is the potential to discharge to sewers?	Low
	What is the potential to discharge to highway drains?	Low
	What is the fluvial flood risk at the Site?	Very Low
Flooding	What is the pluvial flood risk at the Site?	Very Low to High
	What is the groundwater flood risk at the Site?	Negligible
	Is the groundwater a protected resource?	No
Pollution	Is the surface water feature a protected resource?	No

Summary of existing and proposed development

The Site is currently used within a residential and greenfield capacity. At present there is a single building with car park and landscaped areas. Development proposals comprise the demolition of the existing dwelling and the construction of seven dwellings with access road, car parking and associated landscaping.

Summary of discharge routes

According to GeoSmart's SD50 mapping the Site has Low potential for infiltration, with low permeability underlying Stewartby Member Mudstone. In-situ soil infiltration testing was conducted at the Site (Ref: P1175/R1/V1, EPS Consulting), which indicated that infiltration rates are too low for infiltration SuDS to be feasible at the Site.

OS mapping shows that there are no surface water features within 100 m of the Site. However, aerial mapping and a topographic survey by Total Geomatics (2023) have confirmed the presence of a pond located within the west of the Site.



According to a Thames Water asset plan there are no surface water or combined sewers within close proximity to the Site.

Runoff rate and attenuation requirements

Discharging off-Site requires 128.4 m³ of attenuation to be provided to ensure there is no flooding within the development in all storm events up to and including the 1 in 100 year including a 40% allowance for climate change. This volume is subject to the discharge rate being restricted to 3.3 l/s. This is equivalent to the 100 year Greenfield runoff rate (see Appendix B for full calculations)





	Asset Type	Maintenance Schedule (and Frequency)
	Permeable pavements	 Regular maintenance: Brushing and vacuuming (three time per y Trimming any roots and surrounding grass weeds that may be causing blockages (an or as required) Monitoring: Initial inspection (monthly) Inspect surface for poor performance or po (annually or after large storm events)
······································	Underground drainage pipe network and manholes / catchpits	 Regular maintenance: Remove sediment and debris from pre-tredevices and floor of inspection tube or chat (annually) Cleaning of gutters and any filters on down (annually) Trimming any roots that may be causing blockages (annually or as required) Monitoring: Inspect silt traps and note rate of sedimen accumulation (monthly in the first year and annually)
	Attenuation tank	 Regular maintenance: Remove litter and debris from inlets and o (monthly) Trimming any roots and surrounding grass blockages (as required) Monitoring: Inspect inlets, outlets and overflows for blo (monthly or after a heavy storm) Inspect inlets and outlets for silt accumulat (half yearly) Inspect infiltration surfaces for compaction ponding (monthly) Survey inside of tank for sediment build-up remove (annually or as required)
0	Rain water pipe	 Regular maintenance: Remove sediment and debris from grating channel and sump (monthly or as required Trimming any roots and surrounding grass blockages (as required) Monitoring: Inspect inlets and outlets for blockages or accumulation (monthly or after a heavy stored)
	Hydrobrake	 Regular maintenance: Remove silts from the Hydrobrake chamber (annually) Remove any debris obstructing the inlet, of control ensuring the emergency drain down mechanism if replaced correctly (annually) Monitoring: Inspect the hydrobrake control from the surface signs of blockage or damage (as required) Inspect the Hydrobrake chamber sump for up of silt and the inlet and outlet for debriss (annually) Check the emergency drain down mechan in good working order (annually)
	Rainwater harvesting water butt	 Regular maintenance: Clean tank, inlets, outlets, gutters, roof dra and withdrawal devices (annually or as required) Empty water butt and clean interior, removes ludge, algea or sediments (annually or as required) Monitoring: Inspect tank for debris and sediment build (annually and following poor performance) Inspect inlets, outlets and overflow (annual following poor performance)
	Outfall	 Regular maintenance: Remove litter and debris (monthly or as re Manage other vegetation and remove nuis plants (monthly at start then as required) Repair / rehabilitate outlet, as required Monitoring: Inspect structure and pipework for blockage clear if required (monthly or as required)

		1	Notes. 1. Do not : 2. All dime 3. This dra drawing 4. All drain Constru- building 5. Exact lo drainag enginee be designed to have 6. Contractor comme diversion 7. All spec	scale fr ensions awing to gs and o nage to uction G regula ocations le down er. Inter gned by roddin ctor to c ncemel ons, low cialist d	om this drawing. are in millimeters unless be read in conjunction documents. be constructed to SSG duidance, current british tions and other relevant of rain water downpiper pipes to be confirmed b nal SVPs and other inter M&E engineer. Rain wa g access, sump and grat confirm locations of exist int on site and to arrange rering or protection works rainage components suc- trol and numping station	s otherwise stated with all other relev Design and standards and standards. s and other interna y architect / M&E mal foul drainage ater pipe connecti- ted cover. ing services prior f for any necessary s as required. th as attenuation is to be designed.	/ant to ons to /
	Party Responsible		installed 8. Cover le	d as per evels to	ntrol and pumping station r manufacturers requirer b be confirmed by landsc out levels are in meters u	nents. ape architect. Cov	and /er
ear)			stated. design	If cover should	e levels change from ass be re-assessed, especia	umed then drainaged and the second seco	ge
s and nually			9. Extent of thresho design	of linear Ids to h as per i	r drainage channel to pe ighway boundary to be o manufacturer. Linear cha	rimeter of building confirmed by archi annels to have rod	and itect, ding
·			access, manufa 10. Private	, sump cturer c surface	and grated cover. Linear design. e water pipes to be 100Ø	drains to with minimum fal	l of
onding	Privately owned and maintained by house owners		1:100 u 11. Permea and rec 12. Propose the peri up feat	nless o able pav commer ed grou meable ures W	therwise stated. ving and outlets to be as idations. Ind levels will influence to paving and the position hen proposed ground le	manufacturer des he storage capaci of the drainage pi yels are complete	ign ty of ck the
atment	asset		permea sufficier features	ible pav nt stora s are po	ring design should be rev ge is provided and that t positioned correctly.	viewed to ensure he drainage pick u	qı
Imber	company as agreed /		13. Permea pick up towards	able pay feature s the dis	ving sub-base should fall s. Perforated pick up dra scharge point.	towards the drain ains should fall	lage
npipes	appointed between house owners		14. Minimu areas 0 concret 15. Access for drive carpark	m cove 0.6m, in e prote chamb eway, C ss.	r to thermoplastic pipes driveway 0.9m, in road ction will be required. er cover class A15 for g 250 for lightly trafficked	in garden or patio 1.2m, otherwise arden and patio, E roads or small pri	3125 vate
t I then			16. Design constru constru and any 17. Design informa	is for pl ction. E ction to y assun should tion or c	lanning purposes only an Design should be confirm ensure all available information should b be reviewed in light of a on validation or otherwis	nd not for led prior to rmation is conside e verified. ny additional e of any assumpti	ered ons.
utlets							
6							
ockades							
tion							
and							
o and							
,) \$							
silt orm)							
er sump							
utlet or n							
e for)							
build							
ism is							
ain filters quired) ving any			P01 02.02	2.24	Initial issue	DS	MG
S S			Rev Dat Client:	te	Detail	Drwn	Chkd
up					Adrian Biro	b	
lly and			Project:	nd to	o the rear of	Orchard	
quired)				Jnd	erwood, HP	, Grendo 18 0SJ	"11
ance			Drawing Title:				
les and			Pro	pos M	ed Manager aintenance I	ment and Plan	
			G	► (GeoS nformati	mar	t
			Suite 9-11, 1st I	Floor, C	ld Bank Buildings, Bellsto	one, Shrewsbury, S	SY1 1HU
			DS		MG	Feb. 20	24
			^{Scale:}			Status: Prelimin	ary
			Drawing No:	101		Issue:	
				ιUΙ			



Sub-base storage layer of OGCR or graval, 30% voids, minimum hickness for specified hickness required for structural strength to be confirmed by manufacturer meable Paving Detail	 Notes. 1. Do not scale fr 2. All dimensions 3. This drawing to drawings and c 4. All drainage too Construction G building regula 5. Exact locations drainage down engineer. Intern be designed by to have rodding 6. Contractor to c commencemer diversions, low 7. All specialist dr tanks, flow con installed as per 8. Cover levels to levels and inve stated. If cover design should l extreme events 9. Extent of linear thresholds to h design as per r access, sump a manufacturer d 10. Private surface 1:100 unless of 11. Permeable pav and recommen 12. Proposed grou the permeable up features. Wy permeable pav sufficient stora; features are po 13. Permeable pav pick up feature towards the dis 14. Minimum cover areas 0.6m, in construction to and any assur 17. Design is for pl construction to and any assur 17. Design should information or of 	om this drawing. are in millimeters unless be read in conjunction w locuments. be constructed to SSG D viidance, current british s tions and other relevant s of rain water downpipes pipes to be confirmed by nal SVPs and other inter (M&E engineer. Rain wa g access, sump and grate onfirm locations of existin t on site and to arrange f ering or protection works "anaufacturers requirem be confirmed by landsca " tarainage channel to peri ighway boundary to be co- manufacturer. Linear chan and grated cover. Linear levels change from assu- be re-assessed, especial s. " drainage channel to peri ighway boundary to be co- manufacturer. Linear chan and grated cover. Linear lesign. " water pipes to be 10000" therwise stated. ing and outlets to be as r dations. Ind levels will influence th paving and the position of hen proposed ground lev ing design should be revi ge is provided and that th sitioned correctly. "ing sub-base should fall f s. Perforated pick up drai scharge point. " to thermoplastic pipes in driveway 0.9m, in road 1 esign should be confirme ensure all available infor red information should be be reviewed in light of an on validation or otherwise	otherwise stated. ith all other relevant landards and tandards. and other internal architect / M&E anal foul drainage to ter pipe connections d cover. g services prior to or any necessary as required. as attenuation to be designed and ents. pe architect. Cover less otherwise med then drainage y in regards to meter of building and onfirmed by architect, onels to have rodding drains to with minimum fall of nanufacturer design e storage capacity of of the drainage pick els are complete the ewed to ensure e drainage pick up towards the drainage ns should fall a garden or patio .2m, otherwise rden and patio, B125 oads or small private d not for d prior to mation is considered verified. y additional of any assumptions.
<u>v Depths</u>	P0102.02.24IRevDateIClient:I	Detail	DS MG Drwn Chkd
	Project: Land to Cottage, Unde	o the rear of Main Street erwood, HP1	Orchard , Grendon 8 0SJ
	Propo	sed Cross S	ections
tfall	Suite 9-11, 1st Floor, O Drawn by:	JEOS nformation Id Bank Buildings, Bellston Checked by:	mart on ne, Shrewsbury, SY1 1HU Date:
	DS Scale:	MG @ ∆1	Feb. 2024 Status: Preliminant
	Drawing No: 81010 102		Issue: P01





Site location

Figure 1. Aerial Imagery (Bluesky, 2024)









The GeoSmart SuDS Infiltration Suitability Map (SD50) screens the potential for infiltration drainage at the Site and indicates where further assessment is recommended. The map combines information on the thickness and permeability of the underlying material and the depth to the high groundwater table. It supports conceptual Site drainage design and the planning of further Site investigation.

There is a Low potential for infiltration SuDS across the Site. It is likely that the underlying geology at the Site has low permeability which would limit the effectiveness of a proposed infiltration SuDS scheme.

In-situ soil infiltration testing was conducted at the Site to confirm infiltration rates at the Site (Ref: P1175/R1/V1, EPS Consulting). Infiltration testing revealed very little soakage with



groundwater falling c. 30mm during the 90 minute test period. After this time, the pit started to collapse and the test was terminated.





An assessment of the topography at the Site has been undertaken using LiDAR DTM5 elevation data to identify the general slope and any localized depressions. The mapping shows a comparison between average ground levels on the Site with ground levels in the surrounding area. The mapping confirms the overall Site is generally level with an area of lower elevation located in the west of the site where there is a pond.

Further analysis could be undertaken by visiting the Site or by collecting additional topographic survey to provide further confirmation of ground levels.







An assessment of the EA's groundwater Source Protection Zones (SPZs) has been undertaken within the vicinity of the Site and confirms the Site is not located within an SPZ.

Infiltration, if possible, is likely to be acceptable providing risk screening identifies suitable mitigation measures, if required, to prevent an impact on water quality from the proposed or historical land use and contaminated land.

If further analysis is required, this would involve a review of Site specific contaminated land data. If hazards are identified, it is recommended that the Local Authority and the Environment Agency are contacted to confirm the susceptibility of any SPZs within the wider area.







OS mapping shows that there are no surface water features within 100 m of the Site. However aerial mapping and a topographic survey by Total Geomatics (2023) have confirmed the presence of a pond located within the west of the Site.

According to DEFRA's MagicMap, the Site is not within 250m of a SSSI or SPA.

Further analysis could be undertaken by contacting the Local Council and the Environment Agency (EA) to confirm the presence, location and condition of any mapped or additional unmapped surface water features.





Figure 6. Sewer features map (OS & Thames Water, 2024)

GeoSmart has undertaken an assessment of the location of sewer features within the vicinity of the Site. According to an asset location plan undertaken at the Site (Appendix C), there are no public surface water sewer or combined sewers located within the vicinity of the Site.

The asset plan confirms the location of a Foul Sewer located within the adjacent highway, Main Street.

Further analysis of the connections and condition of the public surface water drainage system should be undertaken by carrying out a CCTV survey or by contacting the drainage provider or the Local Council to confirm the presence, location and condition of the sewer. Consultation with the drainage provider would also be required to determine that sufficient capacity is available to accept the proposed discharge, and to gain permission to connect if required.



Where development is proposed above or within close proximity to the public sewer network, a build-over agreement or easement may be required with the relevant utility provider.







According to the EA's Risk of Flooding from Rivers and the Sea (RoFRS) map, the Site has a Very Low risk of flooding from fluvial or coastal flooding, with less than 0.1% annual probability of flooding, therefore the SuDS design is unlikely to be affected.





Figure 8. Risk of surface water flooding map (EA, 2024)

GeoSmart have undertaken an assessment of the risk of flooding from surface water (pluvial) sources within the vicinity of the Site using the EA's Risk of Flooding from Surface Water (RoFSW) mapping.

The above map shows the extent and depth of flooding during the >3.3% annual probability (AEP) (1 in 30 year – High risk), 3.3 - 1% AEP (1 in 100 year – Medium risk) and 1 – 0.1% AEP (1 in 1000 year – Low risk) events. This confirms there are areas where flooding could occur in a 1 in 30 year, 1 in 100 year and 1 in 1000 year events, which most likely relates to the presence of the unmapped pond. Flooding in these areas may constrain certain types of SuDS features being used.

Further analysis could be undertaken by contacting the Local Council and the Environment Agency to confirm the pluvial flood risk, flood depths and velocities where applicable.





Figure 9. Groundwater flood risk (GW5) map (GeoSmart, 2024)

GeoSmart have undertaken an assessment of the risk of flooding from groundwater within the vicinity of the Site. GeoSmart's Groundwater Flood Risk Screening (GW5) map confirms the Site has a Negligible risk of groundwater flooding during a 1% annual probability (1 in 100 year) event.



3 Site context



Site information

The purpose of this report is to assess the potential for disposing of surface water through a Sustainable Drainage System (SuDS) for the site of Land to Rear of Orchard Cottage, Main Street, Grendon Underwood, HP18 OSJ (the site). The Site is located within the village of Grendon Underwood in a setting of commercial and residential use. The land is generally level on site with a slope falling to the north at the proposed site entrance. Elevation levels on site are between 77.52 mAOD and 74.93 mAOD. This is based on EA elevation data obtained for the Site to a 1 m resolution with a vertical accuracy of ±150 mm. Site plans and drawings are provided in Appendix A.

Development

The Site is currently used within a residential and greenfield capacity. At present there is a single building with car park and landscaped areas. Development proposals comprise the demolition of the existing dwelling and the construction of seven dwellings with access road, car parking and associated landscaping.

Geology, permeability and thickness

British Geological Survey (BGS) national superficial and bedrock geology mapping confirms the geological formations underlying the Site and each formation may have a range of permeability.

Table 1. Site Geology

G	Potentially permeable?	
Superficial geology (Figure 11)	No mapped superficial geology	N/A
Bedrock geology (Figure 12) Stewartby Member Mudstone (SBY) – mudstone		Х

There are no BGS boreholes available within the vicinity of the Site.

In-situ soil Infiltration testing was conducted at the Site to confirm infiltration rates at the Site (Ref: P1175/R1/V1, EPS Consulting). The trial pit undertaken as part of this Site investigation confirms the underlying geology comprises:

• Made Ground to a depth of 0.50m below ground level (bgl);



- Slightly gravelly clay to a depth of 1.80mbgl;
- Clay with occasional selenite to a depth of c. 2.6 mbgl, where the trial pit ended.

Subsequent infiltration testing within the trial pit revealed very little soakage with groundwater falling c. 30mm during the 90 minute test period. After this time, the pit started to collapse and the test was terminated. As such infiltration to ground is not considered to be feasible.

Depth to groundwater

According to GeoSmart's GW5 mapping shallow groundwater is not a concern at the Site. Site investigation by EPS Consulting noted a very slow seepage of water at 2.6 mbgl but deemed it not to be representative of a groundwater strike.













Ground conditions

Infiltration SuDS features are not proposed at the Site; therefore, a detailed investigation into the ground conditions is not required.

Water quality

The Site does not lie within an SPZ and infiltration features are not proposed; therefore, for the purposes of the sustainable drainage assessment, further consideration of the historical land uses (and any associated contamination risks) is not considered necessary.



4 National & local policy context



CIRIA SuDS Manual (C753) (2015)

A development should utilise sustainable drainage systems (SUDS) unless there are practical reasons for not doing so, and should aim to achieve greenfield run-off rates and ensure that surface water run-off is managed as close to its source as possible in line with the following drainage hierarchy:

- 1. Use infiltration techniques, such as porous surfaces in non-clay areas,
- 2. attenuate rainwater in ponds or open water features for gradual release,
- 3. attenuate rainwater by storing in tanks or sealed water features for gradual release,
- 4. discharge rainwater direct to a watercourse,
- 5. discharge rainwater to a surface water sewer / drain,
- 6. discharge rainwater to the combined sewer.

Defra - Sustainable Drainage Systems: Non-statutory technical standards for sustainable drainage systems (2015)

Peak Flow control

For developments which were previously developed, the peak runoff rate from the development to any drain, sewer or surface water body for the 1 in 1 year rainfall event and the 1 in 100 year rainfall event must be as close as reasonably practicable to the greenfield runoff rate from the development for the same rainfall event, but should never exceed the rate of discharge from the development prior to redevelopment for that event.

For greenfield developments, the peak runoff rate from the development to any highway drain, sewer or surface water body for the 1 in 1 year rainfall event and the 1 in 100 year rainfall event should never exceed the peak greenfield runoff rate for the same event.

Volume control

Where reasonably practicable, for developments which have been previously developed, the runoff volume from the development to any highway drain, sewer or surface water body in the 1 in 100 year, 6 hour rainfall event must be constrained to a value as close as is reasonably practicable to the greenfield runoff volume for the same event, but should never exceed the runoff volume from the development site prior to redevelopment for that event. The runoff volume must be discharged at a rate that does not adversely affect flood risk.

The drainage system must be designed so that, unless an area is designated to hold and/or convey water as part of the design, flooding does not occur on any part of the Site for a 1 in 30 year rainfall event.

Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government – National Planning Practice Guidance: Flood risk assessments: climate change allowances (2022)

The Peak rainfall intensity allowances section provides advice on the increased rainfall effects on river levels and land and urban drainage systems. As of May 2022, the applicable climate change allowance is defined by specific Management Catchment for the 1 in 30 (\geq 3.3% AEP) and 1 in 100 (< 3.3 to 1% AEP) year event.

As the Site is located within the Cherwell and Ray Management Catchment the following climate change allowances are applicable.

Cherwell and Ray Management	3.3% Annual exceedance rainfall event		1% Annual exceedance rainfall event	
Catchment	2050s	2070s	2050s	2070s
Central	20%	25%	20%	25%
Upper end	35%	35%	40%	40%

Table 2. Cherwell and Ray Management Catchment peak rainfall allowances

The drainage system should be designed to make sure there is no increase in the rate of runoff discharged from the Site for the upper end allowance.

Where on-Site flooding for the upper end allowance presents a significant flood hazard (for example, depths and velocities of surface water runoff cause a significant danger to people), you will need to take further mitigation measures to protect people and property (for example, raising finished floor levels). As a minimum, there should be no significant flood hazard to people from on-Site flooding for the central allowance.

Local Policy

Buckinghamshire County Council – Developer Advice for Surface Water Drainage Strategies (2022)

In summary, sustainable drainage in Buckinghamshire has the potential to:

- protect people and property from increased flood risk resulting from the development
- create attractive places where people want to live, work, and play through integration of water and green spaces in the built environment
- improve people's understanding of how runoff from their development is being managed and used, and the benefits of more sustainable approaches



- support the creation of developments that are more able to cope with changes in climate
- deliver cost-effective infrastructure that uses fewer natural resources and has a smaller whole-life carbon footprint than conventional drainage
- protect the quality of groundwater and surface waters from polluted runoff from development
- protect natural flow regimes (and thus the morphology and associated ecology) in rivers, lakes and streams
- support local natural habitats and associated ecosystems by encouraging greater biodiversity and linking habitats
- improve soil moisture and replenishing depleted groundwater levels
- provide society with a valuable supply of water

Design Standards for SuDS Components

Infiltration rate testing must be carried out in accordance with Building Research Establishment Digest 365 Soakaway Design (2016) standards, in the location and to the effective depth of the proposed component, with test pits draining beyond the 25% effective depth.

A minimum infiltration rate of 1×10^{-6} m/s must be achieved.

If groundwater level monitoring is required, groundwater monitoring must be undertaken during the winter months (from November until April).

The base of the soakaway must be at least 1m above the groundwater level to protect the functionality of the infiltration process.

Shallow soakaways must be located a minimum of 5m away from any building foundation, retaining structure, highway or site boundary.

Half-drain time of the soakaway must be within 24 hours for the 1 in 30 year rainfall event.

Half-drain time for the 1 in 100 year storm event.

A separation distance of 10m between individual soakaways, if separation distance cannot be achieved calculations must account for reduction is capacity.

Maximum impermeable area of 1000m2 served by individual soakaway.

Soakaways must not be constructed in contaminated ground.

Any pond, wetland, infiltration basins or detention basins must be designed/carried out to the following standards:

- maximum side slopes of 1 in 3, however 1 in 5 side slopes are preferred
- maximum depth for permanent open water areas of 1.2m
- maximum depth of water should not normally exceed 2m in the most extreme design event



- minimum 300mm freeboard between bank-full and the 1 in 100 year plus climate change
- demonstration of overspill for extreme events
- they must be natural in shape, with topography and vegetation reflecting the landscape and enhancing the character of the area

In locations with high groundwater levels, the ponds, wetlands and detention basins must be lined and floatation calculations provided.



5 Storage, volume and peak flow rate



Suggested minimum and aspirational storage requirements for an infiltration or attenuation SuDS scheme for the development footprint are set out below, with more detail provided in subsequent sections. Storage volumes may be reduced (but not below the minimum level) if the design incorporates off-Site discharge.

Table 3.Storage requirements at the proposed development Site (Discharge
runoff to pond)

Attenuation scenario		Attenuation required (m ³)	Explanation
Discharge runoff to pond	1 in 100 year including 40% CC	128.4	Attenuation required to ensure surface water runoff is attenuated in all storm events up to and including the 1 in 100 year event including a 40% allowance for climate change*.

*See Appendix B for associated runoff and discharge calculations. Discharge rates all restricted as close as possible to greenfield rates in their respective events.

Surface water runoff

An increase in impermeable area on-Site will result in greater rainfall runoff. Reduction in runoff will help mitigate flood risk both on and off-Site. Further information on the surface water runoff calculations is provided in Section 12 'Background Information'.

Guidance

The Non-Statutory Technical Guidance for SuDS (Defra, March 2015) states:

"Where reasonably practicable, for Greenfield development, the runoff volume from the development to any highway drain, sewer or surface water body in the 1 in 100 year, 6 hour rainfall event should never exceed the Greenfield runoff volume for the same event. Where reasonably practicable, for developments which have been previously developed, the runoff volume from the development to any highway drain, sewer or surface water body in the 1 in 100 year, 6 hour rainfall event must be constrained to a value as close as is reasonably practicable to the Greenfield runoff volume for the same event, but should never exceed the runoff volume from the development site prior to redevelopment for that event."



Table 4. Change in impermeable area associated with the development

Total Site area	10297 m ²	
Impermeable area (and as a percenta development footp	ge of the total area of the proposed print of 10297 m ²)	
Pre-development	Post-development	
250 m² (2%)	2568 m ² (25%)	
Impermeable land use: 250 m ² existing dwelling Permeable land use: landscaped areas/greenfield	New impermeable land use: 983 m ² building footprint, 1585 m ² paved areas* New permeable land use: landscaped areas	

*Please note, while these areas will be utilized for SuDS, for the calculations these areas will be classed as impermeable in order to assess the potential run-off volumes and rates for the Site post- development and the potential holding capability of the proposed SuDS features.

Guidance

"The drainage system must be designed so that, unless an area is designated to hold and/or convey water as part of the design, flooding does not occur on any part of the site for a 1 in 30 year rainfall event' and 'flooding does not occur during a 1 in 100 year rainfall event in any part of: a building (including a basement); or in any utility plant susceptible to water (e.g. pumping station or electricity substation) within the development"

(Defra, March 2015, non-statutory guidance).



6 Runoff destination



Flow attenuation using infiltration SuDS (discharge to ground) is generally the preferred option. If discharge to ground is not available, runoff discharge to surface water is the other preferred method. Only if these two options are impractical should discharge to the sewer network be considered.

Discharge to ground

According to GeoSmart's SD50 mapping the Site has Low potential for infiltration, with low permeability underlying Stewartby Member Mudstone.

In-situ soil infiltration testing was conducted at the Site to confirm infiltration rates at the Site (Ref: P1175/R1/V1, EPS Consulting). Infiltration testing revealed very little soakage with groundwater falling c. 30mm during the 90 minute test period. After this time, the pit started to collapse and the test was terminated.

Given the low permeability of the underlying geology and the results of infiltration testing at the Site, infiltration testing is not considered to be feasible and is not proposed.

Discharge to surface watercourse

OS mapping shows that there are no surface water features within 100 m of the Site. However, aerial mapping and a topographic survey by Total Geomatics (2023) have confirmed the presence of a pond located within the west of the Site.

Given the location of the pond on-Site, discharge to the pond is considered to be feasible and is proposed.

Discharge to sewer

According to a Thames Water asset plan, there are no surface water or combined sewers within close proximity to the Site. Therefore, discharge to the public sewer network is unlikely to be feasible and is not proposed.



7 Water quality

A key requirement of any SuDS system is that it protects the receiving water body from the risk of pollution. This can be effectively managed by an appropriate "train" or sequence of SuDS components that are connected in series. The frequent and short duration rainfall events are those that are most loaded with potential contaminants (silts, fines, heavy metals and various organic and inorganic contaminants). Therefore, the first 5-10 mm of rainfall (first flush) should be adequately treated with SuDS.

The minimum number of treatment stages will depend on the sensitivity of the receiving water body and the potential hazard associated with the proposed development SuDS Manual (CIRIA, 2015). The proposed development is a combination of very low (roof water) to low hazard (runoff from car parking and road). The Site does not lie within an SPZ and therefore additional treatment stages are not required.

Hazard	Source of hazard
Very Low	Residential roof drainage
Low	Residential, amenity uses including low usage car parking spaces and roads, other roof drainage.
Medium	Commercial, industrial uses including car parking spaces and roads (excluding low usage roads, trunk roads and motorways).
High	Areas used for handling and storage of chemicals and fuels, handling of storage and waste (incl. scrap-yards).

Table 5. Level of hazard

The recommended minimum number treatment stages suggested for the different runoff waters identified for the proposed development is highlighted in the table below.

Table 6. Minimum number of treatment stages for runoff

		Sensitivity of the receiving water body				
		Low	Medium	High		
lazard	Low	1	1	1		
	Med	2	2	2		
	High	3	3	3		



8 Client checklist



A drainage strategy should now be compiled on the basis of the information provided. Prior to installation of the Site drainage system it is recommended that the client carries out the following checks to confirm the development proposals. GeoSmart would be able to support with any updates required to the drainage scheme, please contact us and we would be happy to provide you with a proposal to undertake the work.

Table 7. Potential SuDS limitations

Conditions in Non-Statutory Technical Standards (Defra, 2015), limitations to infiltration SuDS	Do these conditions arise at the Site?
Is the surface runoff greater than the rate at which water can infiltrate into the ground?	
Is there an unacceptable risk of ground instability?	
Is there an unacceptable risk of mobilising contaminants?	
Is there an unacceptable risk of pollution to groundwater?	
Is there an unacceptable risk of groundwater flooding?	
Is the infiltration system going to create a high risk of groundwater leakage to the combined sewer?	

Table 8. SuDS design considerations

Confirm that potential flooding on-Site in excess of the design storm event and exceedance flow routes have been considered.	
Review options for the control of discharge rates (e.g. hydrobrake).	
Confirm the owners/adopters of the drainage system. Consider management options for multiple owners.	
Is there an unacceptable risk of pollution to groundwater?	
Review access and way leave requirements.	
Review maintenance requirements.	



Health and safety considerations for SuDS

GeoSmart reports may include outline strategies or designs to support with development plans. Any drawings or advice provided do not comprise any form of detailed design. Implementation of any conceptual scheme options may constitute 'Construction Work' as defined by CDM Regulations (2015).

The CDM Regulations place specific Health and Safety duties on those commissioning, planning and undertaking construction works. If you are uncertain what this means you should seek the advice of your architect, builder or other competent professional.

GeoSmart does not provide health and safety advisory services but we are required to advise you of your general responsibilities under CDM (visit <u>http://geosmartinfo.co.uk/knowledge-hub/cdm-2015/</u> for more information).

Please remember that detailed design work should be undertaken by a competent professional who might be your engineer, architect, builder or another competent party.



9 Methodology and limitations of study

This report assesses the feasibility of infiltration SuDS and alternative drainage strategies in support of the Site development process. From April 6th 2015 SuDS are regulated by Local Planning Authorities and will be required under law for major developments in all cases unless demonstrated to be inappropriate. What is considered appropriate in terms of costs and benefits by the Planning Authority will vary depending on local planning policy, and Site setting. The Lead Local Flood Authority will require information as a statutory consultee on major planning applications with surface water drainage implications. The National Planning Policy Framework requires that new developments in areas at risk of flooding should give priority to the use of SuDS and demonstrate that the proposed development does not increase flood risk downstream to third parties.

How was the suitability of SuDS estimated for the Site?

There are a range of SuDS options available to provide effective surface water management that intercept and store excess runoff. When considering these options, the destination of the runoff should be assessed using the order of preference outlined in the Building Regulations Part H document (HM Government, 2010) and Defra's National Standards for SuDS (2015):

- 1. Discharge to the ground;
- 2. Discharge to a surface water body;
- 3. Discharge to a surface water sewer;
- 4. Discharge to a local highway drain; and
- 5. Discharge to a combined sewer.

Data sets relating to each of the potential discharge options have been analysed to assess the feasibility of each option according to the hierarchy set out above. Hydrogeological characteristics for the Site are assessed in conjunction with the occurrence of SPZ's to assess infiltration suitability. The Site has been screened to determine whether flood risk from groundwater, surface water, fluvial or coastal sources may constrain SuDS. The distance to surface water bodies and sewers has been reviewed gauge whether these provide alternative options.

GeoSmart SuDS Infiltration Suitability Map (SD50)

The GeoSmart SuDS Infiltration Suitability Map (SD50) screens the suitability for infiltration drainage in different parts of the Site and indicates where further assessment is recommended. In producing the SuDS Infiltration Suitability Map (SD50), GeoSmart used data from the British Geological Survey on groundwater levels, geology and permeability to screen



for areas where infiltration SuDS may be suitable. The map classifies areas into 3 categories of High, Medium and Low suitability for infiltration SuDS. This can then be used in conjunction with additional data on Site constraints to give recommendations for SuDS design and further investigation.

The primary constraint on infiltration potential is the minimum permeability of the underlying material and in some cases the range in permeability may be considerable, ranging down to low. The map classifies these areas as moderate infiltration suitability requiring further investigation. In cases where the thickness of the receiving permeable horizon is less than 1.5 meters then additional Site investigation is recommended. If the Site is at risk of groundwater flooding for up to the 1% annual occurrence the map classifies these areas as moderate infiltration suitability requiring further investigation.

The GeoSmart SuDS Infiltration Suitability Map (SD50) is a national screening tool for infiltration SuDS techniques but a Site specific assessment should be used before final detailed design is undertaken. Further information on the GeoSmart SuDS Infiltration Suitability Map (SD50) is available at geosmartinfo.co.uk

How is the suitability to discharge to sewers and watercourses calculated?

The suitability to discharge to discharge to sewers and watercourses has been calculated using the distance from the Site to both. For example, where the Site is within 50 m of a surface water body. Discharge to surface water is potentially appropriate subject to land access arrangements and a feasibility assessment. Where the Site is within 50 m of a sewer, discharge to sewer is potentially appropriate subject to land access arrangements and a feasibility appropriate subject to land access arrangements and a feasibility appropriate subject to land access arrangements and a feasibility assessment. The utility company should be contacted to agree connection feasibility and sewer capacity.

Further information relating to sewers available in the area can be found in Appendix C.

What is a Source Protection Zone?

The Environment Agency have defined Source Protection Zones (SPZs) for 2000 groundwater sources such as wells, boreholes and springs used for public drinking water supply. These zones show the risk of contamination from any activities that might cause pollution in the area. The closer the activity, the greater the risk. The maps show three main zones (inner, outer and total catchment) and a fourth zone of special interest, which is occasionally applied. The zones are used to set up pollution prevention measures in areas which are at a higher risk. The shape and size of a zone depends on the condition of the ground, how the groundwater is removed, and other environmental factors. Inner zone (Zone 1) is defined as the 50 day travel time from any point below the water table to the source (minimum radius of 50 metres). Outer zone (Zone 2) is defined by a 400 day travel time. Total catchment (Zone 3) is defined as the area around a source within which all groundwater recharge is presumed to be discharged at the source.



How was surface water runoff estimated from the Site?

In accordance with The SuDS Manual (C753) (CIRIA, 2015), the Greenfield runoff from the Site has been calculated using the IoH124 method and is assumed representative of the runoff generated on the undeveloped surfaces that are affected by the proposed development. The method used for calculating the runoff complies with the NPPF (MHCLG, 2023). For the impermeable surfaces, it has been assumed that 100% runoff will occur (calculations provided in Appendix B). Rainfall data is derived from the Flood Estimation Handbook (FEH), developed by NERC (2009). Only areas affected by the proposed development are considered in the flow and volume calculations. Permeable areas that remain unchanged are not included in the calculations as it is assumed these will not be actively drained and attenuated.

What is the peak discharge rate?

An estimation of peak runoff flow rate and volume is required to calculate infiltration, storage and discharge requirements. The peak discharge rate is the maximum flow rate at which surface water runoff leaves the Site during a particular storm event, without considering the impact of any mitigation such as storage, infiltration or flow control. Proposed discharge rates (with mitigation) should be no greater than existing rates for all corresponding storm events. If all drainage is to infiltration there will be no discharge off-Site. Discharging all flow from Site at the existing 1 in 100 event would increase flood risk during smaller events. Flow restriction is generally required to limit the final discharge from Site during all events as a basic minimum to the green field QBAR rate. A more complex flow restriction which varies the final discharge rate from the Site depending on the storm event will reduce the volume of storage required on-Site. Drainage to infiltration SuDS is subtracted from the total discharge off-Site to achieve a beneficial net affect.

What is the total discharge volume?

The total discharge volume is calculated on the basis of the surface water runoff that has the potential to leave the Site as a result of the assumed 6 hour duration design storm event. The runoff is related to the underlying soil conditions, impermeable cover, rainfall intensity and duration of the storm event. The total volume generated by the current Site is compared to the potential total volume from the developed Site (not taking into consideration any mitigation). The difference provides the minimum total volume that will need to be stored and infiltrated on-Site or released at a controlled rate. Guidance indicates that the total discharge volume should never exceed the runoff volume from the development Site prior to redevelopment for that event and should be as close as is reasonably practicable to the Greenfield runoff volume.



10 Background SuDS information

SuDS control surface water runoff close to where it falls. SuDS are designed to replicate, as closely as possible, the natural drainage from the Site before development to ensure that the flood risk downstream does not increase as a result of the Site being developed, and that the Site will have satisfactory drainage under current and likely future climatic conditions. SuDS provide opportunities to reduce the causes and impacts of flooding; remove pollutants from urban runoff at source; and combine water management with green space with benefits for amenity, recreation and wildlife. Government planning policy and planning decisions now include a presumption in favour of SuDS being used for all development Sites, unless they can be shown to be inappropriate.

For general information on SuDS see our website: <u>http://geosmartinfo.co.uk/</u>

Infiltration SuDS

Government policy for England is to introduce sustainable drainage systems (SuDS) via conditions in planning approvals. Guidance indicates that capturing rainfall runoff on-Site and infiltrating it into the ground (infiltration SuDS) is the preferred method for managing surface water without increasing flood risk downstream.

The greatest benefit to general flood risk is if all runoff is infiltrated on-Site, however, this may not be feasible due to physical and economic constraints in which case infiltration may be considered as a part of an integrated drainage solution. The final design capacity for an infiltration SuDS system depends on the Site constraints and the requirements of the individual Planning Authority and the Lead Local Flood Authority.

The capacity of the ground to receive infiltration depends on the nature, thickness and permeability of the underlying material and the depth to the high groundwater table. The final proportion of the Site drained by infiltration will depend on topography, outfall levels and a suitable drainage gradient. It is important to note that, even if the whole Site cannot be drained by infiltration, the use of partial infiltration is encouraged, with the remainder of runoff discharged via other SuDS systems.

Types of infiltration SuDS

Infiltration components include infiltration trenches, soakaways, swales and infiltration basins without outlets, rain gardens and permeable pavements. These are used to capture surface water runoff and allow it to infiltrate (soak) and filter through to the subsoil layer, before returning it to the water table below.

An infiltration trench is usually filled with permeable granular material and is designed to promote infiltration of surface water to the ground. An infiltration basin is a dry basin or depression designed to promote infiltration of surface water runoff into the ground. Soakaways are the most common type of infiltration device in the UK where drainage is often connected to over-sized square or rectangular, rubble-filled voids sited beneath lawns.



According to the guidance in Building Research Establishment (BRE) Digest 365 (2016) a soakaway must be able to discharge 50% of the runoff generated during a 1 in 10 year storm event within 24 hours in readiness for subsequent storm flow. This is the basic threshold criteria for a soakaway design and the internal surface area of the proposed soakaway design options should be calculated on this basis by taking into account the soil infiltration rate for the Site.

Developers need to ensure their design takes account of the construction, operation and maintenance requirements of both surface and subsurface components, allowing for any machinery access required.

SuDS maintenance and adoption

Regular maintenance is essential to ensure effective operation of the soakaway(s) over the intended lifespan of the proposed development. A maintenance schedule for SuDs is required. Sewerage undertakers or Local Authorities may adopt SuDS and will require maintenance issues to be dealt with in accordance with their Management Plan. If the SuDS will not be adopted other provision is required with associated financial implications. Maintenance is a long-term obligation requiring the upkeep of all elements of the SuDS, including mechanical components (e.g. pumps), as well as inspections, regular maintenance and repair.

Additional background SuDS information can be found on our website: <u>http://geosmartinfo.co.uk/</u>



11 Further information



The following table includes a list of additional products by GeoSmart:

Additional GeoSmart Products

	Additional assessment: FloodSmart Report		The FloodSmart Report range provides clear and pragmatic advice regarding the nature and potential significance of flood hazards which may be present at a Site. Our consultants assess available data to determine the level of risk based on professional judgement and years of experience. Please contact info@geosmartinfo.co.uk for further information.
	Additional assessment: EnviroSmart Report		Provides a robust desk-based assessment of potential contaminated land issues, taking into account the regulatory perspective. Our EnviroSmart reports are designed to be the most cost effective solution for planning conditions. Each report is individually prepared by a highly experienced consultant conversant with Local Authority requirements. Ideal for pre-planning or for addressing planning
			conditions for small developments. Can also be used for land transactions. Please contact info@geosmartinfo.co.uk for further information.
		1	1


12 References and glossary

British Geological Survey (BGS). (2024). BGS GeoIndex Onshore. Based on British Geological Survey materials © NERC 2024. Accessed from: https://mapapps2.bgs.ac.uk/geoindex/home.html on 02/02/2024.

Building Research Establishment (BRE) (2016). Digest 365, Soakaway design.

CEH (2024) Online FEH web service Depth/duration/frequency modelling using the FEH 2022 model. Accessed from: <u>https://fehweb.ceh.ac.uk/</u> on 02/02/2024.

CIRIA (2015) The SuDS manual (C753).

DEFRA (2015). Non-statutory technical standards for SuDS (March 2015).

DEFRA (2024). MagicMap. Accessed from: <u>http://magic.defra.gov.uk/MagicMap.aspx</u> on 02/02/2024.

EPS Consulting (2023) Soil Infiltration Testing Report

GeoSmart (2024) GeoSmart GW5 Version 2.4.

HM Government (2010). The building regulations 2010 Part H drainage and waste disposal (2015 edition).

LASOO (2015) Practice Guidance, Local Authority SuDS Officer Organisation.

Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government. (2023). National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF).

Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government. (2022). National Planning Policy Guidance (NPPG).



Glossary

General terms

Attenuation	Reduction of peak flow and increased duration of a flow event.
Combined sewer	A sewer designed to carry foul sewage and surface water in the same pipe.
Detention basin	A vegetated depression, normally is dry except after storm events, constructed to store water temporarily to attenuate flows. May allow infiltration of water to the ground.
Evapotranspiration	The process by which the Earth's surface or soil loses moisture by evaporation of water and by uptake and then transpiration from plants.
FEH	Flood Estimation Handbook, produced by Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Wallingford (formerly the Institute of Hydrology).
Filter drain or trench	A linear drain consisting of a trench filled with a permeable material, often with a perforated pipe in the base of the trench to assist drainage, to store and conduct water, but may also be designed to permit infiltration.
First flush	The initial runoff from a site or catchment following the start of a rainfall event. As runoff travels over a catchment it will collect or dissolve pollutants, and the "first flush" portion of the flow may be the most contaminated as a result. This is especially the case for intense storms and in small or more uniform catchments. In larger or more complex catchments pollution.
Flood plain	Land adjacent to a watercourse that would be subject to repeated flooding under natural conditions (see Environment Agency's Policy and practice for the protection of flood plains for a fuller definition).
Greenfield runoff	This is the surface water runoff regime from a site before development, or the existing site conditions for brownfield redevelopment sites.
Impermeable surface	An artificial non-porous surface that generates a surface water runoff after rainfall.
Permeability	A measure of the ease with which a fluid can flow through a porous medium. It depends on the physical properties of the medium, for example grain size, porosity and pore shape.



Runoff	Water flow over the ground surface to the drainage system. This occurs if the ground is impermeable, is saturated or if rainfall is particularly intense.
Sewerage undertaker	This is a collective term relating to the statutory undertaking of water companies that are responsible for sewerage and sewage disposal including surface water from roofs and yards of premises.
Soakaway	A subsurface structure into which surface water is conveyed to allow infiltration into the ground.
Treatment	Improving the quality of water by physical, chemical and/or biological means.

The terms included in this glossary have been taken from CIRIA (2015) guidance.

Data Sources

Aerial Photography	Contains Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright and database right 2024 BlueSky copyright and database rights 2024
Bedrock & Superficial Geology	Contains British Geological Survey materials © NERC 2024 Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright and database right 2024
Flood Risk (RoFRS/Pluvial/Surface Water Features/SPZ)	Environment Agency copyright and database rights 2024 Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright and database right 2024
Flood Risk (Groundwater) and SuDS infiltration suitability (SD50)	GeoSmart, BGS & OS GW5 (v2.4) Map (GeoSmart, 2024) Contains British Geological Survey materials © NERC 2024 Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright and database right 2024
Sewer Location	Contains Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright and database right 2024 Contains Thames Water search data 2024
Topographic Data	OS LiDAR/EA Contains Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright and database right 2024 Environment Agency copyright and database rights 2024







Appendix A 🛛 💮

Site plans





studio@palmerandpartners.co.uk www.palmerandpartners.co.uk 109 High Street, Thame OX9 3DZ 01844 318 501

Planning

Rev	Date	Description	Drawn
•			

Palmer+ Partners

Darren Jones

22041 - Land to the rear of Orchard Cottage, Main Street, Grendon Underwood, HP18 0SJ B2 / Twin Gables

22041 EX 90

Existing Location Plan

 Scale
 1 : 1250
 @ A3

 :
 Date:
 11/10/2023

 Drawn by:
 MH





Rainfall runoff calculations



2.000

1.002

3.000

2.227

0.829

1.827 14.4

39.4

14.7

1.9

5.1

2.0

0.600

0.910

0.600

Geosmart Information Ltd

Design Settings

Rainfall Methodology	FEH-22	Minimum Velocity (m/s)	1.00
Return Period (years)	2	Connection Type	Level Soffits
Additional Flow (%)	0	Minimum Backdrop Height (m)	0.200
CV	0.750	Preferred Cover Depth (m)	0.600
Time of Entry (mins)	5.00	Include Intermediate Ground	\checkmark
Maximum Time of Concentration (mins)	30.00	Enforce best practice design rules	\checkmark
Maximum Rainfall (mm/hr)	50.0		

<u>Nodes</u>

Name	Area (ha)	T of E (mins)	Cover Level (m)	Diameter (mm)	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	Depth (m)
1	0.014	5.00	76.360	600	468680.145	220204.384	0.750
2	0.005	5.00	76.200	600	468685.928	220212.706	0.890
3	0.014	5.00	76.480	600	468666.934	220211.466	0.750
4	0.005	5.00	76.220	600	468673.732	220221.061	1.060
5	0.015	5.00	76.500	600	468654.130	220218.357	0.700
6	0.005	5.00	76.275	600	468661.703	220229.338	1.265
7	0.015	5.00	76.510	600	468641.490	220225.656	0.750
8	0.005	5.00	76.320	600	468650.042	220237.289	1.410
9	0.042	5.00	76.335	600	468646.943	220239.532	1.475
10	0.018	5.00	76.245	600	468651.785	220262.306	0.750
11	0.015	5.00	76.310	600	468646.533	220253.173	0.915
12	0.005	5.00	76.390	600	468640.256	220243.976	1.705
13	0.012	5.00	76.285	600	468636.715	220274.808	0.750
14	0.011	5.00	76.375	600	468628.323	220259.110	1.140
15	0.015	5.00	76.500	600	468629.236	220234.392	0.750
16			76.425	600	468628.309	220243.487	1.815
17	0.012	5.00	76.670	600	468667.510	220193.516	0.750
18	0.013	5.00	76.685	600	468654.437	220200.480	0.865
19	0.013	5.00	76.610	600	468641.765	220207.273	0.890
20	0.013	5.00	76.635	600	468629.320	220214.238	1.015
21	0.010	5.00	76.550	600	468614.992	220224.741	1.080
22			76.475	1200	468617.104	220243.122	2.215
22_OUT			76.400		468593.757	220243.636	2.278

<u>Links</u>

Name	US Node	D No	S Le de (ngth m)	ks (mm) n)/ US (r	i IL n)	DS IL (m)	Fa (n	all n)	Slope (1:X)	Dia (mm)	T of C (mins)	Rain (mm/hr)
1.000	1	2	10	.134	0.6	00 75.	610	75.310	0.3	800	33.8	150	5.10	50.0
1.001	2	4	14	.783	0.6	00 75.	310	75.160	0.1	.50	98.6	150	5.34	50.0
2.000	3	4	11	.759	0.6	00 75.	730	75.160	0.5	570	20.6	150	5.09	50.0
1.002	4	6	14	.602	0.6	00 75.	160	75.060	0.1	.00	146.0	150	5.63	50.0
3.000	5	6	13	.339	0.6	00 75.	800	75.060	0.7	40	18.0	100	5.12	50.0
	Nar	ne	Vel (m/s)	Cap (I/s)	Flow (I/s)	US Depth (m)	DS Dep (m	SΣ oth (n)	Area ha)	Σ/ Inf (I	Add Iow I /s)	Pro Depth (mm)	Pro Velocity (m/s)	
	1.0	00	1.738	30.7	1.9	0.600	0.7	40 C	.014	•	0.0	25	0.972	
	1.0	01	1.012	17.9	2.6	0.740	0.9	10 C	.019		0.0	38	0.720	

0.910

1.065

1.115

0.014

0.038

0.015

0.0

0.0

0.0

23

61

25

1.156

0.757

1.291

CAL	JSE			Geosmart In	formation Lt	d	File: 81010 Network: 5 David Sout 02/02/202	0 C1 ORC Storm Ne th 24	HARD.Pl etwork 1	FD Pa	age 2		
	Name	US	DS	Length	ks (mm) /	<u>Link</u> US II	s DS II	Fall	Slone	Dia	TofC	Rain	
	···	Node	Node	(m)	n n	(m)	(m)	(m)	(1:X)	(mm)	(mins)	(mm/hr)	
	1.003	6	8	14.114	0.600	75.010	74.910	0.100	141.1	150	5.91	49.8	
	4.000	7	8	14.438	0.600	75.760	74.910	0.850	17.0	150	5.10	50.0	
	1.004	8	9	3.826	0.600	74.910	74.860	0.050	76.5	150	5.97	49.5	
	1.005	9	12	8.029	0.600	74.860	74.760	0.100	80.3	150	6.09	49.1	
	5.000	10	11	10.535	0.600	75.495	75.395	0.100	105.4	150	5.18	50.0	
	5.001	11	12	11.135	0.600	75.395	74.760	0.635	17.5	150	5.26	50.0	
	1.006	12	16	11.957	0.600	74.685	74.610	0.075	159.4	225	6.28	48.4	
	6.000	13	14	17.800	0.600	75.535	75.235	0.300	59.3	150	5.23	50.0	
	6.001	14	16	15.623	0.600	75.235	74.950	0.285	54.8	150	5.42	50.0	
	7.000	15	16	9.142	0.600	75.750	74.950	0.800	11.4	150	5.05	50.0	
	8.000	17	18	14.812	0.600	75.920	75.820	0.100	148.1	150	5.30	50.0	
	8.001	18	19	14.378	0.600	75.820	75.720	0.100	143.8	150	5.59	50.0	
	8.002	19	20	14.261	0.600	75.720	75.620	0.100	142.6	150	5.87	49.9	
	8.003	20	21	17.765	0.600	75.620	75.470	0.150	118.4	150	6.19	48.7	
	8.004	21	22	18.502	0.600	75.470	74.335	1.135	16.3	150	6.31	48.2	
	1.007	16	22	11.211	0.600	74.610	74.410	0.200	56.1	225	6.39	48.0	
	1.008	22	22_00	23.353	0.600	74.260	74.122	0.138	169.2	225	6.78	46.6	

Name	Vel	Сар	Flow	US	DS	Σ Area	Σ Add	Pro	Pro
	(m/s)	(I/s)	(I/s)	Depth	Depth	(ha)	Inflow	Depth	Velocity
				(m)	(m)		(I/s)	(mm)	(m/s)
1.003	0.844	14.9	7.8	1.115	1.260	0.058	0.0	77	0.853
4.000	2.456	43.4	2.0	0.600	1.260	0.015	0.0	22	1.255
1.004	1.150	20.3	10.5	1.260	1.325	0.078	0.0	76	1.159
1.005	1.123	19.8	16.0	1.325	1.480	0.120	0.0	102	1.246
5.000	0.978	17.3	2.4	0.600	0.765	0.018	0.0	38	0.690
5.001	2.417	42.7	4.5	0.765	1.480	0.033	0.0	33	1.567
1.006	1.033	41.1	20.7	1.480	1.590	0.158	0.0	113	1.034
6.000	1.308	23.1	1.6	0.600	0.990	0.012	0.0	27	0.751
6.001	1.361	24.1	3.1	0.990	1.325	0.023	0.0	37	0.944
7.000	2.997	53.0	2.0	0.600	1.325	0.015	0.0	20	1.454
8.000	0.823	14.5	1.6	0.600	0.715	0.012	0.0	34	0.543
8.001	0.836	14.8	3.4	0.715	0.740	0.025	0.0	49	0.680
8.002	0.839	14.8	5.1	0.740	0.865	0.038	0.0	61	0.762
8.003	0.922	16.3	6.7	0.865	0.930	0.051	0.0	67	0.878
8.004	2.507	44.3	8.0	0.930	1.990	0.061	0.0	43	1.908
1.007	1.750	69.6	25.5	1.590	1.840	0.196	0.0	94	1.621
1.008	1.002	39.8	32.4	1.990	2.053	0.257	0.0	154	1.113

Simulation Settings

Rainfall Methodology	FEH-22	Skip Steady State	\checkmark	2 year (l/s)	1.0
Summer CV	0.750	Drain Down Time (mins)	1440	30 year (l/s)	2.6
Winter CV	0.840	Additional Storage (m ³ /ha)	20.0	100 year (l/s)	3.4
Analysis Speed	Normal	Check Discharge Rate(s)	\checkmark	Check Discharge Volume	х
		Storm Durations			

					Storm	Duratio	ns				
15	30	60	120	180	240	360	480	600	720	960	1440

USEWAY 🛟	nart Informati	on Ltd	File: 81010 C1 C Network: Storm David South 02/02/2024	DRCHARD.PF א Network 1	D Page 3	
Return Pe	eriod Climat	e Change	Additional Area	Additiona	Il Flow	
(years	2	0	(A //) 0		0	
	30	0	0		0	
	100	0	0		0	
	100	40	0		0	
	Pre-o	developme	nt Discharge Rate			
	Site Makeu	p Greenfi	eld Growth	Factor 30 ye	ear 2.40	
Gree	enfield Metho	d IH124	Growth F	actor 100 ye	ear 3.19	
Positively Dra	ained Area (ha	a) 0.257	E	Betterment ((%) 0	
	SAAR (mm	1) 622		QE O 2 year (I	3ar 1.1	
	Son nue SP	R 047		O 30 year (I	/s) 2.6	
	Regio	n 6	C	Q 100 year (I	/s) 3.4	
Growt	h Factor 2 yea	nr 0.88			. ,	
	<u>Node 2</u>	2 Online Hy	ydro-Brake [®] Conti	<u>rol</u>		
Flap Valv	e x		Objective	(HE) Minii	mise upstream st	torage
Replaces Downstream Lin	k √		Sump Available	\checkmark		
Invert Level (m	n) 74.260		Product Number	CTL-SHE-C	0075-3400-2000-	3400
Design Depth (m	n) 2.000	Min Out	tlet Diameter (m)	0.100		
Design Flow (1/3	5) 3.4	IVIIN NOO	e Diameter (mm)	1200		
	<u>Node</u>	1 Carpark	Storage Structure	2		
Base Inf Coefficient (m/hr)	0.00000		Invert Level (m)	75.910	Slope (1:X)	300.0
Side Inf Coefficient (m/hr)	0.00000	Time to h	alf empty (mins)	124	Depth (m)	0.300
Safety Factor	2.0		Width (m)	5.000	Inf Depth (m)	
Porosity	0.30		Length (m)	10.000		
	Node	3 Carpark	Storage Structure	2		
Base Inf Coefficient (m/hr)	0.00000		Invert Level (m)	76.030	Slope (1:X)	300.0
Side Inf Coefficient (m/hr)	0.00000	Time to h	alf empty (mins)	52	Depth (m)	0.300
Safety Factor	2.0		Width (m)	5.000	Inf Depth (m)	
Porosity	0.30		Length (m)	10.000		
	Node	<u>5 Carpark</u>	Storage Structure	2		
Base Inf Coefficient (m/hr)	0.00000		Invert Level (m)	76.050	Slope (1:X)	300.0
Side Inf Coefficient (m/hr)	0.00000	Time to h	alf empty (mins)	36	Depth (m)	0.300
Safety Factor	2.0		Width (m)	5.000	Inf Depth (m)	
Porosity	0.30		Length (m)	12.000		
	<u>Node</u>	2 7 Carpark	Storage Structure	2		
Base Inf Coefficient (m/hr)	0.00000		Invert Level (m)	76.060	Slope (1:X)	300.0
	0 00000	Time to h	alf empty (mins)	28	Depth (m)	0.300
Side Inf Coefficient (m/hr)	0.00000					
Side Inf Coefficient (m/hr) Safety Factor	2.0		Width (m)	5.000	Inf Depth (m)	

CAUSEWAY 🛟	Geosmart Inform	mart Information Ltd File: 81010 C1 Network: Storn David South 02/02/2024			Page 4	
	No	ode 15 Carpark	Storage Structu	<u>re</u>	•	
Base Inf Coefficient Side Inf Coefficient Safety P	(m/hr) 0.00000 (m/hr) 0.00000 v Factor 2.0 Porosity 0.30	Time to h	Invert Level (m) alf empty (mins) Width (m) Length (m)	76.050 28 5.000 li 20.000	Slope (1:X) Depth (m) nf Depth (m)	300.0 0.300
	<u>N</u>	ode 2 Carpark	Storage Structur	<u>e</u>		
Base Inf Coefficient Side Inf Coefficient Safety P	(m/hr) 0.00000 (m/hr) 0.00000 Factor 2.0 Porosity 0.30	Time to h	Invert Level (m) alf empty (mins) Width (m) Length (m)	75.650 300 5.000 In 10.000	Slope (1:X) Depth (m) nf Depth (m)	300.0 0.300
	<u>N</u>	ode 4 Carpark	Storage Structur	<u>e</u>		
Base Inf Coefficient Side Inf Coefficient Safety P	(m/hr) 0.00000 (m/hr) 0.00000 Factor 2.0 Porosity 0.30	Time to h	Invert Level (m) alf empty (mins) Width (m) Length (m)	75.770 252 5.000 In 10.000	Slope (1:X) Depth (m) nf Depth (m)	300.0 0.300
	<u>N</u>	ode 6 Carpark	Storage Structur	<u>e</u>		
Base Inf Coefficient Side Inf Coefficient Safety P	(m/hr) 0.00000 (m/hr) 0.00000 Factor 2.0 Porosity 0.30	Time to h	Invert Level (m) alf empty (mins) Width (m) Length (m)	75.825 208 5.000 In 10.000	Slope (1:X) Depth (m) nf Depth (m)	300.0 0.300
	<u>N</u>	ode 8 Carpark	Storage Structur	<u>e</u>		
Base Inf Coefficient Side Inf Coefficient Safety P	(m/hr) 0.00000 (m/hr) 0.00000 Factor 2.0 Porosity 0.30	Time to h	Invert Level (m) alf empty (mins) Width (m) Length (m)	75.870 148 5.000 li 10.000	Slope (1:X) Depth (m) nf Depth (m)	300.0 0.300
	No	ode 12 Carpark	Storage Structu	<u>re</u>		
Base Inf Coefficient Side Inf Coefficient Safety P	(m/hr) 0.00000 (m/hr) 0.00000 Factor 2.0 Porosity 0.30	Time to h	Invert Level (m) alf empty (mins) Width (m) Length (m)	75.940 108 5.000 li 10.000	Slope (1:X) Depth (m) nf Depth (m)	300.0 0.300
	<u>Nod</u>	e 16 Depth/Ar	ea Storage Struct	<u>ture</u>		
Base Inf Coefficien Side Inf Coefficien	t (m/hr) 0.0000 t (m/hr) 0.0000	0 Safety Fa 0 Por	actor 2.0 osity 0.95	Invert Time to half em	t Level (m) pty (mins)	74.625 700
Depth (m) 0.000	Area Inf Area (m²) (m²) 60.0 0.0	Depth An (m) (m 1.200 60	ea Inf Area 2 ²) (m ²) 0.0 0.0	Depth Area (m) (m²) 1.201 0.0	Inf Area (m²) 0.0	
	Noc	le 9 Depth/Are	a Storage Struct	<u>ure</u>		
Base Inf Coefficien Side Inf Coefficien	t (m/hr) 0.0000 t (m/hr) 0.0000	0 Safety Fa 0 Por	actor 2.0 osity 0.95	Inver Time to half em	t Level (m) pty (mins)	74.860 684

CAUSEWAY 🛟	Geosmart information Ltd			File: 8 Netw David 02/02	21010 C1 ork: Storr South 2/2024	n Networ	D.PFD k 1	Page 5		
Depth (m) 0.000	Area (m²) 50.0	Inf Area (m ²) 0.0	Depth A (m) (1 0.800 5	a rea Inf m²) (50.0	Area m²) 0.0	Depth (m) 0.801	Area (m²) 0.0	Inf Area (m²) 0.0		





Page 6

Results for 2	year Critical Stor	rm Duration.	Lowest mass	balance: 97.26%
	•			

Node Event	US Node	Peak (mins)	Level (m)	Depth (m)	Inflow (I/s)	Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
15 minute winter	1	10	75.635	0.025	1.9	0.0166	0.0000	ОК
15 minute winter	2	10	75.348	0.038	2.6	0.0150	0.0000	ОК
15 minute winter	3	10	75.752	0.022	1.9	0.0147	0.0000	ОК
15 minute winter	4	11	75.222	0.062	5.1	0.0235	0.0000	ОК
15 minute winter	5	10	75.825	0.025	2.0	0.0180	0.0000	ОК
15 minute winter	6	11	75.089	0.079	7.5	0.0287	0.0000	ОК
15 minute winter	7	10	75.782	0.022	2.0	0.0150	0.0000	ОК
15 minute winter	8	11	74.994	0.084	10.1	0.0296	0.0000	ОК
15 minute winter	9	14	74.938	0.078	15.5	3.7709	0.0000	ОК
15 minute winter	10	10	75.535	0.040	2.5	0.0305	0.0000	ОК
15 minute winter	11	10	75.429	0.034	4.5	0.0205	0.0000	ОК
240 minute winter	12	180	74.938	0.253	5.5	0.0861	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute winter	13	10	75.562	0.027	1.6	0.0160	0.0000	ОК
15 minute winter	14	11	75.271	0.036	3.1	0.0174	0.0000	ОК
15 minute winter	15	10	75.770	0.020	2.0	0.0139	0.0000	ОК
240 minute winter	16	180	74.938	0.328	6.9	17.9341	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute winter	17	10	75.953	0.033	1.6	0.0200	0.0000	ОК
15 minute winter	18	11	75.868	0.048	3.4	0.0279	0.0000	ОК
15 minute winter	19	11	75.782	0.062	5.1	0.0355	0.0000	ОК
15 minute winter	20	11	75.693	0.073	6.7	0.0391	0.0000	ОК
15 minute winter	21	11	75.513	0.043	8.1	0.0204	0.0000	OK
240 minute winter	22	180	74.937	0.677	4.6	0.7661	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	22_OUT	1	74.122	0.000	2.6	0.0000	0.0000	ОК

Link Event	US	Link	DS	Outflow	Velocity	Flow/Cap	Link	Discharge
(Upstream Depth)	Node		Node	(I/s)	(m/s)		Vol (m³)	Vol (m³)
15 minute winter	1	1.000	2	1.9	0.701	0.061	0.0276	
15 minute winter	2	1.001	4	2.5	0.495	0.140	0.0767	
15 minute winter	3	2.000	4	1.9	0.651	0.048	0.0501	
15 minute winter	4	1.002	6	5.0	0.738	0.340	0.0987	
15 minute winter	5	3.000	6	2.0	1.236	0.140	0.0228	
15 minute winter	6	1.003	8	7.6	0.773	0.507	0.1381	
15 minute winter	7	4.000	8	2.0	0.659	0.046	0.0842	
15 minute winter	8	1.004	9	10.1	1.354	0.495	0.0348	
15 minute winter	9	1.005	12	9.2	1.052	0.466	0.0706	
15 minute winter	10	5.000	11	2.5	0.734	0.142	0.0353	
15 minute winter	11	5.001	12	4.4	1.530	0.103	0.0320	
240 minute winter	12	1.006	16	5.2	0.654	0.126	0.4755	
15 minute winter	13	6.000	14	1.6	0.585	0.068	0.0481	
15 minute winter	14	6.001	16	3.0	0.918	0.124	0.0509	
15 minute winter	15	7.000	16	2.0	1.416	0.037	0.0128	
240 minute winter	16	1.007	22	3.0	0.768	0.043	0.4459	
15 minute winter	17	8.000	18	1.6	0.409	0.107	0.0571	
15 minute winter	18	8.001	19	3.3	0.565	0.222	0.0839	
15 minute winter	19	8.002	20	5.0	0.661	0.340	0.1089	
15 minute winter	20	8.003	21	6.8	1.080	0.416	0.1125	
15 minute winter	21	8.004	22	8.1	1.193	0.183	0.2020	
240 minute winter	22	Hydro-Brake [®]	22_OUT	2.6				49.5





Results for 30	year Critical Storm Duration.	Lowest mass balance: 97.26%

Node Event	US Node	Peak (mins)	Level (m)	Depth (m)	Inflow (I/s)	Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
15 minute winter	1	10	75.654	0.044	5.7	0.0287	0.0000	ОК
15 minute winter	2	12	75.483	0.173	7.7	0.0683	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute winter	3	10	75.769	0.039	5.7	0.0253	0.0000	ОК
15 minute winter	4	12	75.461	0.301	14.1	0.1135	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute winter	5	10	75.846	0.046	6.1	0.0324	0.0000	ОК
240 minute winter	6	232	75.443	0.433	4.6	0.1568	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute winter	7	10	75.798	0.038	6.1	0.0259	0.0000	ОК
240 minute winter	8	232	75.443	0.533	6.0	0.1886	0.0000	SURCHARGED
240 minute winter	9	232	75.443	0.583	9.0	28.1743	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute winter	10	10	75.568	0.073	7.4	0.0554	0.0000	ОК
15 minute winter	11	10	75.453	0.058	13.4	0.0352	0.0000	ОК
240 minute winter	12	232	75.442	0.757	8.8	0.2582	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute winter	13	10	75.582	0.047	4.9	0.0281	0.0000	ОК
240 minute winter	14	232	75.442	0.207	1.8	0.0985	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute winter	15	10	75.786	0.036	6.1	0.0244	0.0000	OK
240 minute winter	16	232	75.442	0.832	12.4	46.8283	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute winter	17	10	75.979	0.059	4.9	0.0357	0.0000	OK
15 minute winter	18	12	75.951	0.131	10.1	0.0766	0.0000	OK
15 minute winter	19	11	75.913	0.193	14.5	0.1111	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute winter	20	11	75.812	0.192	18.3	0.1037	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute winter	21	12	75.550	0.080	21.9	0.0377	0.0000	OK
240 minute winter	22	232	75.442	1.182	4.7	1.3364	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	22_OUT	1	74.122	0.000	2.6	0.0000	0.0000	ОК

Link Event	US	Link	DS	Outflow	Velocity	Flow/Cap	Link	Discharge
(Upstream Depth)	Node		Node	(I/s)	(m/s)		Vol (m³)	Vol (m³)
15 minute winter	1	1.000	2	5.7	0.944	0.185	0.1077	
15 minute winter	2	1.001	4	6.9	0.611	0.386	0.2603	
15 minute winter	3	2.000	4	5.7	0.727	0.144	0.1245	
15 minute winter	4	1.002	6	12.2	0.853	0.831	0.2571	
15 minute winter	5	3.000	6	6.1	1.307	0.423	0.0753	
240 minute winter	6	1.003	8	4.4	0.635	0.296	0.2485	
15 minute winter	7	4.000	8	6.1	0.750	0.140	0.1523	
240 minute winter	8	1.004	9	5.8	0.830	0.283	0.0674	
240 minute winter	9	1.005	12	6.6	0.890	0.333	0.1413	
15 minute winter	10	5.000	11	7.3	0.999	0.423	0.0772	
15 minute winter	11	5.001	12	13.3	1.897	0.312	0.1317	
240 minute winter	12	1.006	16	8.5	0.618	0.207	0.4755	
15 minute winter	13	6.000	14	4.8	0.792	0.210	0.1093	
240 minute winter	14	6.001	16	1.8	0.749	0.074	0.2750	
15 minute winter	15	7.000	16	6.1	1.945	0.115	0.0837	
240 minute winter	16	1.007	22	3.2	0.770	0.046	0.4459	
15 minute winter	17	8.000	18	4.8	0.542	0.332	0.1638	
15 minute winter	18	8.001	19	9.2	0.690	0.624	0.2442	
15 minute winter	19	8.002	20	13.4	0.802	0.905	0.2511	
15 minute winter	20	8.003	21	18.0	1.284	1.103	0.2418	
15 minute winter	21	8.004	22	21.7	1.412	0.489	0.2518	
240 minute winter	22	Hydro-Brake®	22 OUT	2.7				116.7





Page 8

Results for 100	year Critical Sto	orm Duration.	Lowest mass	balance: 97.26%

Node Event	US Node	Peak (mins)	Level (m)	Depth (m)	Inflow (I/s)	Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
15 minute winter	1	13	75.689	0.079	7.4	0.0520	0.0000	ОК
15 minute winter	2	13	75.686	0.376	9.9	0.4412	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute winter	3	10	75.774	0.044	7.4	0.0289	0.0000	ОК
15 minute winter	4	12	75.666	0.506	16.4	0.1907	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute winter	5	11	75.863	0.063	7.9	0.0445	0.0000	ОК
240 minute winter	6	232	75.651	0.641	5.5	0.2320	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute winter	7	10	75.803	0.043	7.9	0.0296	0.0000	ОК
240 minute winter	8	232	75.650	0.740	7.0	0.2621	0.0000	SURCHARGED
240 minute winter	9	232	75.650	0.790	10.7	38.2068	0.0000	SURCHARGED
240 minute winter	10	236	75.650	0.155	1.8	0.1180	0.0000	SURCHARGED
240 minute winter	11	236	75.650	0.255	3.3	0.1556	0.0000	SURCHARGED
240 minute winter	12	236	75.650	0.965	8.7	0.3289	0.0000	SURCHARGED
240 minute winter	13	236	75.650	0.115	1.2	0.0691	0.0000	ОК
240 minute winter	14	236	75.650	0.415	2.3	0.1974	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute winter	15	10	75.791	0.041	7.9	0.0279	0.0000	ОК
240 minute winter	16	236	75.650	1.040	11.7	58.7252	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute winter	17	12	76.153	0.233	6.3	0.1405	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute winter	18	12	76.137	0.317	12.1	0.1851	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute winter	19	12	76.072	0.352	16.7	0.2025	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute winter	20	12	75.923	0.303	22.2	0.1633	0.0000	SURCHARGED
240 minute winter	21	232	75.649	0.179	6.1	0.0840	0.0000	SURCHARGED
240 minute winter	22	236	75.649	1.389	6.1	1.5713	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	22_OUT	1	74.122	0.000	2.6	0.0000	0.0000	ОК

Link Event	US	Link	DS	Outflow	Velocity	Flow/Cap	Link	Discharge
(Upstream Depth)	Node		Node	(I/s)	(m/s)		Vol (m³)	Vol (m³)
15 minute winter	1	1.000	2	7.3	0.960	0.239	0.1371	
15 minute winter	2	1.001	4	9.1	0.629	0.509	0.2603	
15 minute winter	3	2.000	4	7.4	0.781	0.187	0.1288	
15 minute winter	4	1.002	6	14.1	0.830	0.960	0.2571	
15 minute winter	5	3.000	6	7.6	1.253	0.532	0.0866	
240 minute winter	6	1.003	8	5.1	0.646	0.339	0.2485	
15 minute winter	7	4.000	8	7.9	0.742	0.181	0.1574	
240 minute winter	8	1.004	9	6.7	0.825	0.327	0.0674	
240 minute winter	9	1.005	12	6.5	0.882	0.327	0.1413	
240 minute winter	10	5.000	11	1.8	0.680	0.104	0.1855	
240 minute winter	11	5.001	12	3.3	1.070	0.077	0.1960	
240 minute winter	12	1.006	16	8.2	0.644	0.200	0.4755	
240 minute winter	13	6.000	14	1.2	0.550	0.052	0.2853	
240 minute winter	14	6.001	16	2.3	0.776	0.096	0.2750	
15 minute winter	15	7.000	16	7.8	2.086	0.148	0.0892	
240 minute winter	16	1.007	22	-3.3	0.772	-0.048	0.4459	
15 minute winter	17	8.000	18	5.7	0.543	0.390	0.2608	
15 minute winter	18	8.001	19	10.7	0.695	0.723	0.2531	
15 minute winter	19	8.002	20	16.2	0.921	1.093	0.2511	
15 minute winter	20	8.003	21	21.8	1.314	1.337	0.2703	
240 minute winter	21	8.004	22	6.1	1.017	0.138	0.3257	
240 minute winter	22	Hydro-Brake [®]	22_OUT	2.9				143.8





Page 9

Results for 100 year +40% CC Critical Storm Duration. Lowest mass balance: 97.26%

Node Event	US Node	Peak (mins)	Level (m)	Depth (m)	Inflow (I/s)	Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
240 minute winter	1	236	76.174	0.564	3.3	4.0594	0.0000	FLOOD RISK
240 minute winter	2	236	76.174	0.864	5.3	4.5805	0.0000	FLOOD RISK
240 minute winter	3	236	76.174	0.444	1.9	2.1856	0.0000	SURCHARGED
240 minute winter	4	236	76.174	1.014	5.9	4.6215	0.0000	FLOOD RISK
240 minute winter	5	236	76.174	0.374	2.0	2.1330	0.0000	SURCHARGED
240 minute winter	6	236	76.173	1.163	7.9	4.6605	0.0000	FLOOD RISK
240 minute winter	7	236	76.173	0.413	2.0	2.1685	0.0000	SURCHARGED
240 minute winter	8	236	76.173	1.263	9.7	4.6865	0.0000	FLOOD RISK
240 minute winter	9	236	76.173	1.313	14.8	39.1424	0.0000	FLOOD RISK
240 minute winter	10	236	76.172	0.677	2.5	0.5166	0.0000	FLOOD RISK
240 minute winter	11	236	76.172	0.777	4.5	0.4748	0.0000	FLOOD RISK
240 minute winter	12	236	76.172	1.487	10.7	3.7222	0.0000	FLOOD RISK
240 minute winter	13	236	76.172	0.637	1.6	0.3841	0.0000	FLOOD RISK
240 minute winter	14	236	76.172	0.937	3.1	0.4460	0.0000	FLOOD RISK
240 minute winter	15	236	76.172	0.422	2.0	2.9467	0.0000	SURCHARGED
240 minute winter	16	236	76.172	1.562	16.9	68.8990	0.0000	FLOOD RISK
15 minute winter	17	12	76.627	0.707	8.8	0.4265	0.0000	FLOOD RISK
15 minute winter	18	12	76.606	0.786	15.1	0.4582	0.0000	FLOOD RISK
15 minute winter	19	12	76.514	0.794	21.6	0.4568	0.0000	FLOOD RISK
15 minute winter	20	13	76.305	0.685	28.4	0.3694	0.0000	SURCHARGED
240 minute winter	21	236	76.172	0.702	8.4	0.3286	0.0000	SURCHARGED
240 minute winter	22	236	76.172	1.912	8.3	2.1620	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	22_OUT	1	74.122	0.000	2.6	0.0000	0.0000	ОК

Link Event	US	Link	DS	Outflow	Velocity	Flow/Cap	Link	Discharge
(Upstream Depth)	Node		Node	(I/s)	(m/s)		Vol (m³)	Vol (m³)
240 minute winter	1	1.000	2	-2.3	0.675	-0.074	0.1784	
240 minute winter	2	1.001	4	-3.2	0.471	-0.179	0.2603	
240 minute winter	3	2.000	4	1.9	0.559	0.048	0.2070	
240 minute winter	4	1.002	6	4.5	0.684	0.304	0.2571	
240 minute winter	5	3.000	6	2.0	1.145	0.139	0.1044	
240 minute winter	6	1.003	8	6.8	0.645	0.456	0.2485	
240 minute winter	7	4.000	8	2.0	0.564	0.046	0.2542	
240 minute winter	8	1.004	9	9.1	0.918	0.447	0.0674	
240 minute winter	9	1.005	12	6.9	0.850	0.346	0.1413	
240 minute winter	10	5.000	11	2.5	0.738	0.147	0.1855	
240 minute winter	11	5.001	12	4.4	1.019	0.103	0.1960	
240 minute winter	12	1.006	16	10.5	0.687	0.255	0.4755	
240 minute winter	13	6.000	14	1.6	0.584	0.069	0.3134	
240 minute winter	14	6.001	16	2.9	0.776	0.120	0.2750	
240 minute winter	15	7.000	16	2.0	1.187	0.038	0.1609	
240 minute winter	16	1.007	22	-5.2	0.750	-0.074	0.4459	
15 minute winter	17	8.000	18	6.5	0.548	0.448	0.2608	
15 minute winter	18	8.001	19	12.6	0.717	0.855	0.2531	
15 minute winter	19	8.002	20	19.3	1.095	1.299	0.2511	
15 minute winter	20	8.003	21	26.4	1.505	1.617	0.3127	
240 minute winter	21	8.004	22	8.3	1.017	0.187	0.3257	
240 minute winter	22	Hydro-Brake [®]	22_OUT	3.3				201.0





Thames Water Asset Location Plan

Asset location search



GeoSmart Information Ltd 1st Floor,Old Bank Buildings,S Old Bank Buildings

SHREWSBURY SY1 1HU

Search address supplied

Orchards Cottage Main Street Grendon Underwood Aylesbury HP18 0SH

Your reference

81010

Our reference

ALS/ALS Standard/2024_4935733

Search date

19 January 2024

Notification of Price Changes

From 1st April 2023 Thames water Property Searches will be increasing the prices of its CON29DW, CommercialDW Drainage & Water Enquiries and Asset Location Searches. Historically costs would rise in line with RPI but as this currently sits at 14.2%, we are capping it at 10%.

Customers will be emailed with the new prices by January 1st 2023.

Any orders received with a higher payment prior to the 1st April 2023 will be non-refundable. For further details on the price increase please visit our website at <u>www.thameswater-propertysearches.co.uk</u>



Thames Water Utilities Ltd Property Searches, PO Box 3189, Slough SL1 4WW



searches@thameswater.co.uk www.thameswater-propertysearches.co.uk



0800 009 4540





Search address supplied: Orchards Cottage, Main Street, Grendon Underwood, Aylesbury, HP18 0SH

Dear Sir / Madam

An Asset Location Search is recommended when undertaking a site development. It is essential to obtain information on the size and location of clean water and sewerage assets to safeguard against expensive damage and allow cost-effective service design.

The following records were searched in compiling this report: - the map of public sewers & the map of waterworks. Thames Water Utilities Ltd (TWUL) holds all of these.

This searchprovides maps showing the position, size of Thames Water assets close to the proposed development and also manhole cover and invert levels, where available.

Please note that none of the charges made for this report relate to the provision of Ordnance Survey mapping information. The replies contained in this letter are given following inspection of the public service records available to this company. No responsibility can be accepted for any error or omission in the replies.

You should be aware that the information contained on these plans is current only on the day that the plans are issued. The plans should only be used for the duration of the work that is being carried out at the present time. Under no circumstances should this data be copied or transmitted to parties other than those for whom the current work is being carried out.

Thames Water do update these service plans on a regular basis and failure to observe the above conditions could lead to damage arising to new or diverted services at a later date.

Contact Us

If you have any further queries regarding this enquiry please feel free to contact a member of the team on 0800 009 4540, or use the address below:

Thames Water Utilities Ltd Property Searches PO Box 3189 Slough SL1 4WW

Email: <u>searches@thameswater.co.uk</u> Web: <u>www.thameswater-propertysearches.co.uk</u>

Asset location search



Waste Water Services

Please provide a copy extract from the public sewer map.

Enclosed is a map showing the approximate lines of our sewers. Our plans do not show sewer connections from individual properties or any sewers not owned by Thames Water unless specifically annotated otherwise. Records such as "private" pipework are in some cases available from the Building Control Department of the relevant Local Authority.

Where the Local Authority does not hold such plans it might be advisable to consult the property deeds for the site or contact neighbouring landowners.

This report relates only to sewerage apparatus of Thames Water Utilities Ltd, it does not disclose details of cables and or communications equipment that may be running through or around such apparatus.

The sewer level information contained in this response represents all of the level data available in our existing records. Should you require any further Information, please refer to the relevant section within the 'Further Contacts' page found later in this document.

For your guidance:

- The Company is not generally responsible for rivers, watercourses, ponds, culverts or highway drains. If any of these are shown on the copy extract they are shown for information only.
- Any private sewers or lateral drains which are indicated on the extract of the public sewer map as being subject to an agreement under Section 104 of the Water Industry Act 1991 are not an 'as constructed' record. It is recommended these details be checked with the developer.

Clean Water Services

Please provide a copy extract from the public water main map.

Enclosed is a map showing the approximate positions of our water mains and associated apparatus. Please note that records are not kept of the positions of individual domestic supplies.

For your information, there will be a pressure of at least 10m head at the outside stop valve. If you would like to know the static pressure, please contact our Customer Centre on 0800 316 9800. The Customer Centre can also arrange for a full flow and

<u>Thames Water Utilities Ltd</u>, Property Searches, PO Box 3189, Slough SL1 4WW T 0800 009 4540 E <u>searches@thameswater.co.uk</u> I <u>www.thameswater-propertysearches.co.uk</u>





pressure test to be carried out for a fee.

For your guidance:

- Assets other than vested water mains may be shown on the plan, for information only.
- If an extract of the public water main record is enclosed, this will show known public water mains in the vicinity of the property. It should be possible to estimate the likely length and route of any private water supply pipe connecting the property to the public water network.

Payment for this Search

A charge will be added to your suppliers account.





Further contacts:

Waste Water queries

Should you require verification of the invert levels of public sewers, by site measurement, you will need to approach the relevant Thames Water Area Network Office for permission to lift the appropriate covers. This permission will usually involve you completing a TWOSA form. For further information please contact our Customer Centre on Tel: 0845 920 0800. Alternatively, a survey can be arranged, for a fee, through our Customer Centre on the above number.

If you have any questions regarding sewer connections, budget estimates, diversions, building over issues or any other questions regarding operational issues please direct them to our service desk. Which can be contacted by writing to:

Developer Services (Waste Water) Thames Water Clearwater Court Vastern Road Reading RG1 8DB

Tel: 0800 009 3921 Email: developer.services@thameswater.co.uk

Clean Water queries

Should you require any advice concerning clean water operational issues or clean water connections, please contact:

Developer Services (Clean Water) Thames Water Clearwater Court Vastern Road Reading RG1 8DB

Tel: 0800 009 3921 Email: developer.services@thameswater.co.uk



ased on the Ordnance Survey Man (2020) with the Sanction of the controller of H.M. Stationery Office, License no. 100019345 Crown Convright Reserved

<u>Thames Water Utilities Ltd</u>, Property Searches, PO Box 3189, Slough SL1 4W, T 0800 009 4540 E <u>searches@thameswater.co.uk</u> I <u>www.thameswater-propertysearches.co.uk</u>

NB. Levels quoted in metres Ordnance Newlyn Datum. The value -9999.00 indicates that no survey information is available

Manhole Reference	Manhole Cover Level	Manhole Invert Level
6303	74.2	71.36
641B	n/a	n/a
641C	n/a	n/a
641D	n/a	n/a
641A	n/a	n/a
5401	73.57	71.01
8102	75.11	72.88
711B	n/a	n/a
711C	n/a	n/a
711A	n/a	n/a
721A	n/a	n/a
8209	74.4	72.65
721B	n/a	n/a
8208	74.4	72.84
8203	74.7	72.5
8202	74.75	72.43
7202	74.29	72.87
8204	74.45	72.36
8201	74.08	72.25
7201	74.2	72.11
7203	74	71.9
7204	74.43	71.74
6301	75.02	71.53
6306	n/a	n/a
6302	74.65	71.49
6304	73.99	71.29
631A	n/a	n/a
The position of the apparatus shown on this plan is given without obligation and warranty, and the accuracy cannot be guaranteed. Service pipes are not shown but their presence should be anticipated. No liability of any kind whatsoever is accepted by Thames Water for any error or omission. The actual position of mains and services must be verified and established on site before any works are undertaken.		



Asset Location Search - Sewer Key



- 2) All measurements on the plan are metric.
- 3) Arrows (on gravity fed sewers) or flecks (on rising maints) indicate the direction of flow 4) Most private pipes are not shown on our plans, as in the past, this information has not been recorded.

6) The text appearing alongside a sever line indicates the internal diameter of the pipe in milimeters. Text next to a menhole indicates the manhole reference number and should not be taken as a massurement. If you are unsure about any text or symbology, please contact Property Searches on 0800 009 4540.



Based on the Ordnance Survey Map (2020) with the Sanction of the controller of H.M. Stationery Office, License no. 100019345 Crown Copyright Reserved.

Thames Water Utilities Ltd, Property Searches, PO Box 3189, Slough SL1 4W, T 0800 009 4540 E searches@thameswater.co.uk | www.thameswater-propertysearches.co.uk



entitetine and bloger (24' plus).

Asset Location Search - Water Key



Pressure ControlValve Customer Valva



Symbol indicating what happens at the end of

- - Customer Supply
 - Fire Supply

Operational Sites



Other Symbols

Data Logger

Casement: Ducts may contain high voltage cables Please check with Thames Water.

Other Water Pipes (Not Operated or Maintained by Thamne Water)
Other Water Company Main: Occasionally other water company water pipes may overlap the bentier of our clean water coverage area. These mains are deeded in purple and in most cases have the owner of the pipe displayed along them

Private Main: Indiales that the water main in question is not owned by Thames Water. These mans normally have text associated with them indicating the diameter and owner of the pipe.

(#) mm0031

Payment Terms and Conditions

All sales are made in accordance with Thames Water Utilities Limited (TWUL) standard terms and conditions unless previously agreed in writing.

- 1. All goods remain in the property of Thames Water Utilities Ltd until full payment is received.
- 2. Provision of service will be in accordance with all legal requirements and published TWUL policies.
- 3. All invoices are strictly due for payment within 14 days of the date of the invoice. Any other terms must be accepted/agreed in writing prior to provision of goods or service or will be held to be invalid.
- 4. Penalty interest may be invoked by TWUL in the event of unjustifiable payment delay. Interest charges will be in line with UK Statute Law 'The Late Payment of Commercial Debts (Interest) Act 1998'.
- 5. Interest will be charged in line with current Court Interest Charges, if legal action is taken.
- 6. A charge may be made at the discretion of the company for increased administration costs.

A copy of Thames Water's standard terms and conditions are available from the Commercial Billing Team (cashoperations@thameswater.co.uk).

We publish several Codes of Practice including a guaranteed standards scheme. You can obtain copies of these leaflets by calling us on 0800 316 9800.

If you are unhappy with our service, you can speak to your original goods or customer service provider. If you are still not satisfied with the outcome provided, we will refer the matter to a Senior Manager for resolution who will provide you with a response.

If you are still dissatisfied with our final response, and in certain circumstances such as you are buying a residential property or commercial property within certain parameters, The Property Ombudsman will investigate your case and give an independent view. The Ombudsman can award compensation of up to $\pounds 25,000$ to you if he finds that you have suffered actual financial loss and/or aggravation, distress, or inconvenience because of your search not keeping to the Code. Further information can be obtained by visiting www.tpos.co.uk or by sending an email to admin@tpos.co.uk.

If the Goods or Services covered by this invoice falls under the regulation of the 1991 Water Industry Act, and you remain dissatisfied you can refer your complaint to Consumer Council for Water on 0300 034 2222 or write to them at Consumer Council for Water, 1st Floor, Victoria Square House, Victoria Square, Birmingham, B2 4AJ.

Ways to pay your bill

Credit Card	BACS Payment	Telephone Banking
Please Call 0800 009 4540 quoting your invoice number starting CBA or ADS	Account number 90478703 Sort code 60-00-01 A remittance advice must be sent to: Thames Water Utilities Ltd., PO Box 3189, Slough SL1 4WW. or email ps.billing@thameswater.co.uk	By calling your bank and quoting: Account number 90478703 Sort code 60-00-01 and your invoice number

Thames Water Utilities Ltd Registered in England & Wales No. 2366661 Registered Office Clearwater Court, Vastern Rd, Reading, Berks, RG1 8DB.





EPS Consulting Soil Infiltration Testing Report



SOIL INFILTRATION TESTING REPORT

LAND TO REAR OF ORCHARD COTTAGE, MAIN STREET, GRENDON UNDERWOOD, HP18 0SJ

REFERENCE: P1175/R1/V1

REPORT PREPARED FOR: HANNAFORD PROJECT MANAGEMENT LIMITED

REPORT PREPARED BY: EPS CONSULTING

DECEMBER 2023





QUALITY ASSURANCE

Issue/revision	Issue 1	Revision 1	Revision 2
Author	Hayley Elson		
Job Title	Senior Engineer		
Authorised by	Stuart Phillips		
Job title	Director		
Project number	P1175/R1/V1		

CONTACT DETAILS

Head Office: Environment House 39 East Drive Carshalton Beeches SM5 4PA

London Office: Build Studios 203 Westminster Bridge Road London SE1 7FR

www.epsconsulting.co.uk





Site Address	Orchard Cottage, Main Street, Grendon Underwood, HP18 0SJ			
National Grid Reference	SP6862420271			
Current Site Use	The site currently comprises an existing residential property, Orchard Cottage and a vacant field to the rear of the cottage.			
Proposed Development	The development proposal is for the demolition of the existing dwelling and erection of seven dwellings with parking and associated landscaping.			
Scope of Site Investigation Works	Soil infiltration testing within a single location (ref. TP1) to determine the feasibility of adopting shallow soakaways to inform the sustainable drainage system (SuDS) design.			
Summary of Ground Conditions Encountered	 A thin layer of Topsoil overlying Made Ground to a depth of 0.40mbgl; Firm brown slightly gravelly CLAY to 1.80mbgl; Stiff bluish grey CLAY to >2.60mbgl; and A very slow groundwater seepage was observed within a clay fissure at 2.60mbgl but was not deemed to represent a groundwater strike. The test was therefore continued and deemed representative. 			
Findings and recommendations	In-situ soil Infiltration testing revealed very little soakage with groundwater falling c. 30mm during the 90minute test period which is generally typical of firm to stiff CLAY. After this time, the pit started to collapse and the test was terminated. Based on the above, alternative methods of surface water disposal will be required such as the disposal to the existing pond on site.			



TABLE OF CONTENTS

QUALITY ASSURANCE		I
CONTACT DETAILS		I
EXECI	UTIVE SUMMARY	
1.0	INTRODUCTION	4
1.1	Background	4
1.2	Proposed Development	4
1.3	Objectives	4
1.4	Sources of Information	5
1.5	Confidentiality	5
1.6	Limitations	5
2.0	SITE INVESTIGATION	6
2.1	Summary of Fieldwork	6
2.2	Site Investigation Standards	6
3.0	GROUND AND GROUNDWATER CONDITIONS	7
3.1	Summary of Ground Conditions	7
3.2	Groundwater	7
4.0	SOIL INFILTRATION TESTING	8

APPENDICES

Appendix I	Drawings		
	Figure 1 - Site Location Plan		
	Figure 2 – Proposed Development Plan		
	Figure 3 – Site Location Plan with Trial Pit Location		
Appendix II	Limitations		
Appendix III	Exploratory Hole Log		
Appendix IV	Soil Infiltration Test Certificate		
Appendix V	Photographs		



1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

eps consulting (EPS) has been commissioned by Hannaford Project Management Limited ("the Client") to undertake soil infiltration testing at the Land to the rear of Orchard Cottage, Main Street, Grendon, Underwood, HP18 0SJ.

A Site Location Plan is presented as Figure 1 within Appendix I.

1.2 Proposed Development

It is understood that the site currently comprises an existing residential property, Orchard Cottage, with a vacant open field to the rear of the cottage. A pond, surrounded by mature willow trees is located in the south-western corner of the Site. The development proposal is for the demolition of the existing dwelling and erection of seven dwellings with parking and associated landscaping. A proposed development plan is presented as Figure 2 within Appendix I.

1.3 Objectives

The objective of this report is to provide information on ground conditions and soil infiltration rates to determine the feasibility of adopting shallow soakaways to inform the sustainable drainage system (SuDS) design. All works were undertaken in accordance with eps consulting's fee proposal letter dated 30th November 2023 (ref. P1175/231127/P1).

Historical BGS maps were reviewed during the fee proposal stage and indicated that the site is underlain by Stewartby Member Mudstone Formation comprising Mudstone and Clay. The nearest BGS historical borehole, situated c. 1km from the site (see extract below), confirmed this with ground conditions comprising yellow brown firm to stiff clay overlying stiff to very stiff grey-brown clay.

SP61NE9





1.4 Sources of Information

The following sources of information have been utilised in the preparation of this report:

- Berrys: Flood Risk Assessment Land to the rear of Orchard Cottage (October 2023 SA46443_FRA1_Issue 1.1);
- Palmer + Partners: Proposed Block Plan (22041-PL95-RevE);
- Palmer + Partners: Proposed Site Plan (22041-PL96);
- Palmer + Partners: Existing Location Plan (22041-EX 90); and
- Buckinghamshire Council: Objection Letter (23/03314/APP).

1.5 Confidentiality

EPS has prepared this report solely for the use of the client and those parties with whom a warranty agreement has been executed, or with whom an assignment has been agreed. Should any third party wish to use or rely upon the contents of the report, written approval must be sought from EPS. A charge may be levied against such approval.

1.6 Limitations

The full limitations of this report are presented in Appendix II.


2.0 SITE INVESTIGATION

2.1 Summary of Fieldwork

A site walkover was undertaken by eps on 28th November 2023 with the site conditions noted to be very wet and boggy, comprising small pools of water and a large pond in the south-west corner. As such, reasonable access for plant and equipment was only available near the main entrance to the site.

Intrusive site investigation works were carried out on 6th December 2023 and comprised a single machine excavated trial pit (TP1) to a depth of 2.60mbgl. Soil infiltration testing was undertaken at the base of the pit. During the infiltration monitoring the water level fell only c. 30mm in 90 minutes. At this stage the pit started collapsing and the test was terminated. Upon completion of testing excess water was removed and the pit was backfilled with arisings in reverse order and compacted in layers.

The location of the trial pit is shown on Figure 3 within Appendix I, the soil infiltration test certificate is presented within Appendix IV, and a selection of photographs are presented within Appendix V.

2.2 Site Investigation Standards

All exploratory works, associated sampling, in-situ testing, and logging were carried out broadly in accordance with techniques outlined in:

- BS5930:2015+A1:2020 Code of Practice for Ground Investigations;
- BS EN ISO 14688-1 Identification of Soil;
- BS EN ISO 14688-2 Classification of Soil;
- BS EN ISO 22475 Sampling methods and groundwater measurements; and
- BRE Digest 365 Soakaway Design (revised 2016).



3.0 GROUND AND GROUNDWATER CONDITIONS

3.1 Summary of Ground Conditions

Ground conditions encountered in TP1 were generally consistent with published geology comprising a thin veneer of Topsoil overlying Made Ground to a depth of 0.40mbgl. The Made Ground comprised fine-grained soils of slightly gravelly CLAY. Gravels of flint and rare brick were noted.

Firm brown slightly gravelly CLAY was encountered to a depth of 1.80mbgl. This was underlain by stiff blueish grey CLAY to a depth greater than >2.60mbgl.

An exploratory hole log is presented within Appendix IV.

3.2 Groundwater

A very slow groundwater seepage was observed within a clay fissure at 2.60mbgl but was not deemed to represent a groundwater strike. The test was therefore continued and deemed representative.





4.0 SOIL INFILTRATION TESTING

In-situ soil Infiltration testing revealed very little soakage with groundwater falling c. 30mm during the 90minute test period which is generally typical of firm to stiff CLAY. After this time, the pit started to collapse and the test was terminated.

Based on the above, it is likely that alternatively methods of surface water disposal will be required, such as the disposal to the existing pond on site.

END OF REPORT



APPENDIX I

DRAWINGS











APPENDIX II – LIMITATIONS

- 1. This report and its findings should be considered in relation to the terms of reference and objectives agreed between EPS and the Client.
- 2. For the work, reliance has been placed on publicly available data obtained from the sources identified. The information is not necessarily exhaustive and further information relevant to the site may be available from other sources. When using the information, it has been assumed it is correct. No attempt has been made to verify the information.
- 3. This report has been produced in accordance with current UK policy and legislative requirements for land and groundwater contamination which are enforced by the local authority and the Environment Agency. Liabilities associated with land contamination are complex and requires advice from legal professionals.
- 4. During the site walkover reasonable effort has been made to obtain an overview of the site conditions. However, during the site walkover no attempt has been made to enter areas of the site that are unsafe or present a risk to health and safety, are locked, barricaded, overgrown, or the location of the area has not be made known or accessible.
- 5. Site sensitivity assessments have been made based on available information at the time of writing and are ultimately for the decision of the regulatory authorities.
- 6. The executive summary, conclusions and recommendations sections of the report provide an overview and guidance only and should not be specifically relied upon without considering the context of the report in full.
- 7. EPS cannot be held responsible for any use of the report or its contents for any purpose other than that for which it was prepared. The copyright in this report and other plans and documents prepared by EPS is owned by them and no such plans or documents may be reproduced, published or adapted without written consent. Complete copies of this may, however, be made and distributed by the client as is expected in dealing with matters related to its commission. Should the client pass copies of the report to other parties for information, the whole report should be copied, but no professional liability or warranties shall be extended to other parties by EPS in this connection without their explicit written agreement there to by EPS.
- 8. New information, revised practices or changes in legislation may necessitate the re-interpretation of the report, in whole or in part.





APPENDIX III

EXPLORATORY HOLE LOG



				Client:					Trial Pit ID:						
endeavour drilling				r Of Orchan	ds Cottage, Date Sta	Grendon Un	derwood Logge	d Bv [.]	EPS Consulting		Iting Status:	TP1			
.15628			06/12/2023		023	JJW		OW		FINAL					
Easting:			Northing:		a.	Ground	l evel:	Plant Used:		Date Printed	Sheet 1 of 1		of 1		
Trial Pit Log			. tertining.		9.	oround	3T Excavator		08/12/2023	1:35		•			
Weather: Dry/Sunny					Ter	mination: I	Reached t	rget depth. Stability: Collapsed dur				ng soak away test .			
Samples & In Situ Testing							Strata Details						ter		
Depths	Depths Sample ID Test Result			Reduced Depth (m) Level (Thickness) Legend			Strata Description						Wa	Backfill	
- - - - - - -					0.10 (0.40)		TOP SO MADE G clay. Gra and rare	DP SOIL ADE GROUND - Soft dark greyish brown slightly gravelly ay. Gravel is fine to coarse subangular to subrounded of flint nd rare brick.							
-					0.50		Firm yel	owish brov ubangular	wn slightly g to subroun	ravelly CL ded of flint	AY. Gravel is fine to	-			
-					(1.30)							- 1			
-					1.80							-			
					(0.80)		to mediu traces (<	sh grey mo im sand siz 2mm).	ottled brown zed selenite	CLAY wit and rare	h occasional fine dead rootlet	2			
- - -					(0.00)		-		al with roro a		al aiza mudatana	-			
- 					2.60	<u> </u>		om 2.40mbg	End of Tri	al Pit at 2.60	m	-			
- - -												-			
												-3			
-												-			
- - -												-			
- - -												-1			
- - -												-			
-															
 - -												-			
-															
- - -												5			
- - -												-			
Dimension	s:						Gene	General Remarks:							
Final Depth: 2.60m								ion machir flow). 3. Se tion	ne excavate oak away te	d to 2.60n est carried	nbgl, 2. Ground water e out at 2.60mbgl. 4. Bac	ncounte ckfilled w	red at 2. /ith arisi	.60mbgl ngs on	
✓ Length (m)►							Comple	uUII.							
1./um															
Crientation: 0°															
							Strike	(m) Ca	asing (m)	V Sealed (m	vater Strikes	e to (m)	Remark	S	
▼							2.6	0			0		Slow in	flow.	
								Femplate Iso	sue Number [,] 1	Issue Date	: 09/06/2015				
Inclination:	90°							iompiate ISS	sue muniper. I	issue Dale					



APPENDIX IV

SOIL INFILTRATION TEST CERTIFICATE





APPENDIX V

PHOTOGRAPHS



Photograph 1 – TP1 (prior to excavation)



Photograph 2 – TP1 Spoil Pile Showing Clay Soils







Photograph 3 – TP1 (following backfilling and completion of soil infiltration testing)

Photograph 4 – Facing north from southern boundary, on-site pond in background





Disclaimer

This report has been prepared by GeoSmart in its professional capacity as soil, groundwater, flood risk and drainage specialists, with reasonable skill, care and diligence within the agreed scope and terms of contract and taking account of the manpower and resources devoted to it by agreement with its client and is provided by GeoSmart solely for the internal use of its client.

The advice and opinions in this report should be read and relied on only in the context of the report as a whole, taking account of the terms of reference agreed with the client. The findings are based on the information made available to GeoSmart at the date of the report (and will have been assumed to be correct) and on current UK standards, codes, technology and practices as at that time. They do not purport to include any manner of legal advice or opinion. New information or changes in conditions and regulatory requirements may occur in future, which will change the conclusions presented here.

This report is confidential to the client. The client may submit the report to regulatory bodies, where appropriate. Should the client wish to release this report to any other third party for that party's reliance, GeoSmart may, by prior written agreement, agree to such release, provided that it is acknowledged that GeoSmart accepts no responsibility of any nature to any third party to whom this report or any part thereof is made known. GeoSmart accepts no responsibility for any loss or damage incurred as a result, and the third party does not acquire any rights whatsoever, contractual or otherwise, against GeoSmart except as expressly agreed with GeoSmart in writing.

For full T&Cs see http://geosmartinfo.co.uk/terms-conditions

Further information

Information on confidence levels and ways to improve this report can be provided for any location on written request to info@geosmart.co.uk or via our website. Updates to our model are ongoing and additional information is being collated from several sources to improve the database and allow increased confidence in the findings. Further information on groundwater levels and flooding are being incorporated in the model to enable improved accuracy to be achieved in future versions of the map. Please contact us if you would like to join our User Group and help with feedback on infiltration SuDS and mapping suggestion.



Important consumer protection information

This search has been produced by GeoSmart Information Limited, Suite 9-11, 1st Floor, Old Bank Buildings, Bellstone, Shrewsbury, SY1 1HU.

Tel: 01743 298 100

Email: info@geosmartinfo.co.uk

GeoSmart Information Limited is registered with the Property Codes Compliance Board (PCCB) as a subscriber to the Search Code. The PCCB independently monitors how registered search firms maintain compliance with the Code.

The Search Code:

- provides protection for homebuyers, sellers, estate agents, conveyancers and mortgage lenders who rely on the information included in property search reports undertaken by subscribers on residential and commercial property within the United Kingdom.
- sets out minimum standards which firms compiling and selling search reports have to meet.
- promotes the best practice and quality standards within the industry for the benefit of consumers and property professionals.
- enables consumers and property professionals to have confidence in firms which subscribe to the code, their products and services.
- By giving you this information, the search firm is confirming that they keep to the principles of the Code. This provides important protection for you.

The Code's core principles

Firms which subscribe to the Search Code will:

- display the Search Code logo prominently on their search reports.
- act with integrity and carry out work with due skill, care and diligence.
- at all times maintain adequate and appropriate insurance to protect consumers.
- conduct business in an honest, fair and professional manner.
- handle complaints speedily and fairly.
- ensure that products and services comply with industry registration rules and standards and relevant laws.
- monitor their compliance with the Code.



Complaints

If you have a query or complaint about your search, you should raise it directly with the search firm, and if appropriate ask for any complaint to be considered under their formal internal complaints procedure. If you remain dissatisfied with the firm's final response, after your complaint has been formally considered, or if the firm has exceeded the response timescales, you may refer your complaint for consideration under The Property Ombudsman scheme (TPOs). The Ombudsman can award up to £5,000 to you if the Ombudsman finds that you have suffered actual financial loss and/or aggravation, distress or inconvenience as a result of your search provider failing to keep to the Code.

Please note that all queries or complaints regarding your search should be directed to your search provider in the first instance, not to TPOs or to the PCCB.

TPOs contact details:

The Property Ombudsman scheme Milford House 43-55 Milford Street Salisbury Wiltshire SP1 2BP Tel: 01722 333306 Fax: 01722 332296 Email: admin@tpos.co.uk

Please ask your search provider if you would like a copy of the search code

Complaints procedure

GeoSmart Information Limited is registered with the Property Codes Compliance Board as a subscriber to the Search Code. A key commitment under the Code is that firms will handle any complaints both speedily and fairly. If you want to make a complaint, we will:

- Acknowledge it within 5 working days of receipt.
- Normally deal with it fully and provide a final response, in writing, within 20 working days of receipt.
- Keep you informed by letter, telephone or e-mail, as you prefer, if we need more time.
- Provide a final response, in writing, at the latest within 40 working days of receipt.
- Liaise, at your request, with anyone acting formally on your behalf.



If you are not satisfied with our final response, or if we exceed the response timescales, you may refer the complaint to The Property Ombudsman scheme (TPOs): Tel: 01722 333306, E-mail: admin@tpos.co.uk.

We will co-operate fully with the Ombudsman during an investigation and comply with his final decision. Complaints should be sent to:

Martin Lucass

Commercial Director

GeoSmart Information Limited

Suite 9-11, 1st Floor,

Old Bank Buildings,

Bellstone, Shrewsbury, SY1 1HU

Tel: 01743 298 100

martinlucass@geosmartinfo.co.uk



14 Terms and conditions, CDM regulations and data limitations



Terms and conditions can be found on our website: <u>http://geosmartinfo.co.uk/terms-conditions/</u> CDM regulations can be found on our website: <u>http://geosmartinfo.co.uk/knowledge-hub/cdm-2015/</u> Data use and limitations can be found on our website: <u>http://geosmartinfo.co.uk/data-limitations/</u>