



Penny McCarthy  
11 The Cressinghams, The Parade, Epsom, Surrey KT18 5DJ



Placement Development Service,  
Town Hall  
Epsom KT18 5BY

Your ref 23/01451/FUL Development at Friars Garth, The Parade, Epsom.

Dear Mr Turvey

With respect to the planning application I wish to object, and request the application is refused. I base my objections on following factors:

1. Surely the previous rejections of a new multi storey building still applies? A property overbearing and not fitting in with the surroundings. Has this new application always been the developers intention?
2. An increase in height, and added residents at that level would overlook the neighbours, and cause a greater shadow to The Cressinghams, when the sun in in the west, in the evenings. Has a light study been done?
3. The Parade can be busy with traffic, visitors and shoppers always looking for somewhere to park. Even in the short time I have lived here (3 months) we have observed cars trying to turn right at the end onto the one-way street (Ashley Rd)! The increase of this building will create more traffic especially as NO extra parking is included.
4. There are many elderly residents on The Parade, and it is not unusual to see motorised disability vehicles and less abled using the road on The Parade. This is due to an inconsistency of pavements on the Parade. The increase of traffic caused by added flats, will create a greater danger to disabled, infirm and elderly already in the area.
5. The increased traffic, pollution, danger to pedestrians, increased density, and a dominant building will greatly reduce the enjoyment of the pleasant environment enjoyed by the occupants in the area.
6. As a new resident of three months, our solititor did searches of such development and it was the the planning of the proposed building that came up. We trusted in Epsom Council Planning, that they had considered the environment and community, and the height of the property was limited. I ask you not to betray that trust.
7. Phil Weldin, the applicant, had a meeting with residents of The Cressinghams, but it is thought such was disingenuous, as he had already made the application. He also promised to build the brick boundary wall at the back of the property, as a matter of urgency, and has not done so. There is a matter of trust, and I refer you to my point 1., above. There were objections to the original proposal, but even more so for the increase. The block as is, is big enough.

Please, at worst, refer this to full Planning Committee, but, at best, reject the proposal for above and any other reasons brought to The Council's attention.

Yours sincerely

Penny McCarthy

Click [here](#) to report this email as spam.