

**THE TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT) REGULATIONS
2017 SCREENING MATRIX**

1. CASE DETAILS			
Case Reference	24/SCR/00003	Brief description of the project / development	Request for Screening Opinion in relation to proposed extension to and re-development of site to provide new plant and vehicle workshop, welding services workshop, office and training academy, pylon training facility and other associated works (associated with application no 24/00317/FULM)
Applicant	J Murphy & Sons Limited		
LPA	NSDC		
2. EIA DETAILS			
Is the project Schedule 1 development according to Schedule 1 of the EIA Regulations?	No		
If YES, which description of development (THEN GO TO Q4)	N/A		
Is the project Schedule 2 development under the EIA Regulations?	Yes		
If YES, under which description of development in Column 1 and Column 2?	Class 10(a) – Industrial estate development projects (the area of development exceeds 0.5 Ha)		
Is the development within, partly within, or near a 'sensitive area' as defined by Regulation 2 of the EIA Regulations?	The site is not within a SSSI, land to which NCOs apply, an international conservation site, a National Park, the Broads, AONB, a World Heritage Site or a Scheduled Monument (SAM). The site is not situated within a 'sensitive area' as defined in Regulation 2.		
If YES, which area?	N/A		
Are the applicable thresholds/criteria in Column 2 exceeded/met?	Yes		
If yes, which applicable threshold/criteria?	The area of development is above 0.5 hectares at 23.68Ha approx. However, the existing Murphy site is approx. 6.8Ha and not all of the extended area would be developed i.e. for retained grass space/buffer planting.		
Indicative criteria and threshold (Para 58 Red ID: 4-058-20150326 of PPG)	<p>Site area of the new development is more than 20 hectares. Whilst the red line boundary would exceed this threshold, the net development area of extended development would be less than this. These figures are indicative only and are intended to help determine whether significant effects are likely. However, when considering the thresholds, it is important to also consider the location of the proposed development.</p> <p>Potential increase in traffic, emissions and noise are key considerations.</p>		
3. LPA/SOS SCREENING			
Has the LPA or SoS issued a Screening Opinion (SO) or Screening Direction (SD)? (In the case of Enforcement appeals, has a Regulation 37 notice been issued)	No		
If yes, is a copy of the SO/SD on the file?	N/A		
If yes, is the SO/SD positive?	N/A		
4. ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT			
Has the appellant supplied an ES for the current or previous (if reserved matters or conditions) application?	No		

A Screening Criteria Question	B Response to the Screening Criteria Question in Column A (Yes/No and explanation of reasons) Briefly explain reasons and, if applicable and/or known, include name of feature(s) and proximity to site(s)	C Is a Significant Effect Likely? (Yes/No and explanation of reasons (nb if the answer in Column B is 'No', Column C is not applicable)) Is a significant effect likely, having regard particularly to the magnitude and spatial extent (including population size affected), nature, intensity and complexity, probability, expected onset, duration, frequency and reversibility of the impact and the possibility to effectively reduce the impact? If the finding of no significant effect is reliant on specific features or measures of the project envisaged to avoid, or prevent what might otherwise have been, significant adverse effects on the environment these should be identified in bold.
-------------------------------	---	---

5. NATURAL RESOURCES

<p>5.1 Will construction, operation or decommissioning of the project involve actions which will cause physical changes in the topography of the area?</p>	Yes	<p>The land take of the development is substantial. The site is located adjacent to an established industrial site. The proposed development would have a net addition B2 (General Industrial) floorspace of 1373sqm (6500sqm when discounting demolition). Due to the size and position of the extension of the existing Murphy's site at the edge of the settlement of Ollerton, the scale of the land take could result in the development being a prominent feature of the landscape. Partial views from users of Ollerton Pit Woods may be achievable.</p>	No	<p>The change would be permanent. In terms of the magnitude and spatial extent of the effect, this is likely to impact on a localised population. The development would not have significant effects beyond local importance. There are accepted methodologies for assessing such impacts.</p>
<p>5.2 Will construction or operation of the project use natural resources above or below ground such as land, soil, water, materials/minerals or energy which are non-renewable or in short supply?</p>	Yes	<p>The site is currently agricultural land, and the proposal would result in the loss of a considerable area of land, with the entire site used for the development and associated infrastructure.</p>	No	<p>The development would not have significant effects beyond local importance. There are accepted methodologies for assessing such impacts including consultation with Natural England and soil testing.</p>
<p>5.3 Are there any areas on/around the location which contain important, high quality or scarce resources which could be affected by the project, e.g. forestry, agriculture, water/coastal, fisheries, minerals?</p>	No		N/A	

A Screening Criteria Question	B Response to the Screening Criteria Question in Column A (Yes/No and explanation of reasons)	C Is a Significant Effect Likely? (Yes/No and explanation of reasons (nb if the answer in Column B is 'No', Column C is not applicable))
6. WASTE		
6.1 Will the project produce solid wastes during construction or operation or decommissioning?	No It is considered that the development would be unlikely to produce significant waste than could be expected from a typical major industrial development.	N/A
7. POLLUTION AND NUISANCES		
7.1 Will the project release pollutants or any hazardous, toxic or noxious substances to air?	No The proposal is not considered to generate pollutants or nuisance over and above that usually associated with a development of this scale and size which would largely be attributable to associated traffic movements.	N/A
7.2 Will the project cause noise and vibration or release of light, heat, energy or electromagnetic radiation?	No The site is not considered to generate pollutants or nuisance over and above that usually associated with a development of this scale and size. There are accepted methodologies for dealing with all of these potential impacts and whilst their extents are not known at this stage I do not consider that they present unusually complex issues to assess nor do they have potentially hazardous impacts.	N/A
7.3 Will the project lead to risks of contamination of land or water from releases of pollutants onto the ground or into surface waters, groundwater, coastal waters or the sea?	No As above.	N/A
7.4 Are there any areas on or around the location which are already subject to pollution or environmental damage, e.g. where existing legal environmental standards are exceeded, which could be affected by the project?	No	N/A
8. POPULATION AND HUMAN HEALTH		
8.1 Will there be any risk of major accidents (including those caused by climate change, in accordance with scientific knowledge) during	No The proposed development is not considered to generate significant risk to human health over and above what has been commented on above.	N/A

A Screening Criteria Question	B Response to the Screening Criteria Question in Column A (Yes/No and explanation of reasons)		C Is a Significant Effect Likely? (Yes/No and explanation of reasons (nb if the answer in Column B is 'No', Column C is not applicable))	
construction, operation or decommissioning?				
8.2 Will the project present a risk to the population (having regard to population density) and their human health during construction, operation or decommissioning? (for example due to water contamination or air pollution)	No	The site is located adjacent to a populated areas Ollerton and adjacent to Sherwood Energy Village..	N/A	
9. WATER RESOURCES				
9.1 Are there any water resources including surface waters, e.g. rivers, lakes/ponds, coastal or underground waters on or around the location which could be affected by the project, particularly in terms of their volume and flood risk?	Yes	The majority of the site is located in FZ 1 with a smaller area located in FZ 2/3 (and at medium to high risk of flooding).	No	The application has been accompanied by a flood risk assessment and drainage strategy which are commensurate to the scale of the development. It is not envisaged that the impacts would be of more than local significance.
10. BIODIVERSITY (SPECIES AND HABITATS)				
10.1 Are there any protected areas which are designated or classified for their terrestrial, avian and marine ecological value, or any non-designated / non-classified areas which are important or sensitive for reasons of their terrestrial, avian and marine ecological value, located on or around the location and which could be affected by the project? (e.g. wetlands, watercourses or other water-bodies, the coastal zone, mountains, forests or woodlands, undesignated nature reserves or parks. (Where designated indicate level of designation (international, national, regional or local))).	Yes	The site is in close proximity to Birklands West and Ollerton Corner SSSO and Wellow Park SSSI, as well as Birklands and BilHaugh SAC. The site is also located close to Ollerton Pit Wood LWS and with the buffer zone area within which the need for the impact on the potential Sherwood Forest Special Protection Area (SPA) for its breeding bird (nightjar and woodlark) needs to be considered.	No	The associated application has been accompanied by an BNG and ecology reports – these documents need to be appraised. Impacts of the proposed development furthermore need to be considered having regard regulation 61 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2020 (Habitat Regulations). It is not envisaged that the impacts would be of more than local significance.

A Screening Criteria Question	B Response to the Screening Criteria Question in Column A (Yes/No and explanation of reasons)		C Is a Significant Effect Likely? (Yes/No and explanation of reasons (nb if the answer in Column B is 'No', Column C is not applicable))	
<p>10.2 Could any protected, important or sensitive species of flora or fauna which use areas on or around the site, e.g. for breeding, nesting, foraging, resting, over-wintering, or migration, be affected by the project?</p>	Yes		No	As above, the ecological appraisal sets out mitigation measures and any impacts would be unlikely to be of no more than local significance.
11. LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL				
<p>11.1 Are there any areas or features on or around the location which are protected for their landscape and scenic value, and/or any non-designated / non-classified areas or features of high landscape or scenic value on or around the location which could be affected by the project?¹ Where designated indicate level of designation (international, national, regional or local).</p>	No		N/A	
<p>11.2 Is the project in a location where it is likely to be highly visible to many people? (If so, from where, what direction, and what distance?)</p>	No	Parts of the site might be visible from adjacent dwellings and users of the adjacent local road/PROW networks. An LVIA has been submitted with the associated planning application for review.	N/A	
12. CULTURAL HERITAGE/ARCHAEOLOGY				
<p>12.1 Are there any areas or features which are protected for their cultural heritage or archaeological value, or any non-designated / classified areas and/or features of cultural heritage or archaeological importance on or around the location which could be affected by the project (including potential impacts on setting, and views to, from and within)? Where designated indicate level</p>	No		No	

¹ See question 8.1 for consideration of impacts on heritage designations and receptors, including on views to, within and from designated areas.

A Screening Criteria Question	B Response to the Screening Criteria Question in Column A (Yes/No and explanation of reasons)		C Is a Significant Effect Likely? (Yes/No and explanation of reasons (nb if the answer in Column B is 'No', Column C is not applicable))	
of designation (international, national, regional or local).				
13. TRANSPORT AND ACCESS				
13.1 Are there any routes on or around the location which are used by the public for access to recreation or other facilities, which could be affected by the project?	Yes	Ollerton Pit Woods contains paths/public right of ways to the north of the site.	No	The public rights of way are outside of the planning application site. A potential historic route (no longer in situ) is noted and appropriate consideration needs to be given to this. Any impacts would be unlikely to be of no more than local significance.
13.2 Are there any transport routes on or around the location which are susceptible to congestion or which cause environmental problems, which could be affected by the project?	No		No	
14. LAND USE				
14.1 Are there existing land uses or community facilities on or around the location which could be affected by the project? E.g. housing, densely populated areas, industry / commerce, farm/agricultural holdings, forestry, tourism, mining, quarrying, facilities relating to health, education, places of worship, leisure /sports / recreation.	Yes	See above.	No	As above, any impacts would be unlikely to be of no more than local significance.
14.2 Are there any plans for future land uses on or around the location which could be affected by the project?	No		N/A	
15. LAND STABILITY AND CLIMATE				
15.1 Is the location susceptible to earthquakes, subsidence, landslides, erosion, or extreme /adverse climatic conditions, e.g. temperature inversions, fogs, severe winds, which could cause	No		N/A	

A Screening Criteria Question	B Response to the Screening Criteria Question in Column A (Yes/No and explanation of reasons)		C Is a Significant Effect Likely? (Yes/No and explanation of reasons (nb if the answer in Column B is 'No', Column C is not applicable))	
the project to present environmental problems?				
16. CUMULATIVE EFFECTS				
16.1 Could this project together with existing and/or approved development result in cumulation of impacts together during the construction/operation phase?	Yes	There have been a number of planning permissions for development in the surrounding area. An outline planning application for 194 dwellings (app no 23/02274/OUTM) is currently pending consideration on land to the north west of the site (on the opposite side of Newark Rd).	No	It is not envisaged that the impacts would be of more than local significance.
17. TRANSBOUNDARY EFFECTS				
17.1 Is the project likely to lead to transboundary effects? ²	No		N/A	

² The Regulations require consideration of the transboundary nature of the impact. Due to the England's geographical location the vast majority of TCPA cases are unlikely to result in transboundary impacts.

18. CONCLUSIONS – ACCORDING TO EIA REGULATIONS SCHEDULE 3

The Local Planning Authority has considered the potential significant impacts of development in relation to the criteria set out above having regard to the extent of the impact (geographical area and size of the affected population), transfrontier nature of the impact, magnitude and complexity of the impact; probability of the impact and duration, frequency and reversibility of the impact. The effects would not be of more than local importance.

On the basis of the submitted information the proposal constitutes a Schedule 2 development 10b of the EIA Regulations. Having reviewed the nature and magnitude of likely impacts upon the environment, it is considered that the development, comprising an industrial site would be unlikely to have significant effect on the environment. As such, an Environmental Impact Assessment **is not required**.

19. SCREENING DECISION

If a SO/SD has been provided do you agree with it?	N/A
Is it necessary to issue a SD?	Yes
Is an ES required?	No

	OUTCOME
--	----------------

Is likely to have significant effects on the environment	ES required	X
Not likely to have significant effects on the environment	ES not required	✓
More information is required to inform direction	Request further info	N/A

21. REASON FOR SCREENING

Submission of planning application reference 24/00317/FULM.

NAME	HM
DATE	15.03.2024
SIGNED BY	JGL
DATE	15.03.2024