FRA & SuDS Strategy March 2024

EAS

Acorn Lodge London Road Flamstead

Founthill

EAS

Document History

JOB NUMBER:	3824/2023
DOCUMENT REF:	FRA and SuDS Strategy – Acorn Lodge
REVISIONS:	D

Revision	Comments	Ву	Checked	Authorised	Date
A	Client Draft	RC	SA	SA	10.10.2022
В	Final	RC	SA	SA	11.10.2022
С	Updated Layout	RC/AW	RC	SA	10.05.2023
D	Updated following LLFA comments	MD	SA	SA	13.09.2023
E	Updated to include emergency access route	SA	MD	SA	21.03.2024

This document has been prepared for the sole use of Founthill Land Planning and Development. Its content should not be relied upon by others without the written authority of EAS Transport Planning Ltd. If any unauthorised third party makes use of this report they do so at their own risk and EAS Transport Planning Ltd owe them no duty of care or skill.

The content of this report is based on information available as of March 2024, the validity of the statements made may therefore vary over time as planning guidance / policies and the evidence base change.

Flood Risk Assessment & SuDS Drainage Strategy | Acorn Lodge, Flamstead, St Albans

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Policy Context	2
	Introduction National Planning Policy Framework Local Policy South West Hertfordshire Level 1 Strate Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) New Dacorum Local Plan	2 2 3 egic 3 4
3	Existing Site Assessment	7
	Site Description Local Watercourses Site Levels Geology Public Sewer Mapping Existing Drainage	7 7 7 8 8
4	Potential Sources of Flooding	9
	Fluvial 2D Fluvial Flood Levels Historic Flood Outlines Surface Water Reservoir Groundwater Sewer	9 9 10 10 11 11 12
5	Mitigation Measures Flood Warning and Evacuation	13 14
6	Proposed Drainage Strategy Relevant SuDS Policy Site Specific SuDS	17 17 17

	Consideration of SuDS Hierarchy Surface Water Drainage Design Param Pre-development Runoff Rate Proposed SuDS Strategy Exceedance Event Water Quality	18 ieters 19 19 20 21 21
7 Drai	Maintenance of the Proposed inage System	23
8	Conclusion	26
	Flood Risk Summary SuDS Summary Conclusion	26 27 28
9	Appendices	29
	Appendix: A – Location Plan Appendix: B – Proposed Plans Appendix: C – EA Flood Map for Plann EA Data Appendix: D – Topographical Survey Appendix E – BRE 365 Infiltration Test Appendix F - Thames Water Sewer Ma	1 2 ing & 3 4 ss5 spping 6
	Appendix G – Flood Velocity Assessme Appendix H – Surface Water Flood Ma Appendix I – Reservoir Flood Extent Appendix J – Emergency Pedstrian Ac and Proposed PRoW Appendix K – Proposed SuDS Layout Appendix L - Causeway Flow Hydraulio Outputs Appendix M – Exceedance Routes	ent 7 ps 8 9 cess 10 11 c 12 13

Flood Risk Assessment & SuDS Drainage Strategy | Acorn Lodge, Flamstead, St Albans

1 Introduction

- 1.1 EAS has been commissioned to provide a Flood Risk Assessment and SuDS report in order to inform a planning application for a proposed redevelopment of part of the site at Acorn Lodge, London Road, Flamstead, St Albans AL3 8HB (hereafter referred to as 'the site'). At present the site comprises storage units. It is proposed to redevelop the site into four residential dwellings. The site location plan is included in **Appendix A** and the proposed development plans are in **Appendix B**.
- 1.2 The site is shown to be in Flood Zones 1, 2 and 3 on the EA Flood Map for Planning and as such a full flood risk assessment is required to meet the requirements of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). This report assesses all sources of flooding and details mitigation measures. It should be noted that the proposed residential dwellings have been sequentially located outside Flood Zones 2 and 3 and are entirely in Flood Zone 1.
- 1.3 The contents of this FRA are based on the advice set out in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) published in December 2023, Annex 3: Flood risk vulnerability classification, also from the NPPF and PPG 'Guidance for Flood Risk and Coastal Change', updated in August 2022.
- 1.4 This report is based on the Environment Agency Flood Maps, geology mapping, OS mapping, topographic survey, Strategic Flood Risk Assessment and local policy.
- 1.5 This document includes the following sections:
 - Section 2 describes the relevant policy;
 - Section 3 site description, including site levels, proximity to watercourses etc.;
 - Section 4 outlines potential sources of flooding;
 - Section 5 details the proposed drainage strategy;
 - Section 6 concludes the report.

TRANSPORT PLANNING I HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 8HN. Tel 01820 871 777 e: contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

2 Policy Context

Introduction

2.1 The contents of this FRA are based on the advice set out in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) published in December 2023, Annex 3: Flood risk vulnerability classification, also from the NPPF and PPG 'Guidance for Flood Risk and Coastal Change', updated in August 2022.

National Planning Policy Framework

2.2 This section sets out the policy context. This report is based on the advice set out in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) last updated December 2023 and the Planning Practical Guidance (PPG) updated in August 2022.

2.3 Paragraph 167 footnote 59 of the NPPF states:

"A site-specific flood risk assessment should be provided for all developments in Flood Zones 2 and 3. In Flood Zone 1, an assessment should accompany all proposals involving: sites of 1 hectare or more; land which has been identified by the Environment Agency as having critical drainage problems; land identified in a strategic flood risk assessment as being at increased flood risk in future; or land that may be subject to other sources of flooding, where its development would introduce a more vulnerable use."

- 2.4 The flood zones are defined as:
 - a. Flood Zone 1 less than a 0.1% (1 in 1000) annual probability of river or tidal flooding.
 - b. Flood Zone 2 between a 0.1% and 1% (1 in 1000 and 1 in 100) annual probability of river flooding; or between a 0.1% and 0.5% (1 in 1000 and 1 in 200) annual probability of flooding from tidal sources.
 - c. Flood Zone 3a- This zone comprises land assessed as having a 1% (1 in 100) or greater annual probability of river flooding; and for tidal flooding at least a 0.5% (1 in 200) annual probability of flooding from tidal sources.
 - d. Flood Zone 3b This zone comprises land where water has to flow or be stored in times of flood. This classification is usually classified as land which had a 3.33% (1 in 30) annual probability of flooding.
- 2.5 Paragraph 165 discusses the suitability of development location, particularly with regards to future risks induced by climate change:

"Inappropriate development in areas at risk of flooding should be avoided by directing development away from areas at highest risk (whether existing or future). Where development is necessary in such areas, the development should be made safe for its lifetime without increasing flood risk elsewhere".

2.6 Paragraph 166 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) sets out how:

Flood Risk Assessment & SuDS Drainage Strategy | Acorn Lodge, Flamstead, St Albans

Page 2

TRANSPORT PLANNING I HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 8HN. Tel 01820 871 777 e: contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

"Strategic policies should be informed by a strategic flood risk assessment, and should manage flood risk from all sources. They should consider cumulative impacts in, or affecting, local areas susceptible to flooding, and take account of advice from the Environment Agency and other relevant flood risk management authorities, such as lead local flood authorities and internal drainage boards".

2.7 Paragraphs 175 NPPF discusses the application of sustainable drainage systems:

"Major developments should incorporate sustainable drainage systems unless there is clear evidence that this would be inappropriate. The systems used should:

- a) Take account of advice from the lead local flood authority;
- b) Have appropriate proposed minimum operational standards;
- c) Have maintenance arrangements in place to ensure an acceptable standard of operation of the lifetime of the development; and
- d) Where possible, provide multifunctional benefits."
- 2.8 2The EA Flood Map for Planning shows the site to be located in Flood Zones 1, 2 and 3. The EA Flood Map for Planning is enclosed in Appendix C. The site layout has been overlaid with the Flood Map for Planning and all properties are located in Flood Zone 1.

Local Policy

South West Hertfordshire Level 1 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA)

- 2.9 The Level 1 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) was published in 2019 to provide suitable guidance and mapping to inform development control decisions within South-West Hertfordshire.
- 2.10 Chapter 11 provides guidance for planners and developers regarding surface water runoff and drainage. Paragraph 11.2.1. of the SFRA sets out what is expected from a Drainage Strategy in the Borough:

"SuDS can be integrated into the design of all new development within South West Hertfordshire. The effectiveness of SuDS within a site is defined by site characteristics including (but not limited to) topography, geology, soil permeability, water table, existing flow paths across the site, land ownership and the proportion of site area necessary to effectively manage surface water runoff and drainage."

2.11 Paragraph 11.2.3. of the SFRA sets out details related to runoff rates and storage volumes:

"Hertfordshire guidance on designing runoff rates and storage volumes is in keeping with, or an improvement on, best practice (Defra Non-Statutory Technical Standards for Sustainable Drainage), with the following requirements for developments on greenfield and previously developed sites

TRANSPORT PLANNING I HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 8HN. Tel 01820 871 777 e: contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

• The peak runoff rate and volume from the development for the 1 in 1-year and the 1 in 100year events must not exceed the peak greenfield runoff rate for the same event. • Flooding must not occur on any part of the site for a 1 in 30-year rainfall event.

• Flooding must not occur during a 1 in 100-year plus climate change rainfall event in any part of: a building (including a basement); or in any utility plant susceptible to water (e.g. pumping station or electricity substation) within the development.

• Rainfall in excess of a 1 in 100-year plus climate change rainfall event must be managed via exceedance routes that minimise the risks to people and property.

On previously developed sites, runoff rates should be restricted to the greenfield rate. Where this can be demonstrated to be unfeasible, the site is required to meet a "betterment" rate, which is considerably lower than the previously developed state..."

2.12 Paragraph 11.2.3. of the SFRA sets out details related to discharge locations:

"The destination of surface water that is not collected for use on site should be prioritised, with water re-use preferred, followed by infiltration, then discharge to surface waters, such as a watercourse or lake.

New connections to existing surface waters or combined sewers are the least preferred options, and should only be considered where other discharge routes are proven to be infeasible. Discharge to a foul sewer is not a viable option, as it is a major contributor to sewer flooding.

The sewerage undertaker should be consulted at an early stage to ensure that sufficient capacity is available in the existing drainage system. Where a connection is to be made to the surface water or combined sewer, the FRA should include confirmation from the sewage undertaker that the connection will not result in an increase in the flood risk off-site."

2.13 Paragraph 11.2.3. of the SFRA sets out details related to water quality:

"Several stages of treatment are required before surface water runoff enters the ground or a surface water body, to ensure the removal of pollutants. The initial 10-15mm of rainfall, or "first flush" of pollutants, is required to be treated where it falls. Developments in Hertfordshire are required to ensure the subsequent levels of treatment are proportionate to the water quality sensitivity of the receiving water body.

The concept of delivering multiple benefits through SuDS is central to the guidance for Hertfordshire. SuDS are encouraged to be incorporated into public spaces, schools and play areas to provide multifunctional spaces, which also manage flood risk. SuDS in Hertfordshire are also recommended to use natural, native planting, to provide habitats for local wildlife."

New Dacorum Local Plan

- 2.14 The New Dacorum Local Plan was published in November 2020, to provide suitable guidance and policy to inform development control decisions within the Dacorum borough. Relevant Policy for the site is as follows:
- 2.15 Policy SP10 Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation

Flood Risk Assessment & SuDS Drainage Strategy | Acorn Lodge, Flamstead, St Albans

Page 4

TRANSPORT PLANNING I HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 BHN. Tel 01920 871 777 e: contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

"4. The policies will ensure that new developments are resilient and contribute to climate change adaptation by:

a. using green infrastructure to reduce flood risk, tackle urban heat island effects and provide solar shading; and

b. adapting to climate change by ensuring development avoids areas of flood risk and reduces the risk of flooding elsewhere, through the use of measures including sustainable urban drainage systems, green roofs and walls, and permeable surfaces."

2.16 Policy DM22 - Sustainable Design and Construction

"4. Adaptation measures

a. Minimise water usage and risk of flooding by including SuDS (including green roofs and permeable surfaces around the curtilage of buildings and in street design), surface water storage and grey water recycling."

2.17 Policy DM34 - Flood Risk and Protection

"Development will be supported where:

1. It is designed to ensure that flood risk is not increased elsewhere and is located in areas at lowest risk of flooding (of all sources);

2. It avoids Flood Zones 2 and 3 unless it is for a compatible use: Where development is proposed in these zones:

a. Flood Risk Assessments (FRA) must accompany planning applications, explaining how the sequential approach (23) (and the Exception Test (24) if required) to development has been undertaken and which the Council is satisfied demonstrates that the site is appropriate for development and its intended use, taking into account wider sustainable development objectives;

b. a sequential approach to site layout must be satisfied, directing the most vulnerable uses to the areas on the site at lowest risk from of flooding from all sources;

c. opportunities to reduce the cause and impact of flooding, such as using green infrastructure for flood storage, must be incorporated; and

d. proposals must not cause harm to existing or proposed flood defences;

3. For development in Flood Zone 1, a FRA or statement is submitted following the criteria in this policy and the NPPF which sets out appropriate flood risk management measures;

4. It incorporates flood resistant and resilient measures, and is shown to be safe for the lifetime of the development, taking into account climate change implications;

5. If necessary, it demonstrates that safe access and escape routes will be included as part of an emergency plan;

TRANSPORT PLANNING I HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 8HN. Tel 01920 871 777 e: contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

6. Measures for the control and reduction of surface water run-off to pre-development rates or better through SuDS are integrated into the design and layout of the development and with existing green infrastructure wherever possible; and

7. For any major development (26) SuDS are accompanied by a management and maintenance plan detailing how they will be maintained throughout the lifetime of the development."

Flood Risk Assessment & SuDS Drainage Strategy | Acorn Lodge, Flamstead, St Albans

Page 6

TRANSPORT PLANNING I HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 BHN. Tel 01820 871 777 e: contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

3 Existing Site Assessment

Site Description

- 3.1 The site consists of storage facilities include several small outbuilds and shipping container units. The full address of the site is Acorn Lodge, London Road, Flamstead St Albans AL3 8HB. A location plan is included at **Appendix A**.
- 3.2 The nearest town centres to the site are Harpenden (located c. 5km to the east), Luton (c. 6.5km to the north) and Hemel Hempstead (c. 8.5km to the south). St Albans is located 9.8km to the south-east. The site is bound to the north by London Road, to the east by a hotel, to the west by a transport depot and to the south by undeveloped land. To the immediate north-east is a single storey residential property associated with the wider Acorn Lodge site.
- 3.3 The proposed development is for the provision of 4no. semi-detached residential properties with associated car parking areas and landscaping. The proposed development plans are included in **Appendix B**.

Local Watercourses

- 3.4 The River Ver, an EA Main River is located circa. 126m north east and 57m north of the site. The watercourse enters a 24m long culvert (EA Asset ID:454920) under London Road 126m north-east of the site.
- 3.5 There is a ditch located parallel to London Road that runs along the length of the site fronting London Road however ceases at the site access. The topographical survey did not identify any outlets or headwalls within the ditch as much of this area was not able to be surveyed due to overgrown vegetation.
- 3.6 Highways runoff appears to be directed to this ditch, evident by the presence of a beany block kerb. Assessing levels on the topographic survey, the ditch falls to the south east. The ditch is open for circa 240m along London Road and is assumed to enter a culvert at the access to the hotel east of the site. It is anticipated flows ultimately discharge into the River Ver downstream.

Site Levels

3.7 The topographical survey is included in **Appendix D**. Levels in the southern half of the site are around 110mAOD, falling to a low of 108.9mAOD at the site access along the northern boundary of the site.

Geology

3.8 The online British Geological Survey resource (www.bgs.ac.uk) shows the site in a bedrock geology of Holywell Nodular Chalk Formation and New Pit Chalk Formation – Chalk with superficial deposits of Alluvium - clay, silt, sand and gravel.

Flood Risk Assessment & SuDS Drainage Strategy | Acorn Lodge, Flamstead, St Albans

Page 7

TRANSPORT PLANNING I HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 8HN. Tel 01920 871 777 e: contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

- 3.9 No borehole records within the close vicinity of the site are available and as such it is not possible to comment on the depths of the superficial deposits.
- 3.10 Infiltration tests have been undertaken at the site to determine the viability of the use of soakaways to dispose of surface water runoff from the proposed development. Testing to BRE 365 Standard was undertaken by SubSurface Consultants on 11th Aug 2023. The results are contained in **Appendix E** and show an infiltration rate of 3.20E-05 m/sec at a depth of 2.5m below ground level and an infiltration rate of 7.77E-05 m/sec at a depth of 0.7m below ground level. These rates prove that infiltration to ground is viable.
- 3.11 An Interpretative Environmental Desk Study was undertaken by G&J Geoenvironmental Consultant Limited in September 2022 which identified some potential for contamination on site. It is anticipated that further testing shall be undertaken post-planning to inform detailed design.

Public Sewer Mapping

3.12 Thames Water sewer mapping was obtained and is enclosed in **Appendix F.** There are no adopted surface or foul sewers within the vicinity of the site. A small section of foul sewer is shown to discharge into a private rising main circa 175m east of the site. The rising main then flows south east across the field circa 150m south east of the site.

Existing Drainage

- 3.13 Observations within the Interpretative Desk Study confirm roof runoff from the larger storage shed is directed to intermediate bulk containers where rainwater is then reused within the site. Runoff from the outbuildings and sheds is understood to simply shed to ground. The topographical survey notes the site has a surface of gravel with areas of tarmac. In the areas of hardstanding, it is assumed runoff simply sheds to the surrounding grassed areas and infiltrate to ground. Likewise, rainfall falling on the area of gravel is also assumed to simply infiltrate to ground.
- 3.14 It is noted that the existing Acorn Lodge house and a storage building to the east of it, both of which are outside the red-line application, benefit from a positive drainage outfall to the ditch along the northern boundary of the site.

Flood Risk Assessment & SuDS Drainage Strategy | Acorn Lodge, Flamstead, St Albans

TRANSPORT PLANNING I HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 8HN. Tel 01820 871 777 e: contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

Fluvial

- 4.1 A copy of the Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning is enclosed in Appendix C. The site layout has been overlaid with the Flood Map for Planning and is also enclosed in Appendix C. All properties are located in Flood Zone 1, the site access is located in Flood Zones 2 and 3.
- 4.2 A Flood Data Request was submitted to the Environment Agency to further assess the fluvial flood risk at the site. Modelled flood extents, flows and levels were provided from the Ver Study undertaken by JBA in 2019. The received EA Product 4 data is also enclosed in **Appendix C.**
- 4.3 The location of the proposed properties remains outside all modelled flood extents, including the 1000yr extent. The site access remains outside the modelled flood extents up to the 20yr event. To clarify, the proposed residential dwellings have been sequentially located outside Flood Zones 2 and 3 and are entirely in Flood Zone 1.

2D Fluvial Flood Levels

- 4.4 2D levels are considered to be more accurate and representative of the flood level across the floodplain. 1D flood levels however can overestimate a flood level on the floodplain as these are in channel levels. As 2D levels have been provided, these have been used for the purpose of this assessment.
- 4.5 The site is located in the 'Upper Lee Management Catchment' which has a 2080s central river flow climate change allowance of 10%. This climate change allowance has not been modelled however for the purpose of this assessment the 100yr+25% climate change results will be utilised as this is the most complete available data set.
- 4.6 The 100yr+25% climate change 2D modelled flood levels within the site is 108.88mAOD. When compared with the lowest level in the location of the site access of 108.78mAOD, a maximum fluvial flood depth of 100mm would occur.
- 4.7 The 1000yr 2D flood level is 108.93mAOD, resulting in a flood depth of 150mm.
- 4.8 No velocity data is given for the 2D fluvial flood scenarios, however based on the flood depth of 100mm and velocity of 0.75m/s (which we might anticipate in this scenario), a very low hazard rating would apply. If velocities above this (up to 1.5m/s) the flood hazard rating raises to a maximum of 1.1 'danger for some'.
- 4.9 Based on this maximum flood hazard rating, emergency vehicles could access the site. In addition to the west of the site, London Road is shown to be within flood Zone 1 and as such, emergency vehicles could also reach the site from this direction unimpeded.
- 4.10 In addition a separate pedestrian emergency access will be available to the west of the site as discussed in detail in Section 5.

Flood Risk Assessment & SuDS Drainage Strategy | Acorn Lodge, Flamstead, St Albans

Page 9

TRANSPORT PLANNING I HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 8HN. Tel 01920 871 777 e: contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

4.11 Mitigation measures are discussed in Section 5 which shall also take into consideration other sources of flooding.

Historic Flood Outlines

4.12 The site is not located in any recorded historic flood outlines.

Surface Water

- 4.13 Surface water flooding refers to flooding caused when the intensity of rainfall, particularly in urban areas, can create runoff which temporarily overwhelms the capacity of the local drainage systems or does not infiltrate into the ground. The water ponds on the ground and flows towards low-lying land. This source of flood risk is also known as 'pluvial'.
- 4.14 The EA's surface water flood map is included in **Appendix H** (Source: <u>https://flood-warning-information.service.gov.uk/long-term-flood-risk/map</u>). The surface water flooding as shown is likely attributable to the presence of the culvert under London Road. Once capacity of the culvert has been exceeded, flows back up and flow along London Road in a south easterly direction, parallel to the course of the Ver on the northern side of the carriageway.
- 4.15 A high-risk scenario indicates a greater than 1 in 30 probability of surface water flooding each year, i.e., the most frequently occurring scenario. In a high-risk scenario, surface water flooding remains in the northern half of the site. Depths are shown to be below 300mm with small isolated parcels showing depths of up to 900mm. Depths within London Road at the site access are shown to be below 300mm.
- 4.16 A medium risk scenario indicates a probability of surface water flooding between 1 in 30 and 1 in 100 each year. In a medium risk scenario, flooding remains limited to the northern half of the site with depths between 300mm-900mm.
- 4.17 A low risk scenario indicates a probability of surface water flooding between 1 in 100 and 1 in 1000 each year (i.e., the least frequent but worst-case scenario). In a low risk scenario, flood depths are shown to exceed 900mm however remain limited to the north of the site.
- 4.18 Surface water flood depths for the 1000yr modelled event were downloaded from the DEFRA Data Services Platform to more accurately assess the flood depths within the site access. The data was processed in QGIS and the surface water mapping can also be viewed in **Appendix H.**
- 4.19 In this modelled scenario, depths are modelled to exceed 1.2m within the access and within the carriageway of London Road. Depths then fall to a low of 0-150mm within the site.
- 4.20 All dwellings have been sequentially located outside the area identified to be at risk of surface water flooding.
- 4.21 Surface water velocity data for the 1000yr modelled event were downloaded from the DEFRA Data Services Platform and processed in QGIS, as mapped in **Appendix G**. Velocities of up to 0.5m/s are identified within the site access road and based on a flood depth up to 1.2m and Table 13.1 of FD2320/TR2, a flood hazard rating of up to 2.2 could be observed which would result in a 'danger to all'. Under such extreme conditions safe access would not be

Flood Risk Assessment & SuDS Drainage Strategy | Acorn Lodge, Flamstead, St Albans

Page 10

TRANSPORT PLANNING I HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 8HN. Tel 01820 871 777 e: contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

available via the access road, however residents could remain safe within their homes or use an emergency access for pedestrians which is proposed to the west of the site and is detailed along with other mitigation measures in Section 5.

Reservoir

- 4.22 The EA long term risk maps also display the risk from reservoirs. The northern half of the site with the reservoir flood extent even the river levels are normal. The remainder of the site is outside of the reservoir flood extent.
- 4.23 Reservoirs are maintained to a high standard and therefore the likelihood of flooding occurring from a reservoir is considered to be extremely low. It should be noted that the proposed residential dwellings have been sequentially located outside the area identified to be at risk of reservoir flooding as shown in **Appendix I**.

Groundwater

- 4.24 The SFRA notes that due to the chalk geology of the Borough, groundwater levels have been recorded to be very shallow in some locations. However, site investigations undertaken at the site concluded that groundwater was not encountered within trial pits that were dug 2.5m below ground level. It is possible that in lower lying areas, ground water may be closer to ground level, though in the location of proposed residential dwellings, groundwater is unlikely to pose a flood risk.
- 4.25 The MAGIC Map website (<u>https://magic.defra.gov.uk/MagicMap.aspx</u>) shows that the site is within a Zone I Inner Protection Zone.
- 4.26 The site is located above a Principal Aquifer. A Principal Aquifer is defined as:

"layers of rock or drift deposits that have high intergranular and/or fracture permeability, meaning they usually provide a high level of water storage and transmission. They may support water supply and/or river base flow on a strategic scale".

4.27 The Groundwater Vulnerability Map on the MAGIC Map website shows the site to be in an area with 'Medium to High' groundwater vulnerability." Areas at high risk of groundwater vulnerability are defined as:

"areas that can easily transmit pollution to groundwater. They are characterised by highleaching soils and the absence of low-permeability superficial deposits."

4.28 Based on the above the site is vulnerable to groundwater contamination and pollution pathways must not be introduced as a result of the proposals. As discussed in paragraph 3.8 to 3.16, no option for surface water disposal is deemed viable other than infiltration and as such further site investigations will be required at detailed design stage to assess contamination and confirm any required remediation – this can be Conditioned.

Flood Risk Assessment & SuDS Drainage Strategy | Acorn Lodge, Flamstead, St Albans

Page 11

TRANSPORT PLANNING I HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 8HN. Tel 01820 871 777 e: contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

Sewer

4.29 Figure 1-4 of the SFRA notes the site is located in a post-code area with 6-10 recorded incidents of sewer flooding. As no adopted sewers are mapped within the vicinity of the site the risk of sewer flooding is considered to be low.

Flood Risk Assessment & SuDS Drainage Strategy | Acorn Lodge, Flamstead, St Albans

Page 12

TRANSPORT PLANNING E HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 8HN. Tel 01820 871 777 e: contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

5 Mitigation Measures

- 5.1 Paragraph 004 states: "within sites, using site layout to locate the most vulnerable aspects of development in areas of lowest flood risk unless there are overriding reasons to prefer a different location".
- 5.2 The proposed residential properties are located wholly in Flood Zone 1 and are located outside of the surface water flood and reservoir flood extents, thus have been located in the area of lowest risk within the red line boundary.
- 5.3 Finished Floor Levels will be set at 110.300mAOD. The highest defended flood depth within the site boundary for the 1:100yr + 25% Climate Change is 108.870mAOD, providing a freeboard of 1.43m. Looking at the Surface Water Flood Risk, in a 1:100yr scenario, a maximum flood depth of 0 to 0.15m at the southern-most extremity, where ground levels are around 109.50mAOD would give a flood level of 109.65mAOD and therefore a freeboard of 0.65m.
- 5.4 Paragraph 047 states: "Wherever possible, safe access routes should be provided that are located above design flood levels and which avoid flow paths. Where this is not possible, limited depths of flooding may be acceptable, provided that the proposed access is designed with appropriate signage etc. to make it safe."
- 5.5 In all flood risk scenarios, the proposed residential dwellings are located above the anticipated flood level and are in an area of the site which shall remain 'dry'.
- 5.6 As discussed in Section 4, no velocity data is given for the 2D fluvial flood scenarios, however based on the flood depth of 100mm and velocity of 0.75m/s (which we might anticipate in this scenario), a very low hazard rating would apply. If velocities above this (up to 1.5m/s) the flood hazard rating raises to a maximum of 1.1 'danger for some'. Based on this maximum flood hazard rating emergency vehicles could access the site. In addition to the west of the site, London Road is shown to be within flood Zone 1 and as such, emergency vehicles could also reach the site from this direction unimpeded.
- 5.7 In a surface water flood risk scenario, surface water velocity data for the 1000yr modelled event downloaded from the DEFRA Data Services Platform, identifies velocities of up to 0.5m/s within the site access road. Based on a flood depth up to 1.2m and Table 13.1 of FD2320/TR2, a flood hazard rating of up to 2.2 could be observed which would result in a 'danger to all'. Under these extreme conditions safe access would not be available via the access road, however residents could remain safe within their homes.
- 5.8 In the unlikely event that pedestrians need to exit the site or emergency services have access to the site at a time when the access road is inundated with flood water, emergency pedestrian access will be available to the existing Public Right of Way (PRoW) path no. 21 to the south and also to Chequers Hill Road to the west.
- 5.9 This will be possible via a new private unpaved route, west out of Acorn Lodge, prior to a new Public Right of Way proposed both to the west to Chequers Hill and South to PRoW 21, as illustrated on Figure 5.1 below and shown in more detail on a sketch contained in **Appendix J**.

Flood Risk Assessment & SuDS Drainage Strategy | Acorn Lodge, Flamstead, St Albans

Page 13

TRANSPORT PLANNING I HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 8HN. Tel 01820 871 777 e: contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk



Figure 5.1 – Emergency Pedestrian Access and Proposed PRoW

- 5.10 It can be seen from the Surface water flood maps in **Appendix H** that the proposed two alternative pedestrian routes illustrated above are outside of the area at risk of surface water flooding, other than in the worst case 1000yr surface water flood event, where there is flooding shown where the pedestrian route meets Chequers Hill. However, flooding to the south of this point, along Chequers Hill is designated as being a low risk and doesn't extend to the full road width. As such emergency access should still be possible in this direction.
- 5.11 The details of this alternative access address, are intended to be added to the first responders dispatch system, so that they are aware of the alternative access on any emergency being called in.

Flood Warning and Evacuation

Flood Risk Assessment & SuDS Drainage Strategy | Acorn Lodge, Flamstead, St Albans

Page 14

TRANSPORT PLANNING I HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 8HN. Tel 01920 871 777 e: contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

- 5.12 The site is located within the "River Ver at Markyate including Flamstead, Harpenden" flood warning area. To improve flood awareness and preparedness it is recommended all residents subscribe to the EA flood warning service by using the link: https://www.fws.environment-agency.gov.uk/app/olr/home.
- 5.13 Alternatively, they can call the EA Floodline on 0345 988 1188. The EA will then send out automated warnings to a selected phone number should there be high water levels anticipated on the River Ver.
- 5.14 Whilst residents would remain safe and dry within their properties, should they choose to evacuate this should take place upon receipt of a flood warning prior to the onset of flooding and whilst access and egress is dry/less than 100mm of flood depth. Residents should head north out of the site and then head west along London Road towards Markyate.
- 5.15 It is recommended a Flood Warning and Evacuation Plan is issued to all residents, including the provision of a flood warning notice at the site entrance. An example flood warning notice can be viewed in Figure 5.2 below.

TRANSPORT PLANNING I HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 8HN. Tel 01820 871 777 e: contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk



Figure 5.2 Example Flood Warning Notice

Flood Risk Assessment & SuDS Drainage Strategy | Acorn Lodge, Flamstead, St Albans

Page 16

TRANSPORT PLANNING I HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 8HN. Tel 01820 871 777 e: contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

6 Proposed Drainage Strategy

Relevant SuDS Policy

- 6.1 SuDS mimic the natural drainage system and provide a method of surface water drainage which can decrease the quantity of water discharged, and hence reduce the risk of flooding. In addition to reducing flood risk, these features can improve water quality and provide biodiversity and amenity benefits.
- 6.2 The SuDS management train incorporates a hierarchy of techniques and considers all three SuDS criteria of flood reduction, pollution reduction, and landscape and wildlife benefits. In decreasing order of preference, the preferred means of disposal of surface water runoff is:
 - Discharge to ground.
 - Discharge to a surface water body.
 - Discharge to a surface water sewer.
 - Discharge to a combined sewer.
- 6.3 The philosophy of SuDS is to replicate as closely as possible the natural drainage from a site predevelopment and to treat runoff to remove pollutants, resulting in a reduced impact on the receiving watercourses. The benefits of this approach are as follows:
 - Reducing runoff rates, thus reducing the flood risk downstream;
 - Reducing pollutant concentrations, thus protecting the quality of the receiving water body;
 - Groundwater recharge;
 - Contributing to the enhanced amenity and aesthetic value of development areas; and
 - Providing habitats for wildlife in developed areas, and opportunity for biodiversity enhancement.

Site Specific SuDS

6.4 The various SuDS methods have been considered in relation to site-specific constraints. Table 6.1 outlines the constraints and opportunities to each of the SUDS devices in accordance with the hierarchical approach outlined in The SUDS Manual CIRIA C753. It also indicates what could and could not be incorporated within the development, based upon site-specific criteria.

TRANSPORT PLANNING I HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 8HN. Tel 01820 871 777 e: contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

Device	Description	Constraints / Comments	Appropriate
Living roofs (source control)	Provide soft landscaping at roof level which reduces surface water runoff.	Not suitable for the pitch of roof	No
Infiltration devices & Soakaways (source control)	Store runoff and allow water to percolate into the ground via natural infiltration.	An infiltration strategy is proposed.	Yes
Pervious surfaces (source control)	Storm water is allowed to infiltrate through the surface into a storage layer, from which it can either infiltrate and/or slowly release to sewers.	Permeable paving is proposed.	Yes
Rainwater harvesting (source control)	Reduces the annual average rate of runoff from the Site by reusing water for non-potable uses e.g., toilet flushing, recycling processes.	Rainwater harvesting may be proposed at a later date.	Maybe
Swales (permeable conveyance)	Broad shallow channels that convey / store runoff, and allow infiltration (ground conditions permitting).	No suitable location within the site	No
Filter drains & perforated pipes (permeable conveyance)	Trenches filled with granular materials (which are designed to take flows from adjacent impermeable areas) that convey runoff while allowing infiltration.	Not required	No
Infiltration basins (end of pipe treatment)	Depressions in the surface designed to store runoff and allow infiltration.	No suitable location within the site.	No
Wet ponds & constructed wetlands (end of pipe treatment)	Provide water quality treatment & temporary storage above the permanent water level.	No suitable location within the site.	No
Attenuation Underground (end of pipe treatment	Oversized pipes or geo-cellular tanks designed to store water below ground level.	Not required.	No
Raingardens	Rain gardens are relatively small depressions in the ground that can act as infiltration points for roof water and other 'clean' surface water.	Raingardens have been proposed	Yes

Table 6.1: Site-Specific Sustainable Drainage Techniques

Consideration of SuDS Hierarchy

6.5 Infiltration tests were undertaken at the site to determine the viability of the use of soakaways to dispose of surface water runoff from the proposed development. Testing to BRE 365 Standard was undertaken by SubSurface Consultants on 11th Aug 2023. The results are contained in **Appendix E** and show an infiltration rate of 3.20E-05 m/sec at a depth of 2.5m below ground level and an infiltration rate of 7.77E-05 m/sec at a depth of 0.7m below ground level. These rates prove that infiltration to ground is viable and an infiltration strategy is therefore proposed.

Flood Risk Assessment & SuDS Drainage Strategy | Acorn Lodge, Flamstead, St Albans

Page 18

TRANSPORT PLANNING I HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 8HN. Tel 01820 871 777 e: contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

6.6 It is noted that the existing Acorn Lodge house and a storage building to the east of it, both of which are outside the red-line application, benefit from a positive drainage outfall to the ditch along the northern boundary of the site. It is understood that the ditch is currently defined as a highway ditch/drain, however the presence of the outfall would indicate it may be defined as an ordinary watercourse. It is unknown if Hertfordshire County Council would grant permission for a new outfall to the ditch; as such this could be explored further at a detailed design stage should an alternative be required to the infiltration strategy.

Surface Water Drainage Design Parameters

- 6.7 Climate Change Allowance The 2070s 'Upper End' Climate Change allowance is 40% and shall be applied to the hydraulic drainage network design. This is based on Colne Management Catchment peak rainfall allowances.
- 6.8 Storm Events The Hydraulic Model shall be run for a 1:2yr Storm Event, 1:30yr Storm Event, 1:30yr + 40% Climate Change Event, 1:100yr Storm Event and 1:100yr + 40% Climate Change Storm Event. For Storm durations of less than 60mins, the hydraulic model shall be run using FSR Rainfall Data.
- 6.9 Rainfall Data FEH2022 Rainfall Data has been used in this assessment.
- 6.10 CV (Coefficient of volumetric run-off) The CV Value for Winter and Summer Storms has been set to 1.0 to represent 100% of runoff from impermeable areas entering the proposed drainage system. A robust approach.
- 6.11 Time of Entry a standard 5min time of entry is used.
- 6.12 Pre-and Post Runoff Rates Pre-development runoff rates shall be calculated for information only. NB: post development shall outfall to ground as such zero outfall rate from the site.
- 6.13 Pre and Post Discharge Volumes and Long Term Storage as infiltration is proposed, this is not applicable.
- 6.14 Half-Drain Time In line with Hertfordshire's LLFA Guidelines, unlined storage devices should drain within 24hrs (1440mins), lined devices (tanks and lined ponds, lined permeable paving) to half-drain within 48hrs (2880mins). If this is not achieved, the storage device shall be sized to accommodate a further 1:30yr + 40% Climate Change Storm Event.
- 6.15 Factor of Safety CIRIA SuDS Manual Table 25.2 sets out recommended Factor of Safety for use of infiltration systems. In this case a drained area of less than 1000m2
- 6.16 Exceedance Routes Exceedance routes shall be demonstrated.

Pre-development Runoff Rate

6.17 The site is a brownfield site currently consisting of areas of hardstanding and roof area. The current total impermeable area of the site has been calculated to be 411m2. Using the Modified Rational Method detailed in Butler, D and Davies, J. (2006), Urban Drainage, 2nd ed., SPON, the surface water runoff for the existing site has been calculated as follows: -

Flood Risk Assessment & SuDS Drainage Strategy | Acorn Lodge, Flamstead, St Albans

Page 19

TRANSPORT PLANNING I HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 8HN. Tel 01820 871 777 e: contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

Q = CiA where Q = maximum flow rate (l/s) C= PIMP/PR i= rainfall intensity (mm/hr), A=area (ha)

- 6.18 It should be noted that a fixed rainfall intensity of 50mm/hr is used in this case, which has been recommended by Butler & Davies (2006) to avoid using inappropriately high intensities for very low concentration times, i.e., small sites.
- 6.19 Using the Modified Rationale Method (Butler and Davies, 2006) the total existing run off rate is estimated to be 5.67l/s. This runoff rate does not include areas of landscaping flowing off site at the greenfield runoff.

Proposed SuDS Strategy

- 6.20 As outlined in Table 5.1 above, a number of SuDS Features shall be utilised to form the Surface Water Drainage Strategy in order to meet the 4 Pillars of SuDS.
 - Water Quantity Permeable Paving with infiltration and Geocellular Soakaways.
 - Water Quality Permeable Paving with infiltration.
 - Biodiversity Raingarden Planters.
 - Amenity Raingarden Planters.
- 6.21 The proposed SuDS Layout is included in **Appendix K** and Causeway Flow Hydraulic Model Outputs are contained in **Appendix L**.
- 6.22 The proposed drainage strategy comprises of permeable paving in parking and road areas where levels allow and outside FZ3. For hardstanding areas where gradients preclude the use of permeable paving for infiltration purposes and within areas of FZ3, permeable paving shall be used for water quality and conveyance purposes only. Waters shall be collected at the low-point in a diffuser unit which shall direct waters to a geocellular soakaway device (also located outside FZ3).
- 6.23 Surface water runoff from roof areas shall drain to a private geocellular soakaway device in each back garden.
- 6.24 The total proposed impermeable area is 960m² or 980m² with Urban Creep Allowance.
- 6.25 The proposed dwellings shall have a finished floor level of 110.300mAOD. Permeable Paving (PP1) shall therefore have a cover level of 110.150mAOD. This covers an area of 338m². Causeway Flow has been used to calculate the subbase thickness requirement for this area using the infiltration rate of 7.77E-05 m/sec. In accordance with CIRIA SuDS Manual Table 25.2 a Safety Factor of 3 has been applied for areas over 100m² and up to 1000m². The results om **Appendix L** show a maximum depth of water of 0.072m (72mm) in a 1:100yr + 40% Climate Change Storm Event. As such a minimum Type 3 subbase thickness of 450mm

Flood Risk Assessment & SuDS Drainage Strategy | Acorn Lodge, Flamstead, St Albans

Page 20

TRANSPORT PLANNING I HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 8HN. Tel 01820 871 777 e: contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

with 30% voids (also anticipated to be suitable for structural purposes when used with a geogrid) is required. Half Drain Time of 16mins is achieved. No flooding occurs in all events.

- 6.26 For the hardstanding area which drains to a soakaway, this covers an area of 222m². Causeway Flow has been used to calculate the required geocellular storage device size for this area using the infiltration rate of 3.20E-05 m/sec. In accordance with CIRIA SuDS Manual Table 25.2 a Safety Factor of 3 has been applied for areas over 100m² and up to 1000m². The results om **Appendix L** show a geocellular storage device sized at 1.67m deep, 4.8m long and 2.4m wide is required. A void-ratio of 95% has been applied. Half Drain Time of 264mins is achieved. No flooding occurs in all events.
- 6.27 For the private roof area: Each property has a roof area of 100m2, with 10% urban creep this equates to 110m² per dwelling. A Raingarden Planter shall be provided at rainwater downpipes prior to outfall to a geocellular storage device. Causeway Flow has been used to calculate the required geocellular storage device size for this area using the infiltration rate of 3.20E-05 m/sec. In accordance with CIRIA SuDS Manual Table 25.2 a Safety Factor of 3 has been applied for areas over 100m² and up to 1000m². The results contained in **Appendix L** show a geocellular storage device sized at 1.67m deep, 3.2m long and 2.4m wide is required. A void-ratio of 95% has been applied. Half Drain Time of 634mins is achieved. No flooding occurs in all events. Each property will have its own soakaway located in the rear garden at least 5m from the building line.

Exceedance Event

6.28 The proposed surface water drainage strategy is designed to accommodate a 1:100yr + 40% Climate Change Storm Event. In the unlikely event that an exceedance event occurs, any flood waters would flow in an easterly direction along London Road towards the River Ver. An Exceedance Route Plan is included in **Appendix M**.

Water Quality

6.29 The proposed drainage strategies are to meet the water quality requirements set out by Table 26.2 of the CIRIA SuDS Manual C753 which sets out the specific pollution hazard indices for residential roofs and low traffic roads in Table 6.2 below.

Land Use	Hazard Level	Pollution Hazard Indices		
		Suspended Solids	Metals	Hydrocarbons
Residential roofs	Very low	0.2	0.2	0.05
Individual property driveways and low traffic roads	Low	0.5	0.4	0.4

Table 6.2 Land Use Pollution Hazard Ratings. Extracted from the CIRIA SuDS Manual C753 Simple Index Approach Tool

6.30 Surface water runoff from all hardstanding areas shall infiltrate to ground via the base and sides of permeable paving or to soakaway. The permeable paving construction itself offers pollution mitigation as set out below in Table 6.3 and demonstrates that Water Quality is met by its use for surface water runoff in these locations.

Flood Risk Assessment & SuDS Drainage Strategy | Acorn Lodge, Flamstead, St Albans

Page 21

TRANSPORT PLANNING I HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 8HN. Tel 01820 871 777 e: contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

SuDS Component	Pollution Mitigation Indices			
	Suspended Solids	Metals	Hydrocarbons	
Permeable Paving Total Pollution Mitigation Provided	0.7	0.6	0.7	

Table 6.3 SuDS Component Pollution Mitigation for Permeable Paving Extracted and adapted from the CIRIA SuDS Manual C753 Simple Index Approach Tool

6.31 Surface water runoff from roof areas shall infiltrate to ground via soakaway. Raingarden Planters (bioretention system) at rainwater down-pipe locations shall cleanse waters prior to outfall to ground. Pollution mitigation as set out below in Table 6.4 for raingarden planters demonstrates that Water Quality is met by its use for surface water runoff of residential roofs.

SuDS Component	Pollution Mitigation Indices			
	Suspended Solids	Metals	Hydrocarbons	
Raingarden Planter Total Pollution Mitigation Provided	0.8	0.8	0.8	

Table 6.4 SuDS Component Pollution Mitigation for Raingarden Planters Extracted and adapted from the CIRIA SuDS Manual C753 Simple Index Approach Tool

Flood Risk Assessment & SuDS Drainage Strategy | Acorn Lodge, Flamstead, St Albans

TRANSPORT PLANNING E HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 8HN. Tel 01820 871 777 e: contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

7 Maintenance of the Proposed Drainage System

- 7.1 The maintenance of communal SuDS features such as the permeable paving and soakaway for the conveyance permeable paving will remain the responsibility an appointed management/maintenance company. The appointed management company will be responsible for maintaining the outfalls and the permeable paving. It is anticipated that a restricted covenant will be put in place to prevent any owners of parking bays from changing the construction to non-permeable.
- 7.2 Regular inspections and maintenance should be carried out for each of these elements, particularly after periods of heavy rainfall. Maintenance tasks and frequencies for permeable paving, raingardens and geocellular soakaway devices are detailed in the CIRIA SUDS Manual (C753) and summarised below in Table 7.1.

Maintenance Schedule	Required Action	Frequency
Regular maintenance	Brushing and vacuuming.	Three times per year at end of winter, mid-summer, after autumn leaf fall, or as required based on site specific observations of clogging or manufacturer's recommendations.
Occasional maintenance	Stabilise and mow contributing and adjacent areas.	As required.
	Removal of weeds.	As required.
Remedial actions	Remediate any landscaping which, through vegetation maintenance of soil slip, has been raised to within 50mm of the level of the paving. Remedial work to any depressions, rutting and cracked or broken blocks considered detrimental to the structural performance of a hazard to the user. Rehabilitation of surface and upper sub- surface.	As required As required As required (if infiltration performance is reduced as a result of significant clogging.)
Monitoring	Initial inspection Inspect for evidence of poor operation and/or weed growth. If required, take remedial action. Inspect silt accumulation rates and establish appropriate brushing frequencies. Monitor inspection chambers.	Monthly for 3 months after installation. 3 monthly, 48 hours after large storms. Annually.

 Table 7.1: Maintenance tasks for permeable paving (Source: CIRIA C753, The SUDS Manual)

Flood Risk Assessment & SuDS Drainage Strategy | Acorn Lodge, Flamstead, St Albans

Page 23

TRANSPORT PLANNING 📕 HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE 📕 FLOOD RISK

1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 8HN. Tel. 01920 871 777 e: contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

- 7.3 Property owners shall be responsible for the maintenance of private manholes and inspection chambers, Raingarden Planters and Private Geocellular Soakaway Devices.
- 7.4 Regular inspections and maintenance should be carried out for each of these elements, particularly after periods of heavy rainfall. Maintenance tasks and frequencies for raingarden planters and geocellular soakaways is detailed in the CIRIA SUDS Manual (C753) and is summarised below in Table 7.2 and 7.3 below.

	Inspect infiltration surfaces for silting and ponding, record de-watering time of the facility and assess standing water levels in underdrain (if appropriate) to determine if maintenance is necessary	Quarterly
	Check operation of underdrains by inspection of flows after rain	Annually
Regular Inspections	Assess plants for disease infection, poor growth, invasive species etc and replace as necessary	Quarterly
	Inspect inlets and outlets for blockage	Quarterly
Regular	Remove litter and surface debris and weeds	Quarterly
Maintenance	Replace any plants, to maintain planting density	As required
	Remove sediment, littler and debris build up from around inlets or from forebays	Quarterly to biannually
Occasional	Infill and holes or scour in the filter medium, improve erosion protection if required	As required
Maintenance	Repair minor accumulations of silt by raking away surface mulch, scarifying surface of medium and replacing mulch	As required
Remedial actions	Remove and replace filter medium and vegetation above	As required but likely to be >20 years

Table 7.2: Maintenance tasks for raingarden planters/bioretention systems (Source: CIRIA C753, The SUDS Manual)

Flood Risk Assessment & SuDS Drainage Strategy | Acorn Lodge, Flamstead, St Albans

Page 24

TRANSPORT PLANNING I HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 8HN. Tel 01820 871 777 e: contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

Maintenance Schedule	Required Action	Frequency
Regular maintenance	Inspect for sediment and debris in pre-treatment components and floor of inspection tube or chamber and inside concrete manhole rings	Annually
	Cleaning of gutters and any filters on downpipes	Annually (or as required based on inspections)
	Trimming any roots that may be causing blockages	Annually (or as required)
Occasional maintenance	Remove sediment and debris from pre-treatment components and floor of inspection tube or chamber and inside concrete manhole rings	As required based on inspections
Remedial actions	Reconstruct soakaway and/or replace or clean void fill, if performance deteriorates or failure occurs	As required
	Replacement of clogged geotextile (will require reconstruction of geotextile	As required
Monitoring	Inspect silt traps and note rate of sediment accumulation	Monthly in the first year and then annually
	Check soakaway to ensure emptying is occurring	Annually

 Table 7.3: Maintenance tasks for soakaway devices (Source: CIRIA C753, The SUDS Manual)

- 7.5 Manhole covers on the pipes should be lifted each year to remove visible debris and check for blockages it is suggested that this is undertaken every November after the heaviest leaf-fall has occurred. The orifice plate filter should be regularly inspected (every 4 months) and cleared of silt and debris if necessary.
- 7.6 Should a blockage occur at any time, it is advised to seek professional help to jet the drainage system to clean and clear the system.
- 7.7 It is good practice to ensure that gutters and downpipes are occasionally inspected to ensure they are in good order and free of leaves & debris. Once every 6 months should be sufficient.

TRANSPORT PLANNING E HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 8HN. Tel 01820 871 777 e: contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

8 Conclusion

8.1 EAS has been commissioned to provide a Flood Risk Assessment and SuDS report in order to inform a planning application for a proposed redevelopment of part of the site at Acorn Lodge, London Road, Flamstead, St Albans AL3 8HB. It is proposed to redevelop the site into four residential dwellings.

Flood Risk Summary

- 8.2 The proposed residential properties are located wholly in Flood Zone 1 and are outside of the surface water flood and reservoir flood extents. Thus, have been sequentially located in the area of lowest risk within the red line boundary.
- 8.3 Finished Floor Levels will be set at 110.300mAOD. The highest defended flood depth within the site boundary for the 1:100yr + 25% Climate Change is 108.870mAOD, providing a freeboard of 1.43m. Looking at the Surface Water Flood Risk, in a 1:100yr scenario, a maximum flood depth of 0 to 0.15m at the southern-most extremity, where ground levels are around 109.50mAOD would give a flood level of 109.65mAOD and therefore a freeboard of 0.65m.
- 8.4 In all flood risk scenarios, the proposed residential dwellings are located above the anticipated flood level and are in an area of the site which shall remain 'dry'.
- 8.5 As discussed in Section 4, no velocity data is given for the 2D fluvial flood scenarios, however based on the flood depth of 100mm and velocity of 0.75m/s (which we might anticipate in this scenario), a very low hazard rating would apply. If velocities above this (up to 1.5m/s) the flood hazard rating raises to a maximum of 1.1 'danger for some'. Based on this maximum flood hazard rating emergency vehicles could access the site. In addition to the west of the site, London Road is shown to be within flood Zone 1 and as such, emergency vehicles could also reach the site from this direction unimpeded.
- 8.6 In a surface water flood risk scenario, surface water velocity data for the 1000yr modelled event downloaded from the DEFRA Data Services Platform, identifies velocities of up to 0.5m/s within the site access road. Based on a flood depth up to 1.2m and Table 13.1 of FD2320/TR2, a flood hazard rating of up to 2.2 could be observed which would result in a 'danger to all'. Under these extreme conditions safe access would not be available via the access road, however residents could remain safe within their homes or use the emergency pedestrian access to the west.
- 8.7 In the unlikely event that pedestrians need to exit the site or emergency services have to access the site at a time when the access road is inundated with flood water, emergency pedestrian access will be available to the existing Public Right of Way (PRoW) path no. 21 to the south and also to Chequers Hill Road to the west. This will be possible via a new private unpaved route, west out of Acorn Lodge, joining a new Public Right of Way proposed both to the west to Chequers Hill and to the south to PRoW 21, as illustrated on Figure 5.1 in Section 5, and shown in more detail on a sketch contained in **Appendix J**.

Flood Risk Assessment & SuDS Drainage Strategy | Acorn Lodge, Flamstead, St Albans

Page 26

TRANSPORT PLANNING I HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 8HN. Tel 01820 871 777 e: contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

- 8.8 It can be seen from the Surface water flood maps in **Appendix H** that the two alternative pedestrian routes (either to Chequers Hill to the west of the site, or to the south via PRoW no.21) are outside of the area at risk of surface water flooding, other than in the worst case 1000yr surface water flood event, where there is flooding shown where the pedestrian route meets Chequers Hill. However, flooding to the south of this point, along Chequers Hill is designated as being a low risk and doesn't extend to the full road width. As such emergency access should still be possible in this direction.
- 8.9 The details of this alternative access address, are intended to be added to the first responders dispatch system, so that they are aware of the alternative access on any emergency being called in.
- 8.10 It is recommended that signage is placed at the site entrance to ensure that residents do not attempt to cross flood waters that are in excess of 100mm deep. The signage shall advise to remain within their properties until flood waters have receded and to call emergency services only in case of emergency/risk to life.
- 8.11 It is recommended a Flood Warning and Evacuation Plan is issued to all residents, including the provision of a flood warning notice at the site entrance.

SuDS Summary

- 8.12 Disposal of surface water runoff from the site has been given consideration against the site constraints, implementation constraints and the SuDS Hierarchy.
- 8.13 Infiltration tests were undertaken at the site to determine the viability of the use of soakaways to dispose of surface water runoff from the proposed development. Testing to BRE 365 Standard was undertaken by SubSurface Consultants on 11th Aug 2023. The results are contained in Appendix E and show an infiltration rate of 3.20E-05 m/sec at a depth of 2.5m below ground level and an infiltration rate of 7.77E-05 m/sec at a depth of 0.7m below ground level. These rates prove that infiltration to ground is viable and an infiltration strategy is therefore proposed.
- 8.14 It is noted that the existing Acorn Lodge house and a storage building to the east of it, both of which are outside the red-line application, benefit from a positive drainage outfall to the ditch along the northern boundary of the site. It is understood that the ditch is currently defined as a highway ditch/drain, however the presence of the outfall would indicate it may be defined as an ordinary watercourse. It is unknown if Hertfordshire County Council would grant permission for a new outfall to the ditch; as such this could be explored further at a detailed design stage should an alternative be required to the infiltration strategy.
- 8.15 A number of SuDS Features shall be utilised to form the Surface Water Drainage Strategy in order to meet the 4 Pillars of SuDS:
 - Water Quantity Permeable Paving with infiltration and Geocellular Soakaways.
 - Water Quality Permeable Paving with infiltration.
 - Biodiversity Raingarden Planters.

Flood Risk Assessment & SuDS Drainage Strategy | Acorn Lodge, Flamstead, St Albans

Page 27

TRANSPORT PLANNING I HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 8HN. Tel 01820 871 777 e: contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

- Amenity Raingarden Planters.
- 8.16 Permeable Paving is proposed for all hardstanding areas. Where levels are flat, permeable paving shall allow waters to infiltrate to ground. Where gradients are too steep or where paving is within the flood zone, permeable paving shall convey waters to a low-point where runoff shall then be directed to a geocellular soakaway device. Surface water runoff from roof areas shall be directed to individual geocellular soakaway devices.
- 8.17 Hydraulic modelling for all storms up to and including the 1:100yr + 40% Climate Change Event show that no flooding from the proposed surface water drainage system occurs in all events. Hertfordshire County Council's design parameters have been used such as FEH22 rainfall data, CV values of 1.0 and Factor of Safety for infiltration devices.
- 8.18 Maintenance tasks for the permeable paving, raingarden planters and soakaways have been discussed, which have been taken from the CIRIA SuDS Manual (C753). It is also important that the proposed SuDS devices are regularly inspected and any debris is removed to prevent a fluvial or surface water flood risk.

Conclusion

8.19 The proposed development application is considered to be acceptable on flood risk and drainage grounds and the appropriate mitigation measures to manage the identified flood risks have been detailed in line with the PPG.

TRANSPORT PLANNING I HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 8HN. Tel 01820 871 777 e: contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

9 Appendices

Appendix: A – Location Plan 1		
Appendix: B – Proposed Plans 2		
Appendix: C – EA Flood Map for Planning & EA Data	3	
Appendix: D – Topographical Survey 4		
Appendix E – BRE 365 Infiltration Tests5		
Appendix F - Thames Water Sewer Mapping	6	
Appendix G – Flood Velocity Assessment 7		
Appendix H – Surface Water Flood Maps 8		
Appendix I – Reservoir Flood Extent 9		
Appendix J - Emergency Pedstrian Access and Propos	ed PRoW	10
Appendix K – Proposed SuDS Layout 11		
Appendix L - Causeway Flow Hydraulic Outputs	12	
Appendix M – Exceedance Routes 13		

Flood Risk Assessment & SuDS Drainage Strategy | Acorn Lodge, Flamstead, St Albans

TRANSPORT PLANNING I HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 8HN. Tel 01820 871 777 e: contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

Appendix: A – Location Plan



EXISTING LOCATION PLAN 1:1250 @ A1

REVISIO	DESCRIPTIO		DATE
STAGE	APPLICATION	MENT	
STAGE PRE LAW PLAN CONI BUIL AS-E PROJEC	APPLICATION /FUL DEVELOP NNING APPLIC IDITIONS LDING CONTRO BUILT	MENT CATION	
STAGE PRE LAW PLAN PLAN SOUIL	APPLICATION /FUL DEVELOP NNING APPLIC IDITIONS LDING CONTRO BUILT CT O NEW BUIL EATION OF 1	MENT ATION	INGS PATH
STAGE PRE LAW PLAN CONI BUIL SAS-E PROJEC ANO CRE DRAWIN	APPLICATION /FUL DEVELOP NNING APPLIC IDITIONS DING CONTRO BUILT T O NEW BUIL EATION OF I	MENT CATION OL D DWELLI NEW FOOTI	INGS PATH
STAGE PRE LAW PLAN PLAN CONI BUIL BUIL AS-E PROJEC ANO CRE DRAWIN DATE SCALE	APPLICATION /FUL DEVELOP NNING APPLIC IDITIONS DING CONTRO BUILT T O NEW BUIL ATION OF I	MENT ATION OL D DWELLI NEW FOOT 20/03/20 1:1250 @ 4	INGS PATH 04 024 A1
STAGE PRE LAW PLAN PLAN CONI BUIL SCALE SHEET N DRAWN	APPLICATION /FUL DEVELOP NNING APPLIC IDITIONS DING CONTRO BUILT T O NEW BUIL ATION OF I NG NUMBER	MENT ATION OL DUWELLI NEW FOOT 20/03/202 1:1250 @ 7 1 of 1 HD	INGS PATH 04 024 A1
STAGE PRE PRE PLAN PLAN PLAN CONI BUIL BUIL AS-E PROJEC 4N0 CRE DRAWIN DATE SCALE SHEET N DRAWN REVISIO ADDRES ACO LON FLA	APPLICATION (FUL DEVELOP NNING APPLIC IDITIONS DING CONTRO BUILT T O NEW BUIL ATION OF I NG NUMBER NUMBER I BY N SS ORN LODGE NDON ROAE	MENT ATION OL DUWELLI NEW FOOTI 20/03/202 1:1250 @ / 1 of 1 HD	INGS PATH

Appendix: B – Proposed Plans



PROPOSED SITE PLAN 1:200 ^{SCALE 1:200} ^{o 5 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 [m]}

Oakwood Design and any oberign thereof is the termining and any oberign and the error of the components of any construction work. All dimensions and levels are subject to a thorough and accurate on-site check by the contractor prior to the commencement of any construction work. All sizes of structural components are to be verified by a structural engineer. Electrical contractors must be members of the national inspection council for electrical installation & contracting (NICIEC) & the electrical contractors association. Mechanical installation or modification to be in accordance with the latest edition of the CIBSE guide as produced by the chartered institute of building services engineers and to current BS specification. All works are to comply with the latest revision of the British standards. The client or appointed agent should advise of any known buried services and drainage location or restrictive covenants. Build-over agreements and party wall concerns are the responsibility of the client if applicable. This drawing should be read in conjunction with all other documents relating to the works. Do not scale from the drawing for construction or design purposes, except for the purposes of planning.					
REVISION	REVISION NOTES				
REV	DESCRIPTION	DATE			

STAGE

- PRE APPLICATION
- LAWFUL DEVELOPMENT
- PLANNING APPLICATION
- CONDITIONS
- BUILDING CONTROLAS-BUILT

PROJECT

4NO NEW BUILD DWELLINGS CREATION OF NEW FOOTPATH

DRAWING NUMBER	OAKPL-04
DATE	20/03/2024
SCALE	1:200 @ A1
SHEET NUMBER	1 of 1
DRAWN BY	HD
REVISION	

ADDRESS

ACORN LODGE LONDON ROAD FLAMSTEAD

DRAWING TITLE

PROPOSED SITE PLAN 1:200



OAKWOOD PLANNING & DESIGN LTD CRN: 14467585 TEL: 07947 497352 MAIL: PLANNING@OAKWOODPLANS.CO.UK


Appendix: C – EA Flood Map for Planning & EA Data

TRANSPORT PLANNING 📕 HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE 📕 FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 BHN. Tel. 01920 871 777 – e: contact@castp.co.uk, www.eastp.co.uk

EAS & SuDS Design Centre are tracing names of EAS Transsort Planning Ltd Registered in England and Wales No. 5751442









Environment Agency ref: HNL 252943 BC

The data in this map has been extracted from the Ver Modelling Study (JBA, 2019)

Flood risk data requests including an allowance for climate change will be based on the 1 in 100 flood plus 20% allowance for climate change, unless otherwise stated. You should refer to 'Flood risk assessments: climate change allowances' to check if this allowance is still appropriate for the type of development you are proposing and its location. You may need to undertake further assessment of future flood risk using different allowances to ensure your assessment of future flood risk is based on best https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessments-climate-change-allowances

All flood levels are given in metres Above Ordnance Datum (mAOD) All flows are given in cubic metres per second (cumecs)

MODELLED FLOOD LEVEL

			Return Period													
Node Label	Easting	Northing	2yr	5 yr	10yr	20 yr	25 yr	30yr	50 yr	75yr	100 yr	100yr + 25%	100yr +35%	100yr +70%	200yr	1000 yr
VER_21969	508358	215017	108.84	108.92	108.97	109.04	109.05	109.06	109.09	109.11	109.11	109.16	109.18	109.24	109.15	109.26
VER_21955	508371	215015	108.83	108.92	108.97	109.04	109.05	109.06	109.09	109.11	109.11	109.16	109.18	109.24	109.15	109.26
VER_21940	508385	215009	108.83	108.91	108.97	109.04	109.05	109.05	109.09	109.11	109.11	109.16	109.18	109.23	109.15	109.25
VER_21867	508456	214993	108.82	108.90	108.96	109.03	109.04	109.04	109.08	109.10	109.10	109.15	109.17	109.22	109.14	109.24
VER_21867d	508460	214992	108.82	108.90	108.95	109.03	109.04	109.04	109.07	109.09	109.10	109.15	109.16	109.22	109.14	109.24
VER_21856	508467	214991	108.81	108.90	108.95	109.02	109.03	109.04	109.07	109.09	109.09	109.14	109.16	109.22	109.13	109.24
VER_21853	508470	214990	108.81	108.90	108.95	109.02	109.03	109.04	109.07	109.09	109.09	109.14	109.16	109.22	109.14	109.24
VER_21833	508490	214987	108.81	108.89	108.95	109.02	109.03	109.03	109.06	109.08	109.09	109.13	109.15	109.21	109.13	109.23
VER_21833d	508494	214987	108.81	108.89	108.94	109.01	109.02	109.03	109.05	109.07	109.07	109.12	109.13	109.18	109.11	109.20
VER_21806	508516	214982	108.80	108.89	108.94	109.00	109.01	109.02	109.05	109.06	109.06	109.11	109.12	109.16	109.10	109.18
VER_21806d	508520	214983	108.65	108.74	108.80	108.87	108.88	108.88	108.90	108.91	108.92	108.95	108.95	108.98	108.94	108.99
VER_21778	508544	214978	108.65	108.74	108.79	108.87	108.88	108.88	108.90	108.91	108.91	108.94	108.95	108.98	108.94	108.99
VER_21731	508589	214965	108.64	108.73	108.79	108.87	108.88	108.88	108.90	108.91	108.91	108.94	108.95	108.98	108.94	108.99
VER_21710	508610	214964	108.63	108.73	108.78	108.86	108.87	108.87	108.89	108.90	108.90	108.93	108.94	108.97	108.93	108.98
VER_21656	508643	215003	108.34	108.39	108.42	108.45	108.46	108.46	108.46	108.46	108.46	108.47	108.48	108.49	108.47	108.50
VER_21523	508765	214967	107.79	107.86	107.90	107.95	107.96	107.96	107.97	107.98	107.98	108.00	108.01	108.03	108.00	108.04
VER_21338	508918	214876	107.23	107.30	107.36	107.41	107.43	107.43	107.45	107.48	107.48	107.55	107.58	107.66	107.54	107.69
VER_21167	509043	214787	106.65	106.75	106.81	106.90	106.93	106.93	107.00	107.09	107.10	107.31	107.37	107.60	107.28	107.64
VER_21080	509115	214745	106.25	106.31	106.34	106.39	106.40	106.40	106.44	106.47	106.47	106.53	106.54	106.60	106.52	106.63
VER_21821	508501	214985	108.81	108.89	108.94	109.01	109.02	109.02	109.05	109.07	109.07	109.11	109.13	109.17	109.11	109.19
VER_21695	508618	214976	108.61	108.70	108.76	108.83	108.84	108.84	108.86	108.87	108.88	108.91	108.92	108.94	108.90	108.96
VER_21690	508620	214981	108.58	108.67	108.72	108.80	108.81	108.81	108.83	108.84	108.84	108.87	108.90	108.90	108.86	108.91
VER_21690d	508629	214997	108.45	108.50	108.53	108.56	108.56	108.56	108.57	108.57	108.57	108.58	108.60	108.61	108.58	108.61
VER_21156	509056	214789	106.64	106.74	106.80	106.90	106.93	106.93	107.00	107.08	107.09	107.30	107.36	107.59	107.27	107.64
VER_21156d	509071	214786	106.60	106.68	106.72	106.77	106.79	106.79	106.82	106.86	106.87	106.96	106.98	107.07	106.95	107.11
VER_21731d	508596	214963	108.64	108.73	108.79	108.87	108.88	108.88	108.90	108.91	108.91	108.94	108.95	108.98	108.94	108.99
VER_21523i	508829	214910	107.55	107.62	107.68	107.73	107.74	107.74	107.76	107.77	107.77	107.80	107.81	107.83	107.79	107.84
VER_21338i	508966	214821	106.96	107.04	107.09	107.15	107.17	107.17	107.22	107.27	107.28	107.41	107.45	107.62	107.39	107.66

Add your Data in the Input Sheet

You may need to drag down the formula rows to make all your data appear.

Remember to add a grid once all the data is displayed, and to change the print outlines before exporting.

It is easiest to remove this page once you have combined it into the PDF.

MODELLED FLOWS

			Return Period													
Node Label	Easting	Northing	2yr	5 yr	10yr	20 yr	25 yr	30yr	50 yr	75yr	100 yr	100yr + 25%	100yr +35%	100yr +70%	200yr	1000 yr
VER_21969	508358	215017	0.27	0.38	0.39	0.45	0.47	0.48	0.52	0.55	0.56	0.63	0.65	0.76	0.62	0.78
VER_21955	508371	215015	0.27	0.37	0.39	0.46	0.47	0.48	0.52	0.55	0.56	0.63	0.66	0.76	0.62	0.78
VER_21940	508385	215009	0.27	0.34	0.39	0.46	0.47	0.48	0.52	0.55	0.56	0.63	0.66	0.76	0.62	0.78
VER_21867	508456	214993	0.28	0.34	0.39	0.46	0.48	0.49	0.52	0.55	0.57	0.64	0.67	0.77	0.63	0.79
VER_21867d	508460	214992	0.28	0.34	0.39	0.46	0.48	0.49	0.52	0.55	0.57	0.64	0.67	0.77	0.63	0.79
VER_21856	508467	214991	0.46	0.60	0.68	0.84	0.88	0.89	1.00	1.07	1.08	1.26	1.29	1.46	1.25	1.52
VER_21853	508470	214990	0.46	0.56	0.64	0.77	0.80	0.82	0.91	1.01	1.02	1.20	1.24	1.43	1.18	1.51
VER_21833	508490	214987	0.46	0.60	0.68	0.85	0.88	0.89	1.00	1.07	1.08	1.29	1.37	1.65	1.26	1.77
VER_21833d	508494	214987	0.46	0.60	0.68	0.85	0.88	0.89	1.00	1.07	1.08	1.29	1.37	1.65	1.26	1.77
VER_21806	508516	214982	0.46	0.59	0.68	0.85	0.87	0.89	1.00	1.07	1.08	1.29	1.37	1.65	1.26	1.77
VER_21806d	508520	214983	0.46	0.59	0.68	0.85	0.87	0.89	1.00	1.07	1.08	1.29	1.37	1.65	1.26	1.77
VER_21778	508544	214978	0.46	0.57	0.61	0.69	0.71	0.72	0.79	0.84	0.85	1.00	1.07	1.27	0.98	1.35
VER_21731	508589	214965	0.47	0.54	0.54	0.53	0.53	0.53	0.54	0.54	0.54	0.62	0.67	0.79	0.61	0.83
VER_21710	508610	214964	0.45	0.57	0.63	0.73	0.74	0.75	0.79	0.81	0.82	0.84	0.90	0.96	0.84	0.98
VER_21656	508643	215003	0.45	0.59	0.68	0.80	0.81	0.81	0.84	0.86	0.86	0.91	0.97	1.02	0.90	1.03
VER_21523	508765	214967	0.45	0.59	0.68	0.77	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.79	0.80	0.78	0.80
VER_21338	508918	214876	0.63	0.85	0.97	1.16	1.21	1.21	1.34	1.41	1.42	1.52	1.57	1.60	1.54	1.62
VER_21167	509043	214787	0.63	0.85	0.97	1.17	1.23	1.23	1.38	1.50	1.51	1.75	1.80	1.85	1.73	1.85
VER_21080	509115	214745	0.63	0.85	0.97	1.17	1.23	1.23	1.38	1.53	1.55	1.92	2.03	2.47	1.88	2.67
VER_21821	508501	214985	0.46	0.60	0.68	0.85	0.88	0.89	1.00	1.07	1.08	1.29	1.37	1.65	1.26	1.77
VER_21695	508618	214976	0.45	0.59	0.68	0.80	0.81	0.81	0.84	0.86	0.86	0.90	0.97	0.96	0.90	0.96
VER_21690	508620	214981	0.45	0.59	0.68	0.80	0.81	0.81	0.84	0.86	0.86	0.91	0.97	1.02	0.90	1.03
VER_21690d	508629	214997	0.45	0.59	0.68	0.80	0.81	0.81	0.84	0.86	0.86	0.91	1.00	1.02	0.90	1.03
VER_21156	509056	214789	0.63	0.85	0.97	1.17	1.23	1.23	1.38	1.53	1.55	1.92	2.03	2.41	1.88	2.43
VER_21156d	509071	214786	0.63	0.85	0.97	1.17	1.23	1.23	1.38	1.53	1.55	1.92	2.03	2.41	1.88	2.43
VER_21731d	508596	214963	0.47	0.54	0.54	0.53	0.53	0.53	0.54	0.54	0.54	0.62	0.67	0.79	0.61	0.83
VER_21523i	508829	214910	0.63	0.85	0.97	1.17	1.23	1.23	1.32	1.38	1.38	1.58	1.65	1.80	1.55	1.87
VER 21338i	508966	214821	0.63	0.85	0.97	1.17	1.23	1.23	1.39	1.50	1.51	1.84	1.93	1.97	1.79	1.98





Detailed FRA centred on: Acorn Lodge, London Road, Flamstead, AL3 8HB - 03/03/2022 - HNL 252943 BC



Hertfordshire & North London



Hertfordshire & North London



Hertfordshire & North London



Hertfordshire & North London















Hertfordshire & North London





Hertfordshire & North London



Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. Environment Agency 100024198, 2022

Hertfordshire & North London

Appendix: D – Topographical Survey



PLAN GRID NORTH	Abbreditors ond symbols Abbreditors on a symbol Bbbleditors on a sym
+	General note: Trees are drawn to scale showing the average canopy spread. Descriptions and heights should be the provide of the state of the state of the state are indicative only and taken externally from ground level. Debug yound debug heading to find debug are indicative only and taken externally from ground level. Notes and services have been identified from above ground and therefore al debug heading to these features heading sizes, dept, description etc will be approximate only. All calds menutions and the starting work. Details services and features may not have been surveyed if obstruct of on creasionably visible attent to the wall finishes at approx. In above the floor level and the wall searches are measured as floor to the dil and building dimensions. Jeney, dilling and the surveyed if obstruction of not reasonably visible attents are measured as the or to the dill and building dimensions. Jeney, dilling and charage details and connections pictor to commenting work. The contraction must check and wing's all sites and building dimensions. Jeney, different and the wall scale measured as the ord the dill and building dimensions. Jeney, different with a pictor of the dimensions and the to commenting work. The accuracy of the digital data is the same as the poting cale inglikes. All dimensions are in the dimension cale with the same with the discurrent and their written consert must be obtained before coping or using the data here then for the purpose it was originally supplied.
No. S.	
/ , 	Sheet layout: Imposed bits youth you for the set of
	ALS BEREFACE STOLES

Appendix E – BRE 365 Infiltration Tests

SITE INVESTIGATION SPECIALISTS, GEOTECHNICAL & ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS 3 Peel Street, Preston, Lancashire, PR2 2QS. Tel: (01772) 561135 Fax: (01772) 204907

ACORN LODGE, LONDON ROAD, FLAMSTEAD, AL3 8HB

Client: FOUNTHILL LAND, PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

Engineer:

Site:



Insitu Test Results

Depth

(m)

1.26

1.39

1.44

1.51

1.57

1.62

1.65

1.67

1.69

1.71

1.74

1.80

1.92

2.03

2.12

2.23

2.39

2.50

ob Number SE1754

Sheet:

SITE INVESTIGATION SPECIALISTS, GEOTECHNICAL & ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS 3 Peel Street, Preston, Lancashire, PR2 2QS. Tel: (01772) 561135 Fax: (01772) 204907

Insitu Test Results

ACORN LODGE, LONDON ROAD, FLAMSTEAD, AL3 8HB

Client: FOUNTHILL LAND, PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

Engineer:

Site:



Depth

(m)

1.16

1.20

1.24

1.30

1.34

1.39

1.44 1.46

1.50

1.53

1.58

1.65

1.74

1.79

1.85

1.92

2.05

2.14

2.42

Date: 11/08/23

0

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

20

25

30

40

60

80

120

Sheet:

ob Number

SE1754

2/3

Remarks:

SITE INVESTIGATION SPECIALISTS, GEOTECHNICAL & ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS 3 Peel Street, Preston, Lancashire, PR2 2QS. Tel: (01772) 561135 Fax: (01772) 204907

Insitu Test Results

Date: 11/08/23

ACORN LODGE, LONDON ROAD, FLAMSTEAD, AL3 8HB

Client: FOUNTHILL LAND, PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

Engineer:

Site:





Remarks:

ob Number SE1754

Sheet:

SITE INVESTIGATION SPECIALISTS, GEOTECHNICAL & ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS 3 Peel Street, Preston, Lancashire, PR2 2QS. Tel: (01772) 561135 Fax: (01772) 204907

Insitu Test Results

Test Number: 1

ACORN LODGE, LONDON ROAD, FLAMSTEAD, AL3 8HB

Client: FOUNTHILL LAND, PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

Engineer:

Site:

SOAKAWAY TEST Position: SP2 Time (mins) 10 20 30 40 50 60 0 0.00 0.10 Depth to Water (m) 0.20 0.30 0.40 0.50 0.60 0.70 Length of pit: L = 1.30 m Width of pit: W = 0.50 m Depth of pit D = 0.75 m Base area of pit: A = 0.65 m^2 100% effective depth D100 = 0.30 m 75% effective depth D75 = 0.41 m 50% effective depth D50 = 0.53 m 25% effective depth D25 = 0.64 m time to D75 T75 = 180 sec T25 = time to D25 943 sec time from D75 to D25 763 $t_{p75-25} =$ sec (T25 - T75) volume between D75 & D25 m³ $V_{p75-25} =$ 0.15 (A x (D25 - D75)) surface area to D50 inc. base a_{p50} = 1.46 m^2 ((2x(D-D50)x(W+L)) + A)SOIL INFILTRATION RATE f = V_{n75-25} a_{p50} x t_{p75-25} f = 1.31E-04 m/sec Test Strata: See SP2 Log.

Time	Depth
(min)	(m)
0	0.30
1	0.35
2	0.39
3	0.41
4	0.45
5	0.47
6	0.50
7	0.52
8	0.54
9	0.55
10	0.56
15	0.63
20	0.70

Date: 11/08/23

Remarks:

Job Number SE1754

Sheet:

SITE INVESTIGATION SPECIALISTS, GEOTECHNICAL & ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS 3 Peel Street, Preston, Lancashire, PR2 2QS. Tel: (01772) 561135 Fax: (01772) 204907

Insitu Test Results

Test Number: 2

ACORN LODGE, LONDON ROAD, FLAMSTEAD, AL3 8HB

Client: FOUNTHILL LAND, PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

Engineer:

Site:

SOAKAWAY TEST Position: SP2 Time (mins) 10 20 30 40 50 60 0 0.00 0.10 Depth to Water (m) 0.20 0.30 0.40 0.50 0.60 0.70 Length of pit: L = 1.30 m Width of pit: W = 0.50 m Depth of pit D = 0.75 m Base area of pit: A = 0.65 m^2 100% effective depth D100 = 0.30 m 75% effective depth D75 = 0.41 m 50% effective depth D50 = 0.53 m 25% effective depth D25 = 0.64 m time to D75 T75 = 390 sec T25 = time to D25 1680 sec time from D75 to D25 1290 $t_{p75-25} =$ sec (T25 - T75) volume between D75 & D25 m³ $V_{p75-25} =$ 0.15 (A x (D25 - D75)) surface area to D50 inc. base a_{p50} = 1.46 m^2 ((2x(D-D50)x(W+L)) + A)SOIL INFILTRATION RATE f = V_{n75-25} a_{p50} x t_{p75-25} f = 7.77E-05 m/sec Test Strata: See SP2 Log.

Time	Depth
(min)	(m)
0	0.30
1	0.33
2	0.36
3	0.37
4	0.38
5	0.39
6	0.40
7	0.42
8	0.44
9	0.46
10	0.47
15	0.51
20	0.57
25	0.61
30	0.66

Date: 11/08/23

Remarks:

lob Number SE1754

Sheet:

SITE INVESTIGATION SPECIALISTS, GEOTECHNICAL & ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS 3 Peel Street, Preston, Lancashire, PR2 2QS. Tel: (01772) 561135 Fax: (01772) 204907

Insitu Test Results

Test Number: 3

ACORN LODGE, LONDON ROAD, FLAMSTEAD, AL3 8HB

Client: FOUNTHILL LAND, PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

Engineer:

Site:

SOAKAWAY TEST Position: SP2 Time (mins) 10 20 30 40 50 60 0 0.00 0.10 Depth to Water (m) 0.20 0.30 0.40 0.50 0.60 0.70 Length of pit: L = 1.30 m Width of pit: W = 0.50 m Depth of pit D = 0.75 m Base area of pit: A = 0.65 m^2 100% effective depth D100 = 0.30 m 75% effective depth D75 = 0.41 m 50% effective depth D50 = 0.53 m 25% effective depth D25 = 0.64 m time to D75 T75 = 300 sec T25 = time to D25 2160 sec time from D75 to D25 1860 $t_{p75-25} =$ sec (T25 - T75) volume between D75 & D25 m³ $V_{p75-25} =$ 0.15 (A x (D25 - D75)) surface area to D50 inc. base a_{p50} = 1.46 m^2 ((2x(D-D50)x(W+L)) + A)SOIL INFILTRATION RATE f = V_{n75-25} a_{p50} x t_{p75-25} f = 5.39E-05 m/sec Test Strata: See SP2 Log.

Time	Depth
(min)	(m)
0	0.30
1	0.34
2	0.36
3	0.37
4	0.39
5	0.41
6	0.42
7	0.43
8	0.44
9	0.45
10	0.46
15	0.52
20	0.55
25	0.57
30	0.61

0.66

40

Date: 11/08/23

Remarks:

ob Number SE1754

Sheet:

S S						LTANTS	Site		Trial Pit Number
5 3 Pe	el Street, Preston, Lan	cashire, PR2	2QS. Tel: (0177)	2) 561135 Fax:	(01772) 20490	17 17	ACORN LODGE, LONDO	N ROAD, FLAMSTEAD, ALS	SP1
Excavation Method		Dimens 1.50m	i ons x 0.50m x 2.50)m	Ground	Level (mOD)	Client FOUNTHILL LAND, PLAN	Job Number SE1754	
		Locatio AS	n S PLAN	PLAN		/08/2023	Engineer	Sheet 1/1	
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	Water Depth (m)	Field	Records	Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	D	escription	Legend S
Plan . 	Sample / Tests		Field	NRY		Depth (Thickness) (0.40) (1.30	MADE GROUND: dark ora gravel sized fragments of with roots and cobble size Orangish brown gravelly s quartz cobble content. Gra Structureless off-white CH between 50mm and 100m Complete at 2.50m	escription angish brown clayey silty san quartz, brick, concrete and ch d fragments of quartz. lightly sandy sitty CLAY with I vel is chalk. ALK with occasional clasts m diameter.	Legend dy alk ow ow
							Scalo (annrox)	Loggod By	Figure No.
						5	1:25	SS/VW	SE1754.SP1

Produced by the GEOtechnical DAtabase SYstem (GEODASY) © all rights reserved

SITE 3 Pe	UB SURFA	CIALISTS, Gashire, PR2	EOTECHNICAL & ENVIR 2QS. Tel: (01772) 561135	ONMENTAL CONSU Fax: (01772) 20490	LTANTS 7	Site ACORN LODGE, LONDO	N ROAD, FLAMSTEAD, AL3	8HB Trial Pit Number SP2
Excavation MethodDimensionsMECHANCIAL EXCAVATOR1.30m x 0.50m x 0.75m				Ground	Level (mOD)	Client FOUNTHILL LAND, PLAN	Job Number SE1754	
		Locatio AS	n Plan	Dates 11	/08/2023	Engineer	Sheet 1/1	
Depth (m)	Sample / Tests	nple / Tests Water Depth (m) Field Records		s Level (mOD)	Depth (m) (Thickness)	D	Legend S	
			11/08/2023:DRY		(0.75)	MADE GROUND: dark ora gravel sized fragments of with roots and cobble size	angish brown clayey silty san quartz, brick, concrete and cl d fragments of quartz.	dy nalk
			11/08/2023:DRY			Complete at 0.75m		
Plan .		·			'	Remarks Pit sides remained vertical a No groundwater encountere	ind stable. d.	
						On completion backfilled wit	h arisings.	
		•						
		·						
		·				cale (approx)	Logged By	Figure No.

Produced by the GEOtechnical DAtabase SYstem (GEODASY) © all rights reserved


Produced by the GEOtechnical DAtabase SYstem (GEODASY) $\ensuremath{\textcircled{O}}$ all rights reserved



Appendix F - Thames Water Sewer Mapping

TRANSPORT PLANNING 📕 HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE 📕 FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 BHN. Tel. 01920 871 777 – e: contact@castp.co.uk, www.eastp.co.uk

EAS & SuDB Design Centre are tracing names of EAS Transsort Planning Ltd Registered in England and Wales No. 5751442



Based on the Ordnance Survey Map (2020) with the Sanction of the controller of H.M. Stationery Office, License no. 100019345 Crown Copyright Reserved.

doed on the ordinance our vey map (2020) with the our other of the ordinary of the stationery of the root of the ordinary of t

Thames Water Utilities Ltd, Property Searches, PO Box 3189, Slough SL1 4W, DX 151280 Slough 13 T 0800 009 4540 E searches@thameswater.co.uk I www.thameswater-propertysearches.co.uk NB. Levels quoted in metres Ordnance Newlyn Datum. The value -9999.00 indicates that no survey information is available

Manhole Reference	Manhole Cover Level	Manhole Invert Level
491A	n/a	n/a
491B	n/a	n/a
491C	n/a	n/a

The position of the apparatus shown on this plan is given without obligation and warranty, and the accuracy cannot be guaranteed. Service pipes are not shown but their presence should be anticipated. No liability of any kind whatsoever is accepted by Thames Water for any error or omission. The actual position of mains and services must be verified and established on site before any works are undertaken.



0 10 20 40 60 80



The position of the apparatus shown on this plan is given without obligation and warranty, and the accuracy cannot be guaranteed. Service pipes are not shown but their presence should be anticipated. No liability of any kind whatsoever is accepted by Thames Water for any error or omission. The actual position of mains and services must be verified before any works are undertaken. Crown copyright Reserved

1:1791	Comments:
500m	
Skrishna1	
05/10/2022	
508250,214750	
TL0814NW	
	1:1791 500m Skrishna1 05/10/2022 508250,214750 TL0814NW

ALS/ALS Standard/2022_4729681

NB: Level quoted in metres Ordnance Newlyn Datum. The value -9999.00 indicates no Survey information is available.

REFERENCE	COVER LEVEL	INVERT LEVEL
0501	141.7	140.09
0801	130.17	128.27
3701		
3602	135.47	133.56
1701	131.87	
1801	130.65	128.99
0602	141.03	139.34
2701	233.8	231.16
051C		
051B		
361A		
371A		
061A		
491B		
051D		

REFERENCE	COVER LEVEL	INVERT LEVEL
0802	129.07	127.5
2602	137.55	
3601		
3603	134.43	132.42
2601	138.82	137.09
1601	139.77	
0601	142.44	140.77
351A		
051A		
3501	136.29	134.76
361B		
361C		
491A		
491C		

The position of the apparatus shown on this plan is given without obligation and warranty, and the accuracy cannot be guaranteed. Service pipes are not shown but their presence should be anticipated. No liability of any kind whatsoever is accepted by Thames Water for any error or omission. The actual position of mains and services must be verified before any works are undertaken. Crown copyright Reserved





The position of the apparatus shown on this plan is given without obligation and warranty, and the accuracy cannot be guaranteed. Service pipes are not shown but their presence should be anticipated. No liability of any kind whatsoever is accepted by Thames Water for any error or omission. The actual position of mains and services must be verified before any works are undertaken. Crown copyright Reserved

Scale:	1:7161	Comments:
Width:	2000m	
Printed By:	Skrishna1	
Print Date:	05/10/2022	
Map Centre:	508695,214901	
Grid Reference:	TL0814NE	



Asset Location Search - Sewer Key



1) All levels associated with the plans are to Ordnance Datum Newlyn.

2) All measurements on the plan are metric.

3) Arrows (on gravity fed servers) or flecks (on rising mains) indicate the direction of flow.

4) Most private pipes are not shown on our plans, as in the past, this information has not been recorded.

5) 'na' or '0' on a manhole indicates that data is unavailable.

6) The text appearing alongside a sewer line indicates the internal diameter of the pipe in millimeters. Text next to a manhole indicates the manhole reference number and should not be taken as a measurement. If you are unsure about any text or symbology, please contact Property Searches on 0800 009 4540.

Thames Water Utilities Ltd, Property Searches, PO Box 3189, Slough SL1 4W, DX 151280 Slough 13 T 0800 009 4540 E searches@thameswater.co.uk I www.thameswater-propertysearches.co.uk Appendix G – Flood Velocity Assessment

TRANSPORT PLANNING 📄 HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE 📄 FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 BHN. Tel. 01920 871 777 – e: contact@castp.co.uk, www.eastp.co.uk



2									
N N									
-									
() X									
1 1									
7									
	REV	DATE	BY		DESCRIPTION		СНК	APD	
5	DRAWI	NG STATUS:							
11		Ordnance Survey	r (c) Crown	Copyright 2018.	All rights reserved.	Licence number 10	0022432		
					EAS				
$\sim \sim$		Unit 23,	The Malt	ings, Stanste	ead Abbotts, He	rtfordshire, SG	512 8H	IG	
1000	Tel: 01920 871777 www.eastp.co.uk								
	CLIEN	Г:							
1 1									
	ARCHI	TECT:							
	PROJECT:								
				ACORN	I LODGE				
	TITLE:		1:100)0YR SL	JRFACE WA	ATER			
			F	LOOD V	ELOCITIES				
		FOR	R HAZ	ARD RA	TING ASSE	SSMENT			
	SCALE	© A3: 1:500		DESIGN-DR/	MC	DATE: 21.03.	2024		
N 21	PROJE	CT No:		DRAWING NO):				
	3824 SK10 REV A								

Appendix H – Surface Water Flood Maps

TRANSPORT PLANNING 📄 HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE 📄 FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 BHN. Tel. 01920 871 777 – e: contact@castp.co.uk, www.eastp.co.uk

EAS & SuDB Design Centre are tracing names of EAS Transsort Planning Ltd Registered in England and Wales No. 5751442



1000YR Surface Water Flood Depths (m)

Extracted from DEFRA Data Services Platform and processed on QGIS



🙆 Oser 990min 🕘 399 to 990min 😑 Below 200min 🕁 Location you selected

<u>https://check-long-term-flood-</u> <u>risk.service.gov.uk/map?easting=508714&northing=214889&map=SurfaceWater</u>



Appendix I – Reservoir Flood Extent



	k	(FY							
	1	\ <u> </u>							
				reser' River	VOIR FLO LEVELS) ODIN(ARE 1	G EXTENT NORMAL	WHE	N
		\longrightarrow	$\left\langle \right\rangle$	RESER\ THERE	/OIR FLO IS ALSO)odin() Flo(G EXTENT ODING FRO	WHE DM	N
\langle				NIVEINS					
\langle									
\langle									
\langle									
\langle									
\langle									
\langle									
\langle									
\langle	201	0.175						0.04	100
1	REV	DAIE	BA		DESCH	IPTION		СНК	APD
	DRAWI	NG STATUS:		Ρ	LANNING	3			
	Ordnance Survey (c) Crown Copyright 2018. All rights reserved. Licence number 100022432								
<									
くこと	1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, Hertfordshire, SG12 8HN Tai- 01920 871777								
\langle	www.eastp.co.uk								
	CLIEN	Г:							
	ARCHI	TECT:							
		·CT.							
\langle	FRUJE			ACO	RN LOE)GE			
\langle			LONI	DON F	ROAD, F	LAMST	ΓEAD		
	TITLE:			RE		R			
				FLO	DD EXT	ENT			
	SCALE	© A3: 1:500		DESIGN	-drawn: MC		DATE: 21.03.	2024	
	PROJE	CT No:		DRAWIN	IG No:				
\langle		3824			SK	11 R	EV A		

Appendix J – Emergency Pedstrian Access and Proposed PRoW

TRANSPORT PLANNING 📕 HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE 📕 FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 BHN. Tel. 01920 871 777 – e: contact@castp.co.uk, www.eastp.co.uk



his drawing and any design thereon is the copyright of Dakwood Design and should not be reproduced without written All dimensions and levels are subject to a thorough and accurate on—site check by the contractor prior to the commencement of any construction work. All sizes of structural components are to be verified by a structural engineer. Electrical contractors must be members of the national inspection council for electrical installation & contracting (NICIEC) & the electrical contractors association. Mechanical installation or modification to be in accordance with the latest edition of the CIBSE guide as produced by the chartered institute of building services engineers and to current BS specification. Il works are to comply with the latest revision of the British andards. The client or appointed agent should advise of any known buried services and drainage location or restrictive covenants. Build-over agreements and party wall concerns are the responsibility of the client if applicable. This drawing should be read in conjunction with all other documents relating to the works. Do not scale from the drawing for construction or design purposes, except for the purposes of planning.

REVISION NOTES

REV	DESCRIPTION	DATE

- PRE APPLICATION
- LAWFUL DEVELOPMENT
- PLANNING APPLICATION
- CONDITIONS
- BUILDING CONTROL

PROJECT

4NO NEW BUILD DWELLINGS **CREATION OF NEW FOOTPATH**

DRAWING NUMBER	OAKPL-04
DATE	20/03/2024
SCALE	1:200 @ A1
SHEET NUMBER	1 of 1
DRAWN BY	HD
REVISION	

ADDRESS

ACORN LODGE LONDON ROAD FLAMSTEAD

DRAWING TITLE

PROPOSED SITE PLAN 1:500



OAKWOOD PLANNING & DESIGN LTD CRN: 14467585 TEL: 07947 497352 MAIL: PLANNING@OAKWOODPLANS.CO.UK

Appendix K – Proposed SuDS Layout

TRANSPORT PLANNING 📄 HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE 📄 FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 BHN. Tel. 01920 871 777 – e: contact@castp.co.uk, www.eastp.co.uk



		KEY	
		SITE BOUNDARY	(TOTAL SITE AREA
		0.187ha) ROOF AREA (0.04	14ha INCLUSIVE
		OF 10% URBAN	VG WITH MIN.
		600mm SUB-BA	SE (0.034ha) NG WITH MIN
		600mm SUB-BA	SE (0.022ha)
		SURFACE WATER	PIPE NETWORK
		SURFACE WATER	MANHOLE
		PERMAVOID DIFFL	ISER UNIT
	•	RAINWATER DOWN	PIPE
	•	ORIFICE PLATE	
	<u> </u>		
The second se	~~.		
The second secon			
199 BB			
GUIDB.72			
Inaccessible at the time of survey			
Bes			
ist the second s	6 24		
108.69	08.38		
	~^)		
5/ /			
	B 13.092023 MD	UPDATE FOLLOWING LLFA (OMMENTS MD MD
	REV DATE BY	DESCRIPTION	CHK APD
	drawing status: FOR PLA	NNING PURPOSES	ONLY
	Ordnance Survey (c) Crown C	Copyright 2018. All rights reserved. L	icence number 100022432
		E A S	
	Unit 23. The Malti	ngs, Stanstead Abbotts. He	rtfordshire, SG12 8HG
	Sant 20, me mult	Tel: 01920 871777 www.eastp.co.uk	UIU
	CLIENT:		
	ARCHITECT:		
	ACORN	N LODGE, FLAMST	EAD
		- <u>-</u> ,	
	TITLE: PROF	POSED SUDS LAY	DUT
	SCALE @ A1:	DESIGN-DRAWN:	DATE:
	1:200 PROJECT No:	MC DRAWING No:	21.03.2024
	3824	SK03 I	REV C

Appendix L - Causeway Flow Hydraulic Outputs

TRANSPORT PLANNING 📕 HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE 📕 FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 BHN. Tel. 01920 871 777 – e: contact@castp.co.uk, www.eastp.co.uk

CAUSEWAY 😜			Network: Storm Stephen Adams 13/09/2023	Roof Soakaway Network	Page 1	
		<u>Design S</u>	ettings			
Maximum Time o Maxi	Rainfall Methodol Return Period (yea Additional Flow Time of Entry (m of Concentration (m imum Rainfall (mm,	ogy FEH-22 ars) 100 (%) 0 CV 1.000 ins) 5.00 ins) 30.00 /hr) 50.0	Mini Minimum E Preferr Include Ir Enforce best p	mum Velocity (n Connection T Backdrop Height red Cover Depth Itermediate Grou practice design ru	n/s) 1.00 ype Level: (m) 0.200 (m) 1.200 und √ ules x	Soffits
	Nome	Noc	l <u>es</u>	uthing Douth		
	Name	(ha) Level	(m)	(m) (m)		
	Roof Soakaway	0.011 110.15	0 24.870 8	33.051 2.500		
		Simulation	Settings			
Winter CV 15 30 6	V 1.000 Dra 50 120 180 Return Period Clir	Storm Du Storm Du 240 3 nate Change	mins) 1440 urations 360 480 Additional Area	600 720	charge Volun 960 w	ne x 1440
F	()		(
F	(years) 2	(CC %) 0	(A %) 0	(Q %)	0	
F	(years) 2 30	(CC %) 0 0	(A %) 0 0	(Q %)	0 0	
F	(years) 2 30 30	(CC %) 0 40	(A %) 0 0 0	(Q %)	0 0 0	
F	(years) 2 30 30 100	(CC %) 0 40 0	(A %) 0 0 0 0	(Q %)	0 0 0 0	
F	(years) 2 30 30 100 100	(CC %) 0 40 0 40	(A %) 0 0 0 0	(Q %)	0 0 0 0 0	
F	(years) 2 30 30 100 100 <u>Node Roof</u>	(CC %) 0 40 0 40 40 Soakaway Dept	(A %) 0 0 0 0 0 0	(Q %) <u>Structure</u>	0 0 0 0 0	
F Base Inf Coefficien Side Inf Coefficien	(years) 2 30 30 100 100 <u>Node Roof</u> nt (m/hr) 0.11520 nt (m/hr) 0.11520	(CC %) 0 40 0 40 40 500 Safety Fact Poros	(A %) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	(Q %) <u>Structure</u> Invert L me to half empt	0 0 0 0 evel (m) 1 y (mins) 6	07.650 34
F Base Inf Coefficier Side Inf Coefficier Depth (m)	(years) 2 30 30 100 100 <u>Node Roof</u> nt (m/hr) 0.11520 Area Inf Area (m ²) (m ²)	(CC %) 0 40 0 40 0 40 Soakaway Dept Safety Fact Poros Depth Area (m) (m ²	(A %) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	(Q %) <u>Structure</u> Invert L me to half empt Depth Area (m) (m ²)	0 0 0 0 evel (m) 1 y (mins) 6 Inf Area (m ²)	07.650 34



Results for 2 year Critical Storm Duration. Lowest mass balance: 100.00%

Node Event	US Node	Peak (mins)	Level (m)	Depth (m)	Inflow (I/s)	Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
480 minute summer	Roof Soakaway	304	107.866	0.216	0.4	1.6015	0.0000	ОК
	Link Event (Upstream Depth	J)	US Node	Lin	k C	outflow (I/s)		
	480 minute summ	er Roo	of Soakaway	Infiltra	ation	0.1		



Results for 30 year Critical Storm Duration. Lowest mass balance: 100.00%

Node Event	US Node	Peak (mins)	Level (m)	Depth (m)	Inflow (I/s)	Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
240 minute winter	Roof Soakaway	228	108.193	0.543	0.9	4.0194	0.0000	ОК
	Link Event		US	Lin	ık O	utflow		
	(Upstream Dept	:h)	Node			(I/s)		
	240 minute wint	er Roc	of Soakaway	Infiltra	ation	0.1		



Results for 30 year +40% CC Critical Storm Duration. Lowest mass balance: 100.00%

Node Event	US Node	Peak (mins)	Level (m)	Depth (m)	Inflow (I/s)	Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
240 minute winter	Roof Soakaway	232	108.439	0.789	1.3	5.8380	0.0000	ОК
	Link Event (Upstream Dept	h)	US Node	Lin	k C)utflow (I/s)		
	240 minute wint	er Roo	of Soakaway	Infiltra	ation	0.2		



Results for 100 year Critical Storm Duration. Lowest mass balance: 100.00%

Node Event	US Node	Peak (mins)	Level (m)	Depth (m)	Inflow (I/s)	Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
180 minute winter	Roof Soakaway	176	108.333	0.683	1.4	5.0595	0.0000	ОК
	Link Event (Upstream Dept	h)	US Node	Lin	ık O	utflow (I/s)		
	180 minute wint	er Roc	of Soakawav	Infiltra	ation	0.2		



Node Event	US Node	Peak (mins)	Level (m)	Depth (m)	Inflow (I/s)	Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
240 minute winter	Roof Soakaway	232	108.669	1.018	1.6	7.5399	0.0000	ОК
	Link Event (Upstream Dept	h)	US Node	Lin	k C	Outflow (I/s)		
	240 minute wint	er Roo	of Soakaway	Infiltra	ation	0.2		

CAUSEWAY 🚱			Network: Storr Stephen Adam 13/09/2023	m Network s		
		Design	<u>Settings</u>			
Maximum Time o Max	Rainfall Methodo Return Period (y Additional Flow Time of Entry (r of Concentration (r imum Rainfall (mn	ology FEH-22 ears) 100 w (%) 0 CV 1.000 mins) 5.00 mins) 30.00 n/hr) 50.0	Min Minimum Prefe Include Enforce best	nimum Velocity (Connection Backdrop Heigh rred Cover Deptl Intermediate Gro practice design	(m/s) 1.0 Type Lev t (m) 0.2 h (m) 1.2 ound √ rules x	0 rel Soffits 00 00
	Name	Area Cove	er Easting N	lorthing Depth	ı	
		(ha) Leve	el (m)	(m) (m)		
	Road Soakaway	0.022 109.5	00 24.870	83.051 2.500)	
		Simulatio	on Settings			
Rainfall Methodolog Summer C Winter C	y FEH-22 V 1.000 V 1.000 De	Analysis Skip Stead rain Down Time	Speed Normal y State x (mins) 1440	Additional Check D Check Di	Storage (m ischarge Ra scharge Vo	i³⁄ha) 20.0 ate(s) x lume x
Rainfall Methodolog Summer C Winter C 15 30	y FEH-22 V 1.000 V 1.000 Dr 50 120 18 Return Period Cl	Analysis Skip Steady rain Down Time Storm D 80 240	Speed Normal y State x (mins) 1440 Durations 360 480 Additional Area	Additional Check D Check Dis 600 720	Storage (m ischarge Ra scharge Vo 960 ow	³⁄ha) 20.0 ate(s) x lume x 1440
Rainfall Methodolog Summer C Winter C 15 30	y FEH-22 V 1.000 V 1.000 De 60 120 18 Return Period Cl (years)	Analysis Skip Steady rain Down Time Storm D 80 240 limate Change (CC %)	Speed Normal y State x (mins) 1440 Durations 360 480 Additional Area (A %)	Additional Check D Check Di 600 720 Additional Fl (Q %)	Storage (m ischarge Ra scharge Vo 960 ow	³/ha) 20.0 ate(s) x lume x 1440
Rainfall Methodolog Summer C Winter C 15 30	y FEH-22 V 1.000 V 1.000 Di 60 120 18 Return Period Cl (years) 2 20	Analysis Skip Steady rain Down Time Storm D 80 240 limate Change (CC %) 0	Speed Normal y State x (mins) 1440 Durations 360 480 Additional Area (A %)	Additional Check D Check Di 600 720 Additional Fl (Q %)	Storage (m ischarge Ra scharge Vo 960 ow 0	³⁄ha) 20.0 ate(s) x lume x 1440
Rainfall Methodolog Summer C Winter C 15 30	y FEH-22 V 1.000 V 1.000 Du 60 120 18 Return Period Cl (years) 2 30 30	Analysis Skip Steady rain Down Time Storm D 80 240 limate Change (CC %) 0 0 40	Speed Normal y State x (mins) 1440 Durations 360 480 Additional Area (A %)	Additional Check D Check D 600 720 Additional Fl (Q %)	Storage (m ischarge Ra scharge Vo 960 0 0 0 0	³⁄ha) 20.0 ate(s) x lume x 1440
Rainfall Methodolog Summer C Winter C 15 30	y FEH-22 V 1.000 V 1.000 Di 60 120 18 Return Period Cl (years) 2 30 30 100	Analysis Skip Steady rain Down Time Storm D 80 240 limate Change (CC %) 0 0 40 0	Speed Normal y State x (mins) 1440 Durations 360 480 480 Additional Area (A %) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Additional Check D Check Di 600 720 Additional Fl (Q %)	Storage (m ischarge Ra scharge Vo 960 ow 0 0 0 0 0	³⁄ha) 20.0 ate(s) x lume x 1440
Rainfall Methodolog Summer C Winter C 15 30	y FEH-22 V 1.000 V 1.000 Di 60 120 18 Return Period Cl (years) 2 30 30 100 100	Analysis Skip Steady rain Down Time Storm D 80 240 limate Change (CC %) 0 0 40 0 40	Speed Normal y State x (mins) 1440 Durations 360 480 Additional Area (A %) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Additional Check D Check Di 600 720 Additional Fl (Q %)	Storage (m ischarge Ra scharge Vo 960 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	³/ha) 20.0 ate(s) x lume x 1440
Rainfall Methodolog Summer C Winter C 15 30	y FEH-22 V 1.000 V 1.000 Di 60 120 18 Return Period Cl (years) 2 30 30 100 100 100 Node Roa	Analysis Skip Steady rain Down Time 80 240 limate Change (CC %) 0 0 40 0 40 0 40	Speed Normal y State x (mins) 1440 Durations 360 480 Additional Area (A %) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Additional Check D Check D 600 720 Additional Fl (Q %)	Storage (m ischarge Ra scharge Vo 960 ow 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	³/ha) 20.0 ate(s) x lume x 1440
Rainfall Methodolog Summer C Winter C 15 30 5 Base Inf Coefficien Side Inf Coefficien	y FEH-22 V 1.000 V 1.000 Du 60 120 18 Return Period Cl (years) 2 30 30 100 100 100 Node Roam nt (m/hr) 0.1152 nt (m/hr) 0.1152	Analysis Skip Steady rain Down Time 80 240 limate Change (CC %) 0 0 40 0 40 0 40 0 40 0 40 0 40 0 40	Speed Normal y State x (mins) 1440 Durations 360 480 Additional Area (A %) C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Additional Check D Check D 600 720 Additional Fl (Q %) C Structure Invert	Storage (m ischarge Ra scharge Vo 960 ow 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Level (m) oty (mins)	³ /ha) 20.0 ate(s) x lume x 1440 107.000 694



Results for 2 year Critical Storm Duration. Lowest mass balance: 100.00%

Node Event	US Node	Peak (mins)	Level (m)	Depth (m)	Inflow (I/s)	Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
240 minute winter	Road Soakaway	220	107.318	0.317	0.9	3.5248	0.0000	ОК
	Link Event	L)	US Nodo	Lin	k O	utflow		
	240 minute wint	nj er Roa	node d Soakawav	Infiltra	ation	(I/S) 0.2		



Node Event	US Node	Peak (mins)	Level (m)	Depth (m)	Inflow (I/s)	Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
240 minute summer	Road Soakaway	240	107.768	0.768	2.9	8.5258	0.0000	OK
	Link Event (Upstream Depth)	1	US Node	Lin	k O	utflow (I/s)		
	240 minute summe	er Road	d Soakaway	Infiltra	ition	0.2		



Node Event	US Node	Peak (mins)	Level (m)	Depth (m)	Inflow (I/s)	/ Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
240 minute winter	Road Soakaway	236	108.129	1.129	2.7	12.5396	0.0000	ОК
	Link Event (Upstream Deptl	h)	US Node	Lin	k	Outflow (I/s)		
	240 minute winte	er Roa	d Soakaway	Infiltra	ation	0.3		



Node Event	US Node	Peak (mins)	Level (m)	Depth (m)	Inflow (I/s)	Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
240 minute summer	Road Soakaway	240	107.966	0.966	3.5	10.7270	0.0000	ОК
	Link Event (Upstream Depth))	US Node	Lin	k O	utflow (I/s)		
	240 minute summe	er Roa	d Soakaway	Infiltra	tion	0.3		



Node Event	US Node	Peak (mins)	Level (m)	Depth (m)	Inflow (I/s)	Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
240 minute winter	Road Soakaway	236	108.405	1.405	3.3	15.6019	0.0000	ОК
	Link Event (Upstream Depth	ı)	US Node	Lin	k C	outflow (I/s)		
	240 minute winte	er Road	d Soakaway	Infiltra	ation	0.3		

CAUSEWAY 🚱	EAS Transport	Planning Ltd	File: 20230913 Network: Storn Stephen Adam 13/09/2023	-PP1.pfd n Network s	Page 1	
		Design	<u>Settings</u>			
Maximum Time of Maxir	Rainfall Method Return Period (Additional Flo Time of Entry Concentration num Rainfall (m	dology FEH-22 (years) 100 ow (%) 0 CV 1.000 (mins) 5.00 (mins) 30.00 om/hr) 50.0	Mir Minimum Prefer Include I Enforce best	imum Velocity Connectior Backdrop Heig rred Cover Dep ntermediate G practice desigr	(m/s) 1.00 a Type Level S ht (m) 0.200 th (m) 1.200 round \checkmark a rules x	offits
		<u>Nc</u>	odes -			
	Name A	Area Cover (ha) Level (m)	Easting Northi (m) (m)	ng Depth (m)		
	PP1 0	.034 110.150	24.870 83.0	51 0.450		
		<u>Simulatic</u>	on Settings			
Rainfall Methodology Summer CV Winter CV	FEH-22 1.000 1.000	Analysis Skip Stead Drain Down Time	Speed Normal y State x (mins) 1440	Additiona Check I Check D	l Storage (m³/ha Discharge Rate(s ischarge Volum	a) 20.0 5) x e x
	120	Storm D	Durations	coo 700		440
15 30 60) 120	180 240	360 480	600 /20	960 1	440
Re	eturn Period ((years)	Climate Change (CC %)	Additional Area (A %)	Additional F (Q %)	low	
	2	0	0		0	
	30 30	0 40	0		0	
	100		0		0	
	100	40	0		0	
	Ī	Node PP1 Carparl	k Storage Structu	<u>re</u>		
Base Inf Coefficient (Side Inf Coefficient (Safety I Po	m/hr) 0.2790 m/hr) 0.2790 Factor 3.0 rosity 0.33	0 Time to ha	Invert Level (m) If empty (mins) Width (m) Length (m)	109.700 16 18.400 18.300	Slope (1:X) Depth (m) Inf Depth (m)	2000.0



Results for 2 year Critical Storm Duration. Lowest mass balance: 100.00%

Node Event	US Node	Peak (mins)	Level (m)	Depth (m)	Inflow (I/s)	Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
15 minute summer	PP1	13	109.715	0.015	5.7	1.1934	0.0000	ОК
	Lir	nk Event	US	Lin	ık O	utflow		
	(Upstr	eam Dept	th) Node	9		(I/s)		
	15 min	ute sumn	ner PP1	Infiltra	ation	2.6		


Results for 30 year Critical Storm Duration. Lowest mass balance: 100.00%

Node Event	US Node	Peak (mins)	Level (m)	Depth (m)	Inflow (I/s)	Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
30 minute summer	PP1	21	109.739	0.039	13.7	3.7937	0.0000	ОК
	Link Event (Upstream Depth)		US	Lin	k O	Dutflow		
			h) Node			(I/s)		
	30 minute summer		er PP1	Infiltra	ation	6.8		



Results for 30 year +40% CC Critical Storm Duration. Lowest mass balance: 100.00%

Node Event	US Node	Peak (mins)	Level (m)	Depth (m)	Inflow (I/s)	Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
30 minute summer	PP1	22	109.754	0.054	19.2	5.4878	0.0000	ОК
	Link Event		US	Lin	k O	utflow		
	(Upstr	eam Dept	h) Node:			(l/s)		
	30 min	ute summ	ner PP1	Infiltra	ation	8.8		



Results for 100 year Critical Storm Duration. Lowest mass balance: 100.00%

Node Event	US Node	Peak (mins)	Level (m)	Depth (m)	Inflow (I/s)	Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
30 minute summer	PP1	21	109.749	0.048	17.3	4.8821	0.0000	ОК
	Lir	ık Event	US	Lin	ık O	utflow		
	(Upstream Depth)		h) Node:			(I/s)		
	30 min	ute summ	ner PP1	Infiltra	ation	8.5		



Results for 100 year +40% CC Critical Storm Duration. Lowest mass balance: 100.00%

Node Event	US Node	Peak (mins)	Level (m)	Depth (m)	Inflow (I/s)	Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
30 minute summer	PP1	22	109.772	0.072	24.3	7.5392	0.0000	ОК
	Lin	nk Event	US	Lin	ik O	utflow		
	(Upstr	eam Dept	h) Node:			(I/s)		
	30 min	30 minute summer		Infiltra	ation	8.8		

Appendix M – Exceedance Routes

TRANSPORT PLANNING 📄 HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE 📄 FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 BHN. Tel. 01920 871 777 – e: contact@castp.co.uk, www.eastp.co.uk



	ł	KEY									
		Ą	S F	SURFACE ROUTE	WATER	FLOV	V EXCEE	DANC	Έ		
	REV	DATE	BY		DESCRIPT	ION		снк	APD		
2	DRAWI	NG STATUS:									
	PLANNING										
~ /	Ordnance Survey (c) Crown Copyright 2018. All rights reserved. Licence number 100022432										
45	CLIEN	Т:		www	.edstp.co.u	ĸ					
	ARCHI	TECT:									
	PROJECT: ACORN LODGE LONDON ROAD, FLAMSTEAD										
	SURFACE WAIER FLOW PATH AND										
	EXCEEDANCE ROUTE										
	SCALE	© A3: 1:500		DESIGN-DR	AWN: MC	C	ATE: 21.03	.2024			
	PROJE	CT No:		DRAWING N	o:	I					
		3824 SK12 REV A									