|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Application No. TPP/0161/24 | | | Adress:- Queen Elizabeths School  Queens Road  Barnet  EN5 4DQ | | | | | | TPO ref:  19/TPO/012 | | | Date: -  26/03/2024 |
| Assessment by: | JM | | | Species: Oak | | | | | | | | |
| Proposed works | x 1 oak (T260 applicants ref) remove/reduce decayed limb over access road to sports field at Queen Elizabeth's School EN5 4DQ. Standing within A1 of order. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Reasons for works | Dangerous limb | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Importance of position in landscape (Helliwell tb 4) | 1 | Presence of other trees  (Helliwell tb 4) | | | 1 | | | Suitability to setting (Helliwell tb 7) | | | 4 | |
| Comments: | Tree located on a bank and part of a long row between school buildings and sports fields | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ownership | Tree within application address | | Yes | If no | | | Owner consulted | | Yes/no |  | |  |
| Past tree management | Minimal | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Condition of tree | Upper crown: | | N/A | | | | | | | | | |
| Main stem and branches | | Branch with significant decay and likely to fail. Cavity with potential for bat roost, advised to retain cavity if possible. | | | | | | | | | |
| Base of tree | |  | | | | | | | | | |
| Rooting area | |  | | | | | | | | | |
| Other observations | |  | | | | | | | | | |
| Safe useful life expectancy | 50 | | Visual appearance/form:  Part of a row of trees. | | | | | | | | | |
| Suitability of works | Acceptable | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Recommendation | Allow 5 day notice | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  |  | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Photographs | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | |  | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | |  | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | |  | | | | | | |

Key:-

**Table 4 Scores for importance of position to the general populace**

“No importance” = Score 0

“Very little importance = Score 0.5”

“Little importance” = Score 1

“Some importance” = Score 2

“Considerable = Score 3 importance”

Table 5 Scores for the importance of

**Table 6 Scores relating to the presence of other trees**

Woodland = more than 70% of the visual area covered by trees, and at least 100 trees in total Score 0.5

Many = more than 30% of the visual area covered by trees, and at least 10 trees in total Score 1

Some = more than 10% of the visual area covered by trees, and at least four trees in total Score 2

Few = less than 10% of the visual area covered by trees, but at least one other tree present Score 3

None = no other trees present in the area Score 4 under consideration

**Table 7 Scores for relation to setting**

Suitability to setting Totally unsuitable (Much too large, much too small, obscuring attractive view, disrupting formal composition, totally wrong colour, etc. Landscape would be improved if tree removed.) Score 0

Moderately unsuitable Score 0.5

Just suitable Score 1 Fairly suitable (Fairly well placed. A definite asset to the landscape.) Score 2

Very suitable (Well placed or screening unsightly views.) Score 3

Particularly suitable (Well placed and screening unsightly views, or making a special contribution to local character.) Score 4