5.1 Landscape and Visual Impact

- 5.1.1 Chapter 7 of the 2013 ES reported the likely significant landscape and visual effects of the development on existing receptors during the construction and operational phase.
- 5.1.2 This Section has been prepared in order to outline the key changes in relation to the Consented Scheme and to identify changes to the findings and conclusions associated with the 2013 ES.

Legislation, Planning Policy and Guidance

- 5.1.3 Since the 2013 ES were produced no notable changes have been made to legislation regarding landscape and visual matters. The National Planning Policy Framework (2023) and The London Plan (2021) have been updated. The changes do not alter the approach to the 2013 ES's methodology, nor do they change the effects previously predicted.
- 5.1.4 The Royal Borough of Greenwich Unitary Development Plan (UDP) (2006) has been superseded by the Royal Greenwich Local Plan: Core Strategy with Detailed Policies (2016). In advance of the Royal Greenwich Local Plan: Site Allocations adoption the Royal Greenwich Local Plan: Core Strategy with Detailed Policies includes an Addendum of the Site Proposal Schedules that includes various Woolwich Royal Arsenal sites under 'Mixed Uses'.
- 5.1.5 The following UDP policies referenced in the 2013 ES have been updated within the Royal Greenwich Local Plan: Core Strategy with Detailed Policies:
 - UDP Policy TC1 Woolwich Royal Arsenal is covered in PolicyTC2 Woolwich Town Centre.
 - UDP Policy D1 Urban Design is covered in Policy DH1 Design.
 - UDP Policy D16 Conservation Areas is covered in Policy DH(h) Conservation Areas
 - UDP Policy W1 Waterfront Area has not been carried forward
 - UDP Policy W2 Thames Policy Area is covered by Policy DH(k) Thames Policy Area.
- 5.1.6 Local View 8 'St. Mary's Churchyard towards Mast Pond Wharf and beyond' and Local View 2 'Shrewsbury Park towards the Lower Thames' identified in Map 9 of the UDP are carried forward to Royal Greenwich Local Plan: Core Strategy with Detailed Policies and are set out in Policy DH(g).
- 5.1.7 Since the 2013 ES assessment was undertaken RBG have commissioned Alan Baxter to produce the Woolwich Town Centre Heritage Study (2018) and the Characterisation and Intensification Study (2023) have been prepared to inform the new Royal Greenwich Local Plan.
- 5.1.8 The London Borough of Newham UDP (2001) has also been replaced by Newham 2027, Newham's Local Plan (2018).
- 5.1.9 The changes to the local planning policy and guidance do not alter the approach to the 2013 ES's methodology, nor do they change the effects previously predicted.

Non-Material Amendments

- 5.1.10 The amendments to the Proposed Development at the operational phase, which are relevant to this assessment, comprise the following changes to the outline components (in summary):
 - Removal of Building K1 in Plot K;
 - Increased public realm (replacing Building K1).
 - Increase height of the zones that contain Buildings 1, 2, 3 and 4 in Plot D and Buildings 3 and 4 in Plot K by 3m.
 - Increase height of the zone that contains Building 5 in Plot K by 3.5m.
 - Increase height of the setback along Duke of Wellington Avenue of the zones that contains Buildings 1 and 2 in Plot D by 3 metres.
 - Removing the setback along Beresford Street of the zones that contain Buildings 3 and 4 in Plot K.
 - Removal of the link buildings between buildings D1-D2 and D4-D5.
 - Minor modifications of the footprint of Plots D and K.
 - Alterations to the Secondary Road and Service / Maintenance Route.
 - The vehicular entrance into Plot D moved from the east to the north side.
 - Changes to the parking area in Plot D.
- 5.1.11 The changes are set out in further detail within the amended drawings and the Design Note which accompany the Section 96 application. Together, these drawings and documents form the basis of this addendum's assessment.

5.2 Assessment Methodology and Significance Criteria

- 5.2.1 The approach to the assessment methodology for the landscape character and visual amenity detailed in the original 2013 ES followed the Guidelines for Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment published by the Landscape Institute and Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment, 2002. This guidance has been superseded by the Third Edition of the Guidelines published in 2013. Notwithstanding this updated guidance the overall approach advocated is similar and it is considered that the methodology remains essentially unchanged and valid for the purposes of this ES Addendum.
- 5.2.2 The Landscape Institute issued a Technical Guidance Note (TGN) 06/19 Visual Representations of Development Proposals in September 2019 and this was considered in preparing the Accurate Visual Representations (AVRs) set out Figures 5.1.1 to 5.1.15 in **Appendix 5.1.1**.

Extent of The Study Area

5.2.3 The 2013 ES assessment did not define a study area, instead it established landscape character areas (LCAs) and visual receptors that were likely to be impacted by the Consented Scheme. These have been reviewed and expanded where relevant considering the amended Proposed Development.

Method of Baseline Collection

- 5.2.4 The approach to the appraisal of the baseline situation set out in the 2013 ES remains unchanged and valid and was checked as part of field studies on 18th November 2022 and 1st February 2024.
- 5.2.5 At the time of undertaking the February 2024 field study the Plot A Buildings A1 to A4 and all the Plot B buildings were built and occupied, and the Plot A Buildings A5 and A6 were under construction. Between Plots A and B, the new public realm of Maribor Park was implemented and temporary landscaping, car parking and construction compound was present within the remaining areas of the site.

Method of Assessment

5.2.6 There are no changes to the method of assessment at both the demolition and construction phase and operational phase, as set out in paragraphs 7.3.3 to 7.3.5 of the 2013 ES.

5.3 Significance Criteria

5.3.1 There are no changes to the classification of significance of effects, as set out in paragraph 7.3.6 of the 2013 ES.

5.4 Consultation

- 5.4.1 As part of the pre-application consultation the approach to testing the representative views to support the ES Addendum was discussed with RBG Officers. Four representative views from viewpoints positioned close to the site have been tested as wireline AVRs using winter 2023 baseline photography to demonstrate the changes within the baseline condition. The photography has then been superimposed with wireline AVRs of the proposed development. A wireline of the consented development of Plots D and K has also been provided to allow comparison with the Consented Scheme.
- 5.4.2 It was considered that the increased height and, to a limited extent, footprint is likely to be visible within the immediate eastern and western views to the site. It is considered that the increased height and footprint would be imperceptible within the mid-range to long-range views and therefore these have not been tested as AVRs.

5.5 Review of Baseline Conditions

Landscape

- 5.5.1 No changes have occurred to the topographical, drainage, and vegetation features discussed in the 2013 ES.
- 5.5.2 The built environment around the site and along the river has, and is, undergoing change since the 2013 ES, with the construction of the Mast Quay taller buildings and phases of the Royal Arsenal Riverside masterplan. The Woolwich Elizabeth Line Station (formerly know as Crossrail) is also operational. Key landmarks and features of the local context within and adjacent to the site of relevance to this chapter are shown on **Figures 5.1.1 to 5.1.2**.

- 5.5.3 The Site's landscape has changed since the 2013 ES assessment, as shown in **Figure 5.1.2**. It can be split up into the following areas:
 - The northern area, bound by the River Thames and Duke of Wellington Avenue, includes the occupied Plot A Buildings A1 to A4 and all the Plot B buildings which range between 16 and 22 storeys in height along with the northern area of Maribor Park.
 - The central area includes the temporary landscaped area of Maribor Park, which is framed by Duke of Wellington Avenue, Beresford Street and New Warren Lane.
 - To the south is the six storey Premier Inn, a public surface car park, the former Woolwich Catholic Club, and Royal Arsenal Riverside Project Office and surface car park.
- 5.5.4 The discussed changes do not fundamentally affect the conclusions of the 2013 ES baseline descriptions sensitivity in the context of the LVIA.

Landscape Character Areas

- 5.5.5 No significant changes that would affect the findings of the 2013 ES assessment have occurred to the previously identified baseline conditions within the LCAs 03, 04, 05, 06, 07, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 as located on **Figure 5.1.1.**
- 5.5.6 Alterations have occurred within LCAs 01 and 02, with the implementation of previously identified 2013 ES's cumulative schemes being either built out or under construction along with further developments. Within the LCAs 01 and 02 this included various Royal Arsenal Riverside buildings being either under construction or operational (as highlighted in Figure 5.1.2) and the Mast Quay developments being built and operational.
- 5.5.7 In-line with the Royal Greenwich Local Plan: Core Strategy with Detailed Policies Site Proposal Schedule Addendum 'mu24 Woolwich Royal Arsenal' LCAs 01 and 02 are changing. The building heights are also increasing with the implementation of the site's Plots A and B buildings.
- 5.5.8 The discussed changes do not fundamentally affect the conclusions of the 2013 ES baseline descriptions. Both LCAs 01 and 02 continue to have a high and medium sensitivity, as identified within the 2013 ES assessment.

Visual Receptors

- 5.5.9 The visual receptors are shown on **Figures 5.1.3**. The residents of the operational Plots A and B buildings are included in visual receptor 'A'. The Woolwich Catholic Club (visual receptor L) has closed since the 2013 ES was produced and is replaced in this assessment with the residents of Woolwich Reach, situated to the north of the River Thames, which has a high sensitivity due to its location.
- 5.5.10 The discussed changes to the baseline condition do not fundamentally affect the conclusions of the 2013 ES baseline descriptions and the remaining visual receptor sensitivity.

5.6 Assessment of Effects, Mitigation and Residual Effects

Demolition & Construction Phase

5.6.1 There are no changes to the ongoing demolition and construction phase, or approach of the Proposed Development as set out within the 2013 ES other than the amended programme. Cranes, large piling drives and earthmoving equipment and batching plants would continue to be used and would be screened by hoarding around the perimeter of the construction compound and scaffolding on the Proposed Development's structure.

Landscape Character and Visual Receptors

- 5.6.2 Accordingly, the demolition and construction of the Proposed Development do not change the findings of the previous assessment of effects on the identified LCAs and Visual Receptors. There will be no additional significant effects. Accordingly, there is no change to the findings of the previous 2013 ES assessment.
- 5.6.3 The construction of the Proposed Development would be visible from visual receptor 'Z: Residents of Woolwich Reach' and result in a medium magnitude of change, resulting in a major/moderate and adverse (significant) effect.

Mitigation

5.6.4 No further mitigation is required to that set out within the 2013 ES assessment's paragraph 7.6.1.

Residual effects

5.6.5 The residual effects of the demolition and construction phase of the Proposed Development set out in Table 7.10 of the 2013 ES remains unchanged and is summarised in **Table 5.1.1**.

Table 5.1.1: Summary of residual effects during demolition and construction phase

Recept or		FICANCE OF	EFFE(CTS	Summary of mitigation enhancement measures	SIGNIFICANCE OF RESIDUAL EFFECTS					
	Major / Moderate / Minor / Negligible	Adverse / Beneficial		D/ST/ MT/ LT		Major / Moderate / Minor / Negligible	Adverse / Beneficial	P/T	D/I	ST/MT, LT	
	andscape Character Areas (LCA) (as shown in Figure 5.1.1)										
Landso	ape Charact	ter Areas (L	.CA) (a	s snowr	n in Figure 5.1	1.1)					
Landso	Moderate	Adverse	.CA) (a	s snowr	n in Figure 5.1	Moderate	Adverse	Т	1	ST	
			T		n in Figure 5.1		Adverse Adverse	T T	l D	ST ST	



	SIGNIF	ICANCE OF	EFFE	СТ	S	Summary of	SIGNIFICANCE OF RESIDUAL EFFECTS					
Recept or Visual R	Major / Moderate / Minor / Negligible Receptors (as	Adverse / Beneficial		I	ST/ MT/ LT	mitigation enhancement measures	Major / Moderate / Minor / Negligible	Adverse / Beneficial	P/T	D/I	ST/MT, LT	
visual i	leceptors (as		igui	- J.	1.3)							
A	Major	Adverse	Т	D	ST		Major	Adverse	Т	D	ST	
В	Major	Adverse	Т	D	ST		Major	Adverse	Т	D	ST	
С	Major / moderate	Adverse	Т	D	ST		Major / moderate	Adverse	Т	D	ST	
D	Moderate	Adverse	Т	D	ST		Moderate	Adverse	Т	D	ST	
E	Moderate	Adverse	Т	D	ST		Moderate	Adverse	Т	D	ST	
F	Moderate	Adverse	Т	D	ST		Moderate	Adverse	Т	D	ST	
G	Moderate / minor	Adverse	Т	D	ST		Minor	Adverse	Т	D	ST	
Н	Moderate / minor	Adverse	Т	D	ST		Minor	Adverse	Т	D	ST	
I	Moderate	Adverse	Т	D	ST		Moderate	Adverse	Т	D	ST	
J	Moderate	Adverse	Т	D	ST		Moderate	Adverse	Т	D	ST	
K	Major / moderate	Adverse	Т	D	ST		Major	Adverse	Т	D	ST	
L	Major / moderate	Adverse	Т	D	ST		Moderate	Adverse	Т	D	ST	
М	Negligible	Adverse	Т	D	ST		Negligible	Adverse	Т	D	ST	
N	Moderate / minor	Adverse	Т	D	ST		Moderate	Adverse	Т	D	ST	
0	Moderate / minor	Adverse	Т	D	ST		Moderate	Adverse	Т	D	ST	
Р	Minor	Adverse	Т	D	ST		Minor	Adverse	Т	D	ST	
Q	Moderate / minor	Adverse	Т	D	ST		Moderate / minor	Adverse	Т	D	ST	
R	Major / moderate	Adverse	Т	D	ST		Moderate	Adverse	Т	D	ST	
S	Major / moderate	Adverse	Т	D	ST		Moderate	Adverse	Т	D	ST	
Т	Major / moderate	Adverse	Т	D	ST		Moderate	Adverse	Т	D	ST	
U	Moderate / minor		Т		ST		Minor	Adverse	Т	D	ST	
V	Moderate / minor	Adverse	Т	D	ST		Minor	Adverse	Т	D	ST	
W	Negligible	Adverse	Т	D	ST		Negligible	Adverse	Т	D	ST	
Х	Negligible	Adverse	Т	D	ST		Negligible	Adverse	Т	D	ST	
Y	Minor	Adverse	Т	D	ST		Minor	Adverse	Т	D	ST	

Operational Phase

5.6.6 This section identifies and assesses the scale and nature of the main effects arising from the Proposed Development during the operational phase. As set out in the Assumptions and Limitations section, the assessment of the operational phase considers that the Proposed Development would incorporate various inherent measures to protect and enhance both the LCAs and the views experienced by the visual receptors.

Landscape Character

- 5.6.7 The Proposed Development would continue to indirectly affect LCA 01: Woolwich Royal Arsenal. Careful consideration has continued to be given to ensure generally low- to mediumrise buildings would be located next to the historic buildings, with high-rise elements further away. This makes sure that the Proposed Development would not be visually overbearing on the sensitive historic character of the area. The Proposed Development is considered to continue to result in a permanent, medium magnitude of change, resulting in a major/moderate and adverse (significant) effect on LCA 01: Woolwich Royal Arsenal.
- 5.6.8 The Proposed Development falls within LCA 02: Woolwich Town Centre and the proposed amendments would directly its north-eastern area. Indirect impacts would also result from intervisibility with the wider LCA to the south and west, beyond the dual carriageway of Beresford Street. It is considered to continue to result in a permanent, high magnitude of change. The introduction of high quality buildings and the associated public realm improvements proposed would result in the Proposed Development to continue to have a major/moderate and beneficial (significant) effect on LCA 02: Woolwich Town Centre.
- 5.6.9 The Proposed Development would continue to indirectly affect LCA 07: River Thames, due to the sites position on this waterway. The introduction of improved public realm alongside the river and high quality buildings would result in the Proposed Development to continue to have a major/moderate and beneficial (significant) effect on LCA 07: River Thames.
- 5.6.10 It is considered that due to the Proposed Development being appropriate to the character of its surrounding context, indirect effects on other LCAs would continue to not be significant.

Visual Receptors

- 5.6.11 As with the 2013 ES assessment the visual impact assessment considered the impacts on identified visual receptor groups likely to result from the Proposed Development. Visual receptor locations are shown on **Figure 5.1.3**.
- 5.6.12 To inform this assessment four of the previously tested, immediate, representative views were produced as wireline AVRs, the locations of which are shown on **Figure 5.1.3**. These are set out in **Figures 5.1.4 to 5.1.15** and show the maximum footprint and height parameters proposed for Plots D and K as a blue wireline.
- 5.6.13 It was considered that the increased height and, to a limited extent, footprint is likely to be visible within the immediate eastern and western views to the site. It is considered that the increased height and footprint would be imperceptible within the mid-range to long-range views and therefore these have not been tested as AVRs.
- 5.6.14 Overall, the changes to the Proposed Development does not change the findings of the 2013 ES assessment's Table 7.8 Summary of Visual Effects during the operational phase. The findings are summarised in **Table** 5.1.1**5.1.2** and would result in no additional significant effects.
- 1.6.3 The Proposed Development would be visible from the visual receptor Z: Residents of Woolwich Reach. The Plots A and B Buildings are and would be clearly visible across the River Thames, along with the taller element of Plot J. Glimpsed views would be gained to the proposed Plots D and K Buildings behind these buildings. The Proposed Development would be viewed in the existing urban context and alongside other high-rise buildings within

Royal Arsenal Riverside and Mast Quays, and result in a medium magnitude of change, resulting in a major/moderate and beneficial (significant) effect.

Mitigation

5.6.15 No further mitigation is required to that set out within the 2013 ES assessment's paragraph 7.6.2.

Residual effects

5.6.16 The residual effects of the operational phase of the Proposed Development set out in Table 7.11 of the 2013 ES remains unchanged and is summarised in **Table 5.1.1**.

Table 5.1.2: Summary of residual effects during operational phase

	SIGNIF	ICANCE OF		-	-	Summary of mitigation enhancement measures	SIGNIFICANCE OF RESIDUAL EFFECTS					
Recept or Landsc	Major / Moderate / Minor / Negligible cape Characte	Adverse / Beneficial er Areas (L		I	ST / MT / LT		Major / Moderate / Minor / Negligible	Adverse / Beneficial	P/T	D/I	ST/MT/ LT	
LCA01	Major / moderate	Beneficial	P	I	LT		Moderate	Beneficial	Ρ	I	LT	
LCA02	Major / moderate	Adverse	Р	D	LT		Major	Adverse	Р	D	LT	
LCA07	Major / moderate	Beneficial	P	I	LT		Moderate	Beneficial	Р	I	LT	
Visual F	Receptors (see	Figure 5.1	.3)					-i				
A	Major	Adverse	Ρ	D	LT		Major	Adverse	Р	D	LT	
В	Major	Beneficial	Р	D	LT		Major	Beneficial	Р	D	LT	
С	Moderate	Adverse	Р	D	LT		Moderate	Adverse	Р	D	LT	
D	Negligible	Beneficial	Р	D	LT		Negligible	Beneficial	Р	D	LT	
E	Negligible	Adverse	Ρ	D	LT		Negligible	Adverse	Р	D	LT	
F	Moderate / minor	Adverse	Р	D	LT		Minor	Adverse	Р	D	LT	
G	Moderate / minor	Beneficial	Р	D	LT		Minor	Beneficial	Р	D	LT	
Н	Moderate / minor	Beneficial	Р	D	LT		Minor	Beneficial	Р	D	LT	
I	Moderate / minor	Beneficial	Р	D	LT		Moderate	Beneficial	Ρ	D	LT	
J	Moderate / minor	Beneficial	Р	D	LT		Moderate	Beneficial	Р	D	LT	
К	Major / moderate	Beneficial	Р	D	LT		Major	Beneficial	Р	D	LT	
L	Major / moderate	Beneficial	Р	D	LT		Moderate	Beneficial	Р	D	LT	
М	Negligible	Beneficial	Р	D	LT		Negligible	Beneficial	Р	D	LT	
N	Minor	Adverse	Р	D	LT		Minor	Adverse	Р	D	LT	
0	Moderate / minor	Beneficial	Р	D	LT		Moderate	Beneficial	Р	D	LT	
Р	Minor	Beneficial	Р	D	LT		Minor	Beneficial	Р	D	LT	
Q	Moderate / minor	Beneficial	Ρ	D	LT		Moderate	Beneficial	Ρ	D	LT	

Recept or	SIGNIF	ICANCE OF	EFFE	СТ	S	Summary of mitigation enhancement measures	SIGNIFICANCE OF RESIDUAL EFFECTS					
	Major / Moderate / Minor / Negligible	Adverse / Beneficial	P/T	D/ I	ST / MT / LT		Major / Moderate / Minor / Negligible	Adverse / Beneficial	P/T	D/I	ST/MT/ LT	
R	Major / moderate	Beneficial	Ρ	D	LT		Moderate	Beneficial	Ρ	D	LT	
S	Major / moderate	Beneficial	Ρ	D	LT		Moderate	Beneficial	Р	D	LT	
Т	Major / moderate	Beneficial	Р	D	LT		Moderate	Beneficial	Р	D	LT	
U	Moderate / minor	Beneficial	Р	D	LT		Minor	Beneficial	Р	D	LT	
V	Moderate / minor	Beneficial	Ρ	D	LT		Moderate / minor	Beneficial	Р	D	LT	
W	Negligible	Beneficial	Р	D	LT		Negligible	Beneficial	Р	D	LT	
Х	Negligible	Beneficial	Р	D	LT		Negligible	Beneficial	Р	D	LT	
Y	Minor	Beneficial	Р	D	LT		Minor	Beneficial	Р	D	LT	

5.7 Cumulative Effects

Demolition & Construction Phase

5.7.1 At the demolition and construction phase the Proposed Development along with the previously assessed and new cumulative schemes would not change the findings of the previous identified cumulative effects on the identified LCAs and visual receptors and result in no additional significant effects. Accordingly, there is no change to the findings of the 2013 ES.

Operational Phase

5.7.2 The Proposed Development along with the previously assessed and new cumulative schemes would not change the findings of the previous identified cumulative effects on the identified LCAs and visual receptors at the operational phase and result in no additional significant effects. Accordingly, there is no change to the findings of the 2013 ES.

5.8 Limitation and Assumptions

- 5.8.1 At the demolition and construction phase the assessment has considered the worst-case scenario which is that the enabling and construction stage for the works carried out of the Proposed Development occurs simultaneously.
- 5.8.2 The assessment of the operational phase has been informed by the AVRs, set out in **Figures 5.1.4 to 5.1.15** the Proposed Development would accord with the principles set out within the Parameter Plans and Design Note. All of which are submitted in support of the application.

5.9 Summary & Conclusions

- 5.9.1 This Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment has considered the baseline situation of the landscape character areas and visual receptors of the site and its surrounding area. It then considered the predicted effects of the amended proposed development against the consented proposed development.
- 5.9.2 At the time of undertaking the field study the Plot A Buildings A1 to A4 and all the Plot B buildings were built and occupied, and the Plot A Buildings A5 and A6 were under construction. Between Plots A and B, the new public realm of Maribor Park was implemented and temporary landscaping, car parking and construction compound was present within the remaining areas of the site.
- 5.9.3 No significant changes have occurred to the previously identified baseline conditions within the LCAs 03, 04, 05, 06, 07, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16, the location of which are shown in Figure 5.1.1. Alterations have occurred within LCAs 01 and 02 this included various Royal Arsenal Riverside buildings being either under construction or operational (as highlighted in Figure 5.1.2) and the Mast Quay developments being built and operational. This has not altered the previously identified sensitivity or key characteristics of the townscape.
- 5.9.4 The visual receptors are shown on **Figure 5.1.3**. The residents of the operational Plots A and B buildings are included in the visual receptor 'A'. An additional visual receptor of 'Z' has been identified with the construction and operation of Woolwich Reach, which has a high sensitivity due to its location.
- 5.9.5 The amendments to the proposed development at the demolition and construction phase are not relevant to this technical area.
- 5.9.6 The amendments to the Proposed Development at the operational phase, which are relevant to this assessment, comprise the following changes:
 - Removal of Building K1 in Plot K;
 - Increased public realm (replacing Building K1).

- Increase height of the zones that contain Buildings 1, 2, 3 and 4 in Plot D and Buildings 3 and 4 in Plot K by 3m.
- Increase height of the zone that contains Building 5 in Plot K by 3.5m.
- Increase height of the setback along Duke of Wellington Avenue of the zones that contains Buildings 1 and 2 in Plot D by 3 metres.
- Removing the setback along Beresford Street of the zones that contain Buildings 3 and 4 in Plot K.
- Removal of the link buildings between buildings D1-D2 and D4-D5.
- Minor modifications of the footprint of Plots D and K.
- Alterations to the Secondary Road and Service / Maintenance Route.
- The vehicular entrance into Plot D moved from the east to the north side.
- Changes to the parking area in Plot D.
- 5.9.7 During the demolition and construction phase no changes occurred to the effects summarised in the 2013 ES.
- 5.9.8 There are no changes to the LCAs and visual receptors findings of the previous 2013 ES were produced.
- 5.9.9 Overall, the amendments to the proposed development resulted in limited, positive, localised changes to the landscape character and visual situation previously assessed. It will not give rise to any new or materially different residual effects than those set out within the 2013 ES.

References

Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities (2023) National Planning Policy Framework

Greater London Authority (2021) The London Plan: Spatial Development Strategy for Greater London

Royal Borough of Greenwich (2006) Unitary Development Plan

Royal Borough of Greenwich (2016) Royal Greenwich Local Plan: Core Strategy with Detailed Policies

Royal Borough of Greenwich (2018) Woolwich Town Centre Heritage Study

(2023) Characterisation and Intensification Study

London Borough of Newham (2001) Unitary Development Plan

London Borough of Newham (2018) Newham Local Plan

Landscape Institute and Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment (2002) Guidelines for Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (Second Edition)

Landscape Institute and Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment (2013) Guidelines for Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (Third Edition)

Landscape Institute (2019) Technical Guidance Note: 06/19 Visual Representations of Development Proposals