

The Chalet Polgooth, Cornwall

Bat and Nesting Bird Visual Survey

Ref: BE2026

Date:

4th April 2024

Prepared by: Dr Janine Bright MCIEEM CEnv

For:

Mrs Sheila Lange





Contents

1.	Introduction	3
2.	Methodology	3
2.1	Visual survey methodology	3
3.	Survey results	4
3.1	Habitat description	4
3.2	Visual bat survey results	4
3.3	Nesting bird survey results	5
4.	Conclusions and recommendations	5
5.	References	7

1. INTRODUCTION

It is proposed to demolish The Chalet, Bal East Lane, Polgooth, Cornwall, PL26 7AU and construct a replacement dwelling. The OS Grid reference of the site is SW 99640 50412.

Bright Environment Ltd was commissioned by Mrs Lange in March 2024 to carry out a visual bat and nesting bird survey to inform the planning application. Bats and nesting birds are legally protected (see Appendix 1).

2. METHODOLOGY

The survey methodology adopted follows the guidance given in 'Bat Surveys for Professional Ecologists – Good Practice Guidelines' (Collins, 2023) and 'Barn owl survey methodology and techniques for use in ecological assessment' (Shawyer, 2011). Impact assessment and mitigation follows the guidance provided by CIEEM (2018) and the 'Bat Mitigation Guidelines' (Mitchell-Jones, 2004).

2.1 Visual survey methodology

A visual survey of the building was carried out on 4th April 2024. During this the suitability of the building and surrounding habitats to support bats and nesting birds was assessed. The survey area was defined as the chalet and the attached outbuilding.

A detailed search of the interior and exterior of the building was carried out using a high powered torch to illuminate all areas thought suitable for bats and nesting birds. Any accessible cracks and crevices were investigated with the use of a torch and endoscope.

The survey involved looking for bats and nesting birds and for evidence of their use, including droppings, pellets, staining, liming, feathers and feeding remains. Survey details are shown in Table 1.

Potential bat roosts identified during the visual inspection of the building were categorised as to their suitability in accordance with the Bat Conservation Trust's (BCT) Good Practice Guidelines (Collins, 2023) as described below:

- Negligible: negligible features with potential to support roosting bats.
- Low: one or more features with potential to support individual bats on an occasional basis. Unlikely to support large numbers of bats.
- Moderate: one or more features with potential to support roosting bats but unlikely to be of high conservation status.
- High: one or more features with potential to support large numbers of bats on a regular basis.

Table 1 Survey details.

Date	Type of survey	Personnel - bat licence number	Weather conditions
4.4.24	Visual survey	Dr Janine Bright 2020-49235-CLS-CLS	Light rain, calm, overcast. Temp 13C



3. SURVEY RESULTS

3.1 Habitat description

The chalet is located within the village of Polgooth. It is a rural setting and there are mature trees and large areas of woodland nearby. These habitats provide good foraging opportunities for bats.

The chalet is a timber framed and clad structure. It has a shallow pitched gable roof. The roof covering is bitumen felt. There is a 'lean-to' outbuilding on the south elevation. This has two walls that are constructed from concrete block, one that is clad in corrugated metal sheet and the other is the chalet external wall. The mono-pitch roof of the outbuilding is corrugated sheet (metal and plastic).



Photograph 1. South and east elevation.

Photograph 2. North elevation.



Photograph 3. Outbuilding (internal).

3.2 Visual bat survey results

No evidence of bats was found within the outbuilding. It was possible to carry out a thorough search and it is considered very unlikely that any evidence was overlooked.

A search around the outside of the chalet did not reveal any potential bat access points. The wooden soffits are tightly fitted to the wooden cladding (see photograph 3). The bitumen felt is tightly fitted. There are no potential access points that would allow bats to gain entry to the building and roost unseen.

No evidence of bats was found within the building.





Photograph 3. Tightly fitted soffits.

3.3 Nesting bird survey results

No evidence of nesting birds was found at the time of the survey.

4. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

No evidence of bats or nesting birds was found and it is considered unlikely that any evidence was overlooked. It was possible to carry out a thorough search of all potential bat roost areas. The building has been assessed as having negligible potential as a bat roost. No further surveys for bats are required.

In the unlikely event that bats are discovered during the works, they must not be handled, and works must stop immediately and advice sought from Bright Environment Ltd (Tel 07974 204078) or Natural (Tel 0300 060 3900).

It is possible that birds could nest before the works commence. The nests and eggs of all wild birds are protected against taking, damage or destruction under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981. If the works are to be carried out within the bird breeding season (March to September) the building should be searched for nesting birds. If nesting birds are present, works should not commence until dependant young have fledged. Further advice can be sought from Bright Environment Ltd (Tel 07974 204078) or Natural England (Tel 0300 0602544).

In compliance with the Biodiversity Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) the new dwelling will include one bee brick and one integral bat block. The bee brick will be installed on the south-facing wall 1-2m above ground level. Bee bricks contain multiple cavities for bees to lay their eggs and are integral to a building (see photograph 4). The bat block will be installed flush with the wall surface (examples are shown in Photograph 5) and sited near the roof in a dark location.



Photograph 4. Example bee brick.









Photograph 5. 'Green and Blue' bat block and built in woodstone bat box '.

As ecological features can change over time it is recommended that this report is valid until May 2025.



5. REFERENCES

Baker, J., Hoskin, R. and Butterworth, T. (2019). Biodiversity net gain. Good practice Principles for development. A practical Guide, CIRIA, 2019. ISBN 978-0-86017-791-3.

BCT (2022). The National Bat Monitoring Programme. Annual Report 2022. The Bat Conservation Trust, London.

Bat Conservation Trust (2023). Guidance Note 8 Bats and Artificial Lighting at Night. Bat Conservation Trust, London.

British Standard Institute (2013). BS 42020:2013 Biodiversity Code of Practice for Planning and Development. BSI, London.

Burns F, Eaton MA, Balmer DE, Banks A, Caldow R, Donelan JL, Douse A, Duigan C, Foster S, Frost T, Grice PV, Hall C, Hanmer HJ, Harris SJ, Johnstone I, Lindley P, McCulloch N, Noble DG, Risely K, Robinson RA, Wotton S (2020) The state of the UK's birds 2020. The RSPB, BTO, WWT, DAERA, JNCC, NatureScot, NE and NRW, Sandy, Bedfordshire.

Cornwall Council (2016) Cornwall Local Plan: Strategic Policies 2010-2030. Available from: https://www.cornwall.gov.uk/environment-and-planning/planning/planning-policy/adoptedplans/

Cornwall Council (2023) Biodiversity Guide. Available from:

https://www.cornwall.gov.uk/environment-and-planning/planning/planning-policy/adoptedplans/planning-policy-guidance/cornwall-planning-for-biodiversity-guide/

CBI [Cornwall Biodiversity Initiative] (1997-2004) Cornwall's Biodiversity Volumes 1, 2 & 3. Cornwall Wildlife Trust, Truro.

CEC [Council of the European Communities] (1979) Council Directive 79/409/EEC on the Conservation of Wild Birds [Referred to as EC Birds Directive]. Official Journal of the European Communities: L103.

CEC [Council of the European Communities] (1992) Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora [Referred to as EC Habitats Directive]. Official Journal of the European Communities: L206.

CEDPD (2023) Climate Emergency Development Plan Document.

https://www.cornwall.gov.uk/planning-and-building-control/planning-policy/adopted-plans/climate-emergency-development-plan-document/

CIEEM [Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management] (2017) Guidelines for Ecological Report Writing. 2nd Edition. CIEEM, Winchester.

CIEEM (2018) Guidelines for Ecological Impact Assessment in the UK and Ireland: Terrestrial, Freshwater, Coastal and Marine version 1.1. Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management, Winchester.

CIEEM [Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management] (2017) Guidelines for Preliminary Ecological Appraisal Second Edition.

Collins, J. (ed.) (2023) Bat Surveys for Professional Ecologists – Good Practice Guidelines (4th Edition). The Bat Conservation Trust, London.

Cornwall Council (2021). European Sites Mitigation. Supplementary Planning Document. July 2021. https://www.cornwall.gov.uk/media/wmvnoxzz/european-sites-mitigation-spd-july-2021-marine-and-terrestrial-sites.pdf

Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities, (2023). National Planning Policy Framework.https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/65a11af7e8f5ec000f1f8c46/NPPF_December 2023.pdf

DEFRA, Natural England and Environment Agency (2019). Guidance. Stop invasive non- native plants from spreading. May 2019. https://www.gov.uk/guidance/prevent-the-spread-of-harmful-invasive-and-non-native- plants#treat-invasive-non-native-plants

DEFRA et al (2024). Multi Agency Geographic Information for the Countryside (MAGIC). Available at: http://magic.defra.gov.uk/.

DEFRA (2024) The statutory Biodiversity metric 4.0 – User Guide (February 2024). Available at https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/statutory-biodiversity-metric-tools-and-guides



EN [English Nature] (2006). The Dormouse Conservation Handbook. Second Edition. English Nature, Peterborough.

EN [English Nature] (2004) Reptiles: Guidelines for Developers. English Nature, Peterborough.

ERCCIS [Environmental Records Centre for Cornwall and the Isles of Scilly] Erecords computer database. Cornwall Wildlife Trust. Unpublished.

Froglife, 1999. Reptile survey: an introduction to planning, conducting and interpreting surveys for snake and lizard conservation. Froglife Advice Sheet 10. Froglife.

HM Government (2081) The Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981. HMSO, London.

HM Government (1992) Protection of Badgers Act 1992. HMSO, London.

HM Government (1997) The Hedgerow Regulations 1997. HMSO, London.

HM Government (2000) The Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000. HMSO, London.

HM Government (2006) The Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006. HMSO, London.

HM Government (2019) The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2019. HMSO, London.

JNCC [Joint Nature Conservation Committee] (2011a) UK BAP Priority Species and Habitats. Available at: http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/page-5705.

JNCC [Joint Nature Conservation Committee] (2010) Handbook for Phase 1 Habitat Survey. JNCC, Peterborough.

Joint Nature Conservation Committee (2004). Bat Worker's Manual (3rd Edition). Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Peterborough, UK.

Mitchell-Jones, A J (2004). Bat Mitigation Guidelines. English Nature, Peterborough.

Natural England & DEFRA (2019) Prevent harmful weeds and invasive non-native plants spreading. https://www.gov.uk/guidance/prevent-the-spread-of-harmful-invasive-and-non-native-plants

Schofield, H.W. (2008). *The Lesser Horseshoe Bat Conservation Handbook*. The Vincent Wildlife Trust.

Shawyer, C. R. (2011) Barn owl survey methodology and techniques for ise in ecological assessment – Developing best practice in survey and reporting. IEEM, Winchester (updated 2012).

Wray, S., Wells, D., Long, E. & Mitchell-Jones, T. (2010) Valuing bats in Ecological Impact Assessment. CIEEM In Practice Magazine (December 2010).



Appendix 1 Summary of relevant legislation, policies and case law

Bats

All British bat are European protected species and are afforded full protection under UK and European legislation, including the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) and the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010. Together, this legislation makes it illegal to:

- · Intentionally kill, injure or capture a bat;
- · Intentionally or recklessly disturb a bat;
- Intentionally or recklessly damage, destroy or obstruct access to a place of shelter or breeding (for example, bat roosts), and this applies regardless of whether the species is actually present at the time (for example, a bat roost used in the winter for hibernation is protected throughout the year, even during the summer when it is not occupied).
- Possess or transport a bat or any part of a bat, unless acquired legally;
- Sell, barter or exchange bats, or parts of a bat.
- Intentionally handle a wild bat or disturb an bat whilst using a place of shelter/ breeding unless licensed to do so by the statutory conservation agency (Natural England).

Barbastelle, Bechstein's, noctule, soprano pipistrelle, brown long-eared, greater horseshoe and lesser horseshoe bats are priority species for conservation on the UK BAP and protected under the NERC Act 2006. Barbastelle, pipistrelle, greater and lesser horseshoe bats are county priority BAP species (CBI, 2004).

Case Law

There are several case laws in Britain relating to the duty of developers and planning authorities with respect to wildlife, resulting in several key principles summarised in the table below:

Case / Appeal	Providing support for		
Morge v Hampshire County Council (2011)	'Disturbance' under the Conservation Regulations 2010 applies to an activity likely to impact negatively on the local population of a European Protected Species.		
R v Cheshire East Council 'The Woolley Case' (2009)	Regarding European Protected Species, Local Authorities must apply the 'three tests' under the Conservation Regulations 2010 when deciding on planning applications: that there is no satisfactory alternative, there is an appropriate reason for the development, and that the development will not affect the favourable conservation status of protected species present.		
APP/P9502/A/08/2070105 (Appeal decision, Brecon, 2008)	Para 18: Local Planning Authorities cannot condition provision of a mitigation scheme; detailed mitigation must be provided prior to determination.		
APP/C0820/A/07/2046271 (Appeal decision, Padstow, 2007)	Para 18: Full survey information must be provided prior to determination; not just for protected species, but also for BAP species (in this case corn buntings).		
R v London Borough Council Bromley (2006)	Para 30: Environmental Impact Assessment required at outline planning stage.		
R v Cornwall County Council 'The Cornwall Case' (2001)	Surveys for protected species cannot be conditioned; must be undertaken prior to determination.		



Barn owls and other nesting birds

The nests and eggs of all wild birds are protected against taking, damage and destruction under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981. Barn owls are given greater protection against disturbance while breeding under Schedule 1 of the Act.

National Planning Policy Framework 2023

The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) sets out national planning policy that is committed to minimising impacts on biodiversity and providing net gains in biodiversity. Under NPPF, local planning authorities have an obligation to promote the preservation, restoration and recreation of Priority habitats, ecological networks and the protection and recovery of Priority species.

