

Air Quality Impact Assessment for Kemira

AQIA –Goole_001



DATE 21st March 2024

REFERENCE 0729623



DOCUMENT DETAILS

The details entered below are automatically shown on the cover and the main page footer. PLEASE NOTE: This table must NOT be removed from this document.

DOCUMENT TITLE	Air Quality Impact Assessment for Kemira
DOCUMENT SUBTITLE	AQIA - Goole_001
PROJECT NUMBER	0729623
Date	21 st March 2024
Version	01
Author	Dr. Christie Hazell-Marshall
Client name	Kemira Chemicals UK Ltd

DISTRIBUTION LIST

Сору	Registered Holder			
Master	ERM			
Copy 1	Company / Organisation: Kemira Chemicals UK Ltd			
	Report recipient:	Gary Pickard		
	Contact:			

DOCUMENT HISTORY

			ERM APPROVAL TO ISSUE			
VERSION	REVISION	AUTHOR	REVIEWED BY	NAME	DATE	COMMENTS
01	01	C HazellMarshall	Jordan Eastwood	A Derbyshire	21/03/2024	Approved

CHANGE HISTORY

Revision	Date of Issue	No. of Pages	Reason for Change
1	21/03/2024	10	Initial Issue.



Air Quality Impact Assessment for Kemira AQIA – Goole_001

Dr. Christie Hazell-Marshall Associate Technical Partner Jordan Eastwood Managing Consultant

Andrew Derbyshire Technical Partner

Environmental Resources Management Limited

2nd Floor, Exchequer Court 33 Saint Mary Axe London EC3A 8AAT T +44 20 3206 5200

Disclaimer

The information and statements contained in this document are opinions only and reflect ERM's best judgement based on the available information. ERM shall not be responsible whatsoever for loss or damage (including, without limitation, loss of profits or any indirect loss), if any, suffered by any party as a result of decisions made or actions taken in reliance upon or in connection with the information contained in this report.

© Copyright 2024 by The ERM International Group Limited and/or its affiliates ('ERM'). All Rights Reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, without prior written permission of ERM.



CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	5
METHODOLOGY	5
RESULTS	7
CONCLUSIONS	9
	INTRODUCTION METHODOLOGY RESULTS CONCLUSIONS

LIST OF TABLES

	TABLES	
TABLE.1	EMISSIONS PARAMETERS	5
TABLE.2	MODEL PARAMETERS	6
TABLE.3	AIR QUALITY STANDARDS	6
TABLE.4	SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA	7
TABLE.5	RESULTS	8





erm.com

1. INTRODUCTION

ERM understands that Kemira have applied for a planning application to expand the existing plant in Goole. From the perspective of emissions to air, this change will alter the process from one emission point to five. The local authority, noting this change, have requested an Air Quality Impact Assessment (AQIA) be prepared to quantify the impacts of this change. ERM understands that the proposed change to the process will result in five new emission points that are essentially the same as the one existing, decommissioned, emission point. This report sets out the findings of the AQIA.

1.1METHODOLOGY

The process emits particulate matter (PM) and sulphur dioxide (SO₂). A dispersion model was prepared based on the design data to predict the Process Contribution (PC). The PC was combined with the baseline to calculate the Predicted Environmental Contribution (PEC). The PC and PEC were compared to the relevant air quality standards to determine the significance of the impacts.

Kemira provided ERM with emissions data, stack parameters and buildings design data for the proposed plant. These were combined with appropriate meteorological data, and model set up parameters.

Parameter	Units	EP 01	EP 02	EP 03	EP 04	EP 05
Stack height	m	17.43	17.43	17.50	17.40	8.22
Stack diameter	m	0.2678	0.2678	0.317	0.317	0.213
Exit velocity	m/s	15.79	15.79	16.90	16.90	0.58
Volume flow rate	Am³/s	0.89	0.89	1.33	1.33	0.021
Normalised Volume flow rate	Nm³/s	0.70	0.70	1.05	1.05	0.016
Temperature	Celsius	75	75	75	75	75
PM	g/s	0.00108	0.00108	0.00108	0.00108	0.00108
SO2	g/s	0.000103	0.000103	0.000103	0.000103	0.000103

The emissions data are summarised in Table 1.

TABLE.1 EMISSIONS PARAMETERS

The model parameters are summarised in Table 2.



REFERENCE Error! Use the Home tab to apply Reference details to the text that you want to appear here.

Parameter	Value	Notes	
Dispersion model	CERC ADMS 6.1	ADMS is widely recognized by UK regulators and is suitable for this type of application	
Number of model scenarios	1	One model scenario was run with the future configuration of four stacks	
Model domain	5 km x 5 km	This model domain captures nearby sensitive human receptors. No assessment of ecological receptors was required	
Receptor grid resolution	10	Resolution is <1.5 x lowest stack height	
Buildings	Included	The main plant structures were include as these are >1/3rd stack height within 5 x the stack height	
Terrain	Not included	There are no sustained gradients of >1:10 within the 5km x 5km model domain. Therefore, terrain effects will not be significant.	
Meteorological data	Leconfield, 2019 – 2023 inclusive	Hour-sequential data.	
Surface Roughness	1.00	Surface roughness representative of suburban areas	
Baseline	PM ₁₀ : 17.4 μg/m³ PM _{2.5} : 10.4 μg/m³ SO2: 5.00 μg/m³	Data obtained from East Riding of Yorkshire Council ¹ and DEFRA air quality background maps ²	

The Air Quality Standards (AQS) relevant for PM and SO₂ are set out in Table 3. In terms of PM, the conservative assumption is made that all of the PM emissions occur in the PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ size fraction.

Pollutant	Averaging period	Units	Value
PM 10	Annual mean	µg/m³	40
	24 hour mean (as 90.4 th percentile)	µg/m³	50
PM _{2.5}	Annual mean	µg/m³	25
SO ₂	1 hour mea	µg/m³	350
	24 hour mea	µg/m³	125

¹ East Yorkshire Council, 2023, 2023 Air Quality Annual Status Report (ASR), <u>https://downloads.eastriding.org.uk/corporate/pages/air-quality-</u>

monitoring/pdfs/Air%20Quality%20Annual%20Status%20Report%20(ASR)%202023.pdf ² Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs (DEFRA), 2024, Background Mapping data for local

authorities, https://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/data/laqm-background-home



REFERENCE

Error! Use the Home tab to apply Reference details to the text that you want to appear here.

The significance of impacts is determined based on the criteria set out in Table 4. These are derived from the Institute of Air Quality Management (IAQM).

TABLE.4 SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA

PEC at receptor as percent of AQS	Percent change relative to air quality standard				
	1	2-5	6-10	>10	
<75%	Negligible	Negligible	Slight	Moderate	
76% to 94%	Negligible	Slight	Moderate	Moderate	
95% to 102	Sligh	Moderat	Moderat	Substanti	
103% to 109	Moderat	Moderat	Substanti	Substanti	
> 110%	Moderat	Substanti	Substanti	Substanti	
Short ter					
< 10%	Negligibl				
> 10%	Moderate/Substantia				

2. RESULTS

The results of the AQIA are set out in Table 5.



Environmental Resources Management One New York Street Manchester M1 4HD T +44 161 958 8800

erm.com

TABLE.1 RESULTS

Pollutant	Averaging period	AQS	PC	PC/AQS	PEC	PEC/ AQS	Signif icance
			µg/r ³	%	µg/r ³	%	
PM ₁₀	Annual mean	4C	0.0	0.23%	17.!	43.8%	Negligibl
	24 hour mean (as 90.4 th percentile)	50	0.2	0.549	35. ⁻	70.29	Negligibl
PM _{2.5}	Annual mean	25	0.0	0.37%	10.!	42.29	Negligibl
SO ₂	1 hour mea	350	0.00	0.02%	5.04	1.449	Negligibl
	24 hour mea	125	0.00	0.01%	10.′	8.05%	Negligibl

3. CONCLUSIONS

The AQIA identified that the emissions from the Kemira facility are predicted to have a negligible impact on sensitive human receptors for both PM and SO₂ emissions. On this basis, no mitigation or changes to project design are required, and air quality is not a constraint to the proposed project.



ERM HAS OVER 160 OFFICES ACROSS THE FOLLOWING COUNTRIES AND TERRITORIES WORLDWIDE

Argentina	The Netherlands			
Australia	New Zealand			
Belgium	Peru			
Brazil	Poland			
Canada	Portugal			
China	Puerto Rico			
Colombia	Romania			
France	Senegal			
Germany	Singapore			
Ghana	South Africa			
Guyana	South Korea			
Hong Kong	Spain			
India	Switzerland			
Indonesia	Taiwan			
Ireland	Tanzania			
Italy	Thailand			
Japan	UAE			
Kazakhstan	UK			
Kenya	US			
Malaysia	Vietnam			
Mexico				
Mozambique				

ERM's London Office Exchequer Court 33 St Mary Axe

London EC3A 8AA

www.erm.com