

Tree Survey and Arboricultural Impact Assessment In Accordance with BS 5837:2012

Project No 10765	Plot 3, Land at Chilton Grove, Waldingfield Grove, Sudbury, CO10 0PR			
Clie	nt:	M J Bryant E	lectrical Ltd	
Date of Report:	26/02/2024	Revision:	Original	
Prepared by:	Alex Garnham	Checked by:	David Carmichael	

Summary

In this circumstance it is intended to construct a new garage to the frontage of a recently completed dwelling. The arboricultural related implications of the proposal are summarised in Tables 1 and 2 below, and detailed where necessary within the report.

All trees and landscape features that are to remain as part of the development should suffer no structural damage provided that the findings within this report are complied with in full.

Table 1 - Construction and ongoing constraints from an arboricultural perspective (subject to necessary tree surgery being completed):

Potential Design/Build Constraints	Arboricultural Impact?	Comments/Solution
Construction Access	No	
Demolition	No	
New Structures	Yes	Undertake precautionary root pruning along the foundation line of the new garage. See paragraph 4.3.1
New Hard Surfaces	No	
Compound	No	
Phasing	No	

Table 2 - Tree surgery and felling necessary to facilitate the proposal:

Feature No	Surgery or Fell	Reason for Works	BS Category
T001	Surgery	Undertake precautionary root pruning along the foundation line of the new garage.	В

Given the above, there are no overt or overwhelming arboricultural constraints that can be reasonably cited to preclude the proposed construction.



Contents

- 1.0 Introduction
- 2.0 The Site
- 3.0 Tree Survey
- 4.0 Arboricultural Impact Assessment (Additional or Specific Comments)
- 5.0 Limitations & Qualifications
- 6.0 References
- 7.0 Appendices



1.0 Introduction

1.1 Purpose

- 1.1.1 As part of the United Kingdom planning process, applicants are required to supply local planning authorities with a detailed evaluation of how their proposals will impact trees. The nationally recognised procedure for doing this is laid out in BS5837:2012 "Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction Recommendations". In summary, this must include the following information as a minimum: -
 - A Tree Survey and Tree Constraints Plan.
 - An Arboricultural Impact Assessment of sufficient detail to confirm the feasibility of the design from a tree perspective.
 - A scaled Tree Retention and Removal drawing showing retained trees and their root protection area on the proposed layout.
- 1.1.2 This report has been prepared to ensure that this information is provided to the Local Planning Authority in a straightforward and clear way so that they can make an informed decision about how (if at all) trees are affected.
- 1.1.3 When planning permission is granted it is typically the case that the Local Planning Authority will require specific conditions to be fulfilled. This means that a subsequent detailed Arboricultural Method Statement and Tree Protection Plan may be required. This will be detailed on the Local Planning Authority's decision notice.

1.2 **Scope**

- 1.2.1 In accordance with the above, M J Bryant Electrical Ltd have commissioned Hayden's Arboricultural Consultants to prepare a Tree Survey and Constraints Plan, Arboricultural Impact Assessment and scaled Tree Retention and Removal drawing for the existing tree at Plot 3, Land at Chilton Grove, Waldingfield Grove, Sudbury, CO10 0PR.
- 1.2.2 Unless stated within the survey, all trees were inspected from ground level with no climbing inspections undertaken. As such, the findings are of a preliminary nature. It is not always possible to access every tree and therefore some measurements may have to be estimated.
- 1.2.3 The trees were inspected based on "Visual Tree Assessment" (Mattheck & Breloer 1994) and "Common Sense Risk Management of Trees" National Tree Safety Group guidance 2011.
- 1.2.4 Whilst this is an arboricultural report, comments relating to non arboricultural matters are given, such as built structures and soil data. Any opinion thus expressed should be viewed as provisional and confirmation from an appropriately qualified professional sought. Such points are clearly identified within the body of the report.



1.3 **Documentation**

- 1.3.1 The following documentation was provided prior to the commencement of the production of this report;
 - Email of instruction from Mr Bryant dated 24/01/2024.
 - Definition of site boundary, description of requirements/deadlines.
 - Topographical survey (A&B Surveys ref no. LS 4593/1A).
 - Proposed site layout (Medusa Design ref no. 2008/22/004).

2.0 The Site

2.1 **Overview**

2.1.1. The site is Plot 3, Land at Chilton Grove, Waldingfield Grove, Sudbury, CO10 0PR. The site comprises a recently completed detached dwelling, with associated access and driveway space. The proposal is to construct a new garage at the frontage, within the driveway space.

2.2 **Soils**

- 2.2.1 The soils type commonly associated with this site are generally freely draining and slightly acid but base-rich soils. They are of high fertility and typically support base-rich pastures and deciduous woodland type habitats. This soil type constitutes approximately 3.1% the total English land mass.
- 2.2.2 The data given was obtained from a desktop study which provides indications of likely soil types. This information is not comprehensive and therefore any decisions taken with regards the management, usage or construction on site should be based on a detailed soil analysis.
- 2.2.3 Further to item 2.2.2, this report provides no information on soil plasticity. It may be necessary for practitioners in other disciplines (e.g. engineers considering foundation design) to obtain this data as required.

2.3 Statutory Tree Protection

- 2.3.1 Information on any Local Planning Authority or Forestry Commission controlled statutory tree protection (Tree Preservation Orders, Conservation Areas, and Felling Licenses etc) is recorded on the attached drawing no. 10765-D-AIA.
- 2.3.2 Further details regarding any existing Statutory Tree Protection are recorded at Appendix B.



3.0 Tree Survey

- 3.1 The tree survey was carried out on 13/02/2024 in accordance with *BS5837:2012 "Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – Recommendations",* the relevant qualitative and quantitative tree data was recorded to assess the condition of the existing tree and its constraints upon the proposed development.
- 3.2 A topographical survey was provided which showed the position of the trees on site. However, it should be noted that topographical surveys are not always comprehensive and sometimes it is considered appropriate to record details of trees and landscape features omitted from or beyond the scope of the plan. If this circumstance occurs, the location of the individual tree or landscape feature is estimated. The position of each tree is shown on the attached drawing no. 10765-D-AIA.
- 3.3 In order to provide a systematic, consistent, and transparent evaluation of the tree included within this survey, it has been assessed and categorised in accordance with the method detailed in item 4.3 of *BS5837:2012 "Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction Recommendations"*. For further information, please see the attached Explanatory Notes.
- 3.4 The detailed assessment of the tree and its work requirements with priorities are listed in the attached Schedule of Trees.
- 3.5 In accordance with item 4.2.4 (c) of BS5837:2012, the item inspected and detailed within this report has been selected for inclusion due to the likely influence of any proposed development on the tree, rather than strictly adhering to the curtilage of the site. However, it must be understood that there may be trees beyond the site and not included in this survey which may exert an influence on the development. Where works for cultural, health and safety, quality of life, or development purposes have been recommended on trees outside the ownership of the site, these can only progress with the agreement of the owner, except where it involves portions of the trees overhanging the boundary.

4.0 Arboricultural Impact Assessment (Additional or Specific Comments)

4.1 Access

4.1.1 Site access is encumbered by the theoretical Root Protection Area (RPA) of T001. In this case the RPA is safeguarded by existing hard surfaces and therefore, and from a purely arboricultural perspective, it will not be necessary to install a proprietary temporary load bearing road to protect tree roots.

4.2 **Demolition**

4.2.1 There is no demolition associated with this proposal.

4.3 New Structures

4.3.1 Construction of foundations or structural supports for the new garage marginally encroach within the calculated RPA of T001. Given the minor extent of the intrusion at this location it is considered appropriate to undertake linear root pruning as part of the access facilitation pruning (AFP) works. This operation will obviate the need for arboriculturally imperative specialised foundation construction methods in this situation.

4.4 **New Hard Surfaces**

4.4.1 Installation of new hard surfaces does not encroach within the RPA of T001. Therefore, and from a purely arboricultural perspective, it will not be necessary for these items to be of specialist design.

4.5 Site Compound

4.5.1 The site provides adequate internal space to locate a construction compound outside the RPA of T001.

4.6 Services

4.6.1 Details on new underground service routes were not available at the time of writing. However, it is important to establish the principle that wherever possible, all underground service runs will be placed outside the Root Protection Areas (RPA) of the trees on or adjacent to the site. Where it is not possible to do this, any infringement must be addressed by hand digging or trenchless technology. Similarly, all routes for overhead services will aim to avoid the trees and where this is not possible, any necessary tree work must be agreed with the Local Planning Authority.

4.7 Phasing

4.7.1 From an arboricultural perspective there are no phasing issues as T001 is segregated from the site by existing boundary fencing, and by the existing driveway between T001 and the proposed garage structure.





5.0 Limitations & Qualifications

Tree inspection reports are subject to the following limitations and qualifications.

General exclusions

Unless specifically mentioned, the report will only be concerned with above ground inspections. No below ground inspections will be carried out without the prior confirmation from the client that such works should be undertaken.

The validity, accuracy and findings of this report will be directly related to the accuracy of the information made available prior to and during the inspection process. No checking of independent third-party data will be undertaken. Hayden's Arboricultural Consultants Limited will not be responsible for the recommendations within this report where essential data are not made available or are inaccurate.

This report will remain valid for one year from the date of inspection subject to the recommendations specified within being adhered to. It must also be appreciated that recommendations proposed within this report may be superseded by extreme weather, or any other unreasonably foreseeable events.

Tree surgery should be completed as detailed in the Schedule of Trees. Where this has been identified for reasons other than to permit development, this work should be completed within the advised timescales irrespective of any development proposals.

Tree surgery works may also be proposed as part of this Survey to mitigate any identified problems that may be caused by trees in close proximity to the proposed development. To this end, should these recommendations be overruled, this Survey stands as the opinion of Hayden's Arboricultural Consultants Limited, and therefore any damage or injury caused by trees recommended by this practice for felling or tree surgery works, to which the proposed schedule of works has been altered or the tree has been requested to be retained by the Local Planning Authority, cannot be the responsibility of this practice.

Moreover, if any additional alterations to the property or soil levels are carried out and/or further tree works undertaken other than specified within the report, it will become invalid and a new tree inspection required.

It will be appreciated, and deemed to be accepted by the client and their insurers, that the formulation of the recommendations for the management of trees will be guided by the following: -

- 1. The need to avoid reasonably foreseeable damage.
- 2. The arboricultural considerations tree safety, good arboricultural practice (tree work) and aesthetics.

The client and their insurers are deemed to have accepted the limitation placed on the recommendations by the sources quoted in the attached report. Where sources are limited by time constraints or the client, this may lead to an incomplete quantification of the risk.

Signed:

February 2024 For and on Behalf of Hayden's Arboricultural Consultants Limited

REVISION: Original



6.0 References

British Standards Institute. (2010). *Recommendations for Tree Work BS3998:2010* BSI, London.

British Standards Institute. (2012). *Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction – Recommendations BS5837:2012* BSI, London.

Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government. (2014). *Tree Preservation Orders and trees in conservation areas.* London: Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government.

Mattheck & Breloer, H. (1994). Research for Amenity Trees No.4: The Body Language of Trees, HMSO, London.

NHBC Standards (2007) Chapter 4.2 'Building Near Trees'. National House-Building Council.

NJUG 4 Guidelines for the planning, installation and maintenance of utility apparatus in proximity to trees. Issued 16 November 2007.

Forestry Commission (2007). *Tree Felling – Getting Permission*. Country Services Division, Forestry Commission, Edinburgh.

Patch, D. Holding, B. (2006) *Arboricultural Practice Note 12 (APN12)*, *Through the Trees to Development.* Arboricultural Advisory and Information Service (AAIS).

Lonsdale, D. (1999). Research for Amenity Trees No 7: Principles of Tree Hazard Assessment and Management, HMSO, London.

National Tree Safety Group (2011). *Common Sense Risk Management of Trees.* Forestry Commission.



7.0 Appendices

Appendix	Α	Species List & Tree Problems			
Appendix	В	Statutory Tree Protection Advice & Tree Preservation Order Enquiry/Response			
Appendix	С	Schedule of Trees			
Appendix	D	Preliminary Schedule of Works to Allow Development			
Appendix	Е	Explanatory Notes			
Appendix	F	Advisory Information & Sample Specifications			
	1. 2.	BS 5837:2012 Figure 1 - Flow Chart – Design and Construction & Tree Care European Protected Species and Woodland Operations Checklist (v.4)			
Appendix	G	Drawing No 10765-D-AIA.			



Appendix A - Species List & Tree Problems

Species List:

English Yew

Taxus baccata



Appendix B - Statutory Tree Protection Advice & Tree Preservation Order Enquiry/Response

Statutory Tree Protection Advice

Hayden's Arboricultural Consultants Limited have been informed that at the *date of the tree inspection* the trees concerned were not located within a Conservation Area or the subject of a Tree Preservation Order. As such, no written permission would be required from the Local Planning Authority prior to commencing works to trees. It should be noted however, that the Local Planning Authority have the power to serve Tree Preservation Orders very rapidly, and therefore it is incumbent upon owners, managers or any persons wishing to undertake work to any trees to contact the Local Planning Authority prior to commencing works to ensure that the situation has not changed.

This information was sourced using the Local Planning Authority's Online Mapping System (as instructed by them) and to our best knowledge was current and accurate at the time the information was accessed. We would advise it prudent that before any tree work commences, this is checked directly with the Local Planning Authority to confirm that their online mapping system is definitive.



Tree Preservation Order / Conservation Area Online Mapping Extract



Appendix C

Schedule of Trees

SCHEDULE OF TREES (AIA) Land at Chilton Grove, Waldingfield Grove, Sudbury,					Survey Manag	ved By: A jed By: A	Alex Garnham Date: 13/02/20 Alex Garnham	024				
TreeNo	Species	DBH	He	ight	Visual	Crown Spread	Problems / Comments	BS	Work Required (TS)	Priority	Work Required (AIA)	Priority
		Min Dist	Crown Base	Lowest Branch	Age	Water Demand		Cat		(TS)		(AIA)
On site		RPA (m²)	Aspect	Aspect	SULE	Ground Cover						
T001	English Yew	620	1	2	Moderate	N4.5, E6, S5, W4.5	W4.5 Early mature English Yew in a domestic garden space.	B2 No work r	No work required.	4	Undertake precautionary root pruning along the foundation line	0
							domestic garden space,				pruning along the foundation line	
		7.44	2.5		EM	Moderate	domestic garden space, overhanging a garden fence. Good				pruning along the foundation line of the new garage.	
No		7.44 173.9	2.5		EM 40+ years	Moderate Mixed soft/hard surface	domestic garden space, overhanging a garden fence. Good structural and physiological condition. There is a driveway on the south side, with adequate ground				pruning along the foundation line of the new garage.	

Appendix D

Schedule of Works to Allow Development

SCHEDULE OF WORKS (AIA)

Land at Chilton Grove, Waldingfield Grove, Sudbury,

Surveyed By: Alex Garnham Surveyed: 13/02/2024 Managed By: Alex Garnham

		Managed by. Ack	Gannam
Tree No.	Species	Work required	Priority
T001	English Yew	Undertake precautionary root pruning along the foundation line of the new garage.	0

Appendix E

Explanatory Notes

Explanatory Notes

Categories

No	Identifies the tree on the drawing.				
Species	Common names are given to aid understanding for the wider audience.				
BS 5837 Main Category	Using this assessment (BWS 5837:2012, table 1), trees can be divided into one of the following simplified categories, and are differentiated by cross-hatching and by colour on the attached drawing.				
	Category A - Those of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years;				
	Category B - Those of moderate quality with an estimated life expectancy of at least 40 years;				
	Category C - Those of low quality with an estimated remaining of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150 mm;				
	Category U - Those trees in such condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years.				
BS 5837 Sub Category	Table 1 of BS 5837:2012 also requires a sub category to be applied to the A, B, C, and U assessments. This allows for a further understanding of the determining classification as follows:				
	Sub Category 1 - Mainly arboricultural qualities;				
	Sub Category 2 - Mainly landscape qualities;				
	Sub Category 3 - Mainly cultural values, including conservation.				
	Please note that a specimen or landscape feature may fulfil the requirements of more than one Sub Category.				
DBH (mm)	Diameter of main stem in millimetres at 1.5 metres from ground level. Where the tree is a multi-stem, the diameter is calculated in accordance with item 4.6.1 of BS 5837:2012.				
Height	Recorded in metres, measured from the base of the tree.				
Crown Base	Recorded in metres, the distance from ground and aspect of the lowest branch material.				
Lowest Branch	Recorded in metres, the distance from ground and aspect of the emergence point of the lowest significant branch.				

Recorded as one of seven categories:

Y Young. Recently planted or establishing tree that could be transplanted without specialist equipment, i.e. less than 150 mm DBH.

S/M Semi-mature. An established tree, but one which has not reached its prospective ultimate height.

E/M Early-mature. A tree that is reaching its ultimate potential height, whose growth rate is slowing down but if healthy, will still increase in stem diameter and crown spread.

M Mature. A mature specimen with limited potential for any significant increase in size, even if healthy.

O/M Over-mature. A senescent or moribund specimen with a limited safe useful life expectancy. Possibly also containing sufficient structural defects with attendant safety and/or duty of care implications.

V Veteran. A tree considered a 'survivor' having endured injury, disease and/or decay, developing important habitat features such as decay, trunk hollowing, deadwood, fungal fruiting bodies (plus others) not solely as a consequence of time. Veteran trees are afforded additional protection within the planning system where they may be influenced by change.

A Ancient. A tree that has the features of a Veteran tree but has also surpassed the typical lifespan for its species. These trees may differ in appearance from a Veteran tree, such as having a thick/wide trunk and a small crown. Ancient trees are usually considered to have exceptional cultural significance. Ancient trees are afforded additional protection within the planning system where they may be influenced by change.

Safe Useful LifeRelates to the prospective life expectancy of the tree and is given as 4
categories:Expectancycategories:(SULE)

- 1 = 40 years+;
 - 2 = 20 years+;
- 3 = 10 years+;
- 4 = less than 10 years.

Crown Spread Indicates the radius of the crown from the base of the tree in each of the northern, eastern, southern and western aspects.

MinimumThis is a distance equal to 12 times the diameter of the tree measured at 1.5Distancemetres above ground level for single stemmed trees and 12 times the average
diameter of the tree measured at 1.5 metres above ground level tree for multi
stemmed specimens. (BS 5837:2012, section 4.6).

RPA This is the Root Protection Area, measured in square metres and defined in BS5837:2012 as "a layout design tool indicating the minimum area around a tree deemed to contain sufficient roots and rooting volume to maintain the tree's viability, and where the protection of the roots and soil structure is treated as a priority". The RPA is shown on the drawing.. Ideally this is an area around the tree that must be kept clear of construction, level changes of construction operations. Some methods of construction can be carried out within the RPA of a retained tree but only if approved by the Local Planning Authority's tree officer.

Water DemandThis gives the water demand of the species of tree when mature, as given in the
NHBC Standards Chapter 4.2 "Building Near Trees".

Visual Amenity	Concerns the planning and landscape contribution to the development site made by the tree, hedge or tree group, in terms of its amenity value and prominence on the skyline along with functional criteria such as the screening value, shelter provision and wildlife significance. The usual definitions are as follows:				
	Low	An inconsequential landscape feature.			
	Moderate	Of some note within the immediate vicinity, but not significant in the wider context.			
	High	Item of high visual importance.			
Problems/ Comments	May include general comments about growth characteristic, how it is affected be other trees and any previous surgery work; also, specific problems such a deadwood, pests, diseases, broken limbs, etc.				
Works Required (TS)	Identifies the necessary tree work to mitigate anticipated problems and deal with existing problems identified in the "Problems/comments" category.				
Work Required (AIA)	Identifies the tree work specifically necessary to allow a proposed development to proceed.				
Priority	This gives a priority rating to each tree allowing the client to prioritise necessary tree works identified within the Tree Survey.				
	1 Urgent – w	orks required immediately;			
	2 Works requ	lired within 6 months;			
	3 Works requ	lired within 1 year;			
	4 Re-inspect	in 12 months,			
	0 Remedial v	vorks as part of implementation of planning consent.			

BS 5837:2012 Terms and Definitions

Access Facilitation Pruning	One-off tree pruning operation, the nature and effects of which are without significant adverse impact on tree physiology or amenity value, which is directly necessary to provide access for operations on site.
Arboricultural Method Statement	Methodology for the implementation of any aspect of development that is within the root protection area, or has the potential to result in loss of or damage to a tree to be retained.
Arboriculturist	Person who has, through relevant education, training and experience, gained expertise in the field of trees in relation to construction.
Competent Person	Person who has training and experience relevant to the matter being addressed and an understanding of the requirements of the particular task being approached. NOTE - a competent person is expected to be able to advise on the best means by which the recommendations of this British Standard may be implemented.
Construction	Site-based operations with the potential to affect existing trees.
Construction Exclusion Zone	Area based on the root protection area from which access is prohibited for the duration of a project.
Root Protection Area (RPA)	Layout design tool indicating the minimum area around a tree deemed to contain sufficient roots and rooting volume to maintain the tree's viability, and where the protection of the roots and soil structure is treated as a priority.
Service	Any above or below ground structure or apparatus required for utility provision. NOTE - examples include drainage, gas supplies, ground source heat pumps, CCTV and satellite communications.
Stem	Principal above ground structural component(s) of a tree that supports its branches.
Structure	Manufactured object, such as a building, carriageway, path, wall, service run, and built or excavated earthwork.
Tree Protection Plan	Scale drawing, informed by descriptive text where necessary, based upon the finalized proposals, showing trees for retention and illustrating the tree and landscape protection measures.

A diagrammatic representation of the additional protection measures afforded to Veteran and Ancient Trees by the imposing of a geographical 'buffer' space between the Veteran/Ancient Trees and any potential activity such as construction, that may affect the trees. The buffer zones are calculated as follows:

For ancient woodlands, the proposal should have a buffer zone of at least 15 metres from the boundary of the woodland to avoid root damage (known as the root protection area). Where assessment shows other impacts are likely to extend beyond this distance, the proposal is likely to need a larger buffer zone. For example, the effect of air pollution from development that results in a significant increase in traffic.

For ancient or veteran trees (including those on the woodland boundary), the buffer zone should be at least 15 times larger than the diameter of the tree. The buffer zone should be 5 metres from the edge of the tree's canopy if that area is larger than 15 times the tree's diameter. This will create a minimum root protection area.

Where assessment shows other impacts are likely to extend beyond this distance, the proposal is likely to need a larger buffer zone.

Source: Natural England; The Forestry Commission; The UK Government Dept. for The Environment.

Appendix F

Advisory Information & Sample Specifications



1. BS 5837:2012 Figure 1 - Flow Chart – Design and Construction & Tree Care

** See Commentary on Clause 6.

	European Protected Species and woodlar Complete all sections of the Ch	i d opera t ecklist	tions. (V4)
		✓	
1	Checklist		Details
1	Are you within, or close to, the known mapped range of any of the protected species OTHER THAN BATS which are potentially everywhere? Tick any that apply. See distribution maps in the Good Practice Guidance for each species - Dormice Otters Great created pays	YES NO	Name of Wood: Grid Reference:
	Sand lizards Smooth snakes	VES	Area: (ha)
2	 Old trees with holes and crevices which might be used bats Species rich scrub/coppice, early growth stage plantations and forest interfaces Rivers on which otters might be found Ponds which might be occupied by great crested newts Open areas on heathy soils 	NO	Date of Assessment:
3	Have any of the protected species been recorded in this wood or on adjoining sites? Tick any that apply. Indicate which sources of information you have checked:	YES NO	Name of Assessor:
	 National Biodiversity Network (<u>www.nbn.ora.uk</u>) Local Biological Records Centre Local Wildlife Trust Other Specify Other: 		
4	 Have your inspections or any expert surveys found any of the following signs or evidence? Tick any that apply. Signs (e.g. otter spraint, nuts gnawed by dormice, leaves folded by newts) Sightings (or echo-location) Potential breeding or roosting sites (e.g. veteran trees, old trees with crevices, riverside hollow trees, ponds, timber stacks, large fallen deadwood) Confirmed breeding or roosting sites (i.e. evidence of sites actually being used) Details: 	YES NO	
CHECK POINT	If you have answered NO to ALL of the above then only bats need to be considered in your operations. If you have answered YES to any of the above then the species concerned must be considered as well as bats.		Notes
5	Do the operations comply with Good Practice for bats and any other species found (or likely to be found in your wood) or can the operations be modified to do so? Details: Use reverse of form to expand as required:	YES NO	A licence is not required but continue to sections 6 and 7 below You will need to obtain a licence BEFORE carrying out the work (see EPS Licence Application Forms and Notes)
6	Whether or not a licence is required Has the information been communicated to operators (including the location of breeding sites and sensitive areas)? Tick any that apply. Included in documentation (e.g. contract, letter of instruction, site assessment or other management plan)	YES NO	You may commit an offence if you do not tell your operators about the protected species in your wood.
7	Shown to operators and/or their supervisor Marked with paint or hazard tape Shown on the site plan Other means:	YES	You may commit an offence if you do not
	Details:	NO	take steps to ensure that your operators comply with the Good Practice guidance.

Appendix G

Haydens Drawing

- Arboricultural Impact Assessments
 - Arboricultural Method Statements
 - Tree Constraints Plans
 - Arboricultural Feasibility Studies
 - Shade Analysis •
 - Picus Tomography
- Arboricultural Consultancy for Local Planning Authority
 - Quantified Tree Risk Assessment •
 - Health & Safety Audits for Tree Stocks
 - Tree Stock Survey and Management
 - Mortgage and Insurance Reports
 - Subsidence Reports •
 - Woodland Management Plans
 - Project Management
 - Ecological Surveys •

