LANCASHIRE SuDS PRO-FORMA

This Pro-forma is endorsed by the North West Regional Flood and Coastal Committee, including representatives from Lead Local Flood Authorities, Highway Authorities, United Utilities and the Environment Agency

NORTH WEST SuDS PRO-FORMA

This pro-forma is a requirement for any planning application for major development¹.

It supports applicants in summarising and confirming how surface water from a development will be managed sustainably under current and future conditions.

Your sustainable drainage system should be designed in accordance with <u>CIRIA The SuDS Manual C753</u> and any necessary adoption standards.

HOW TO COMPLETE

Blue Box	Instruction/ Question
Orange Box	Evidence Required
White Box	To be completed by Developer / Consultant

- 1. Complete ALL white boxes
- 2. Submit this pro-forma to the Local Planning Authority, along with:
 - Sustainable Drainage Strategy
 - Site Specific Flood Risk Assessment (if required)
 - Minimum supporting evidence, as indicated in orange boxes of this pro-forma.

GUIDANCE TO SUPPORT YOU

The pro-forma should be completed in conjunction with 'Completing your SuDS Pro Forma Guide.'

The pro-forma can be completed using freely available tools such as <u>Tools for Sustainable Drainage Systems</u> or appropriate industry standard surface water management design software.

¹ as defined in Section 2 of <u>Statutory Instrument 2015 No. 595</u> or on sites in Critical Drainage Areas.

SECTION 1. APPLICATION & DEVELOPMENT DETAILS

Planning Application Reference (if available)					
State type of planning application i.e. Pre-application, Outline, Full, Hybrid, Reserved Matters* *Information only required if drainage is to be considered as part of reserved matters application	Pre-app	lication			
Developer(s) Name:	Anthony	Rimmer			
Peak Ass Consultant(s) Name: Environmenta Lt					
Development Address (including postcode)	Land East of Ne Winmarleigh, 0.	Garstang, PR3			
Development Grid Reference (Eastings/Northings)	SD47 347699 ,				
Total Development Site Area (Ha)	0.:	15			
Drained Area (Ha)* of Development	0.:	15			
Please indicate the flood zone that your development is in. Tick all that apply. Based on the Environment Agency Flood Map for Planning and the relevant Local Authority Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (to identify Flood Zones 3a/3b).	Flood Zo Flood Zo Flood Zor Flood Zor	ne 2 □ ne 3a □			
What is the surface water risk of the site? Tick all that apply. Based on the Environment Agency Surface Water Flood Map.	High ⊠ Medium ⊠ Low ⊠				
Have you submitted a Site Specific Flood Risk Assessment (FRA)? See separate guidance notes for clarification on when a FRA is required	Yes □	No ⊠			
Have you submitted a Sustainable Drainage Strategy?	Yes ⊠ No □				
Does your drainage proposal provide multi-functional benefits via SuDS?	Yes ⊠	No □			
Expected Lifetime of Development (years) Refer to Planning Practice Guidance "Flood Risk and Coastal Change" Paragraph 026	10	00			
Development Type:		State Proposed Number of Units			
Greenfield Site	Z	1			
Site is wholly undeveloped, and a new drainage system will be installed Proviously Developed / Provincial Site	\boxtimes	0			
Site is already developed, and the entirety of the existing surface water drainage system will be used to serve the new development (evidence must be provided to prove existing surface water drainage system is reusable); OR		0			
 Where records of the previously developed system are not available so that the hydraulic characteristics of the system cannot be determined or where the drainage system is not in reasonable working order i.e. broken, blocked or no longer operational for other reasons. 					
Please list any relevant document and or drawing numbers (including revision reference) to support your answers to Section 1.	See QA240	017 Report			

SECTION 2: IMPERMEABLE AREA AND EXISTING DRAINAGE

	Existing (E)	Proposed (P)	Change (P – E)		
State Impermeable Area (Ha)	0	0.018	0.018		
Evidence Required: Plans showing development layout of site with existing and proposed impermeable areas.					
Evidence Required:	See QA24017 report				

Are there existing sewers, watercourses, water bodies, highway drains, soakaways or filter drains on the site?	Yes ⊠ No □ Don't Know □	
Evidence Required:		
Plan(s) showing existing layout to include all:	\bowtie	
Watercourses, open and culverted	See nearby watercourses in	
Water bodies – ponds, swales etc.	•	
Sewers, including manholes	Appendix 1 of QA 24017	
Highway drains, include manholes, gullies etc.	report	
Infiltration features - soakaways, filter drains etc.		

Drainage Design Outline planning applications should be able to demonstrate that a suitable drainage system is achievable. All other type of planning application should provide full details or reference to previous planning application where drainage details have been submitted or approved. Select which design approach you are taking to manage water quantity (refer to Section 3.3 SuDS Manual) Approach 1 – Volume control / Long Term Storage (Technical Standards S2/3, S4/5) The attenuated runoff volume for the 1 in 100 year 6 hour event (plus climate change allowance) is limited to the greenfield runoff volume for the 1 in 100 year 6 hour event, with any additional runoff volume utilising long term storage and either infiltrated or released at 2 l/s/ha The discharge rate for the critical duration 1 in 1 year event is restricted to the 1 in 1 year greenfield runoff rate The discharge rate for the critical duration 1 in 100 year event (plus climate change allowance) is restricted to the 1 in 100 year greenfield runoff rate Approach 2 - Qbar (Technical Standards S6) XJustification has been provided that the provision of volume control/long term storage is not appropriate and an attenuation only approach is proposed. All events up to the critical duration 1 in 100 year event (plus climate change allowance) are limited to Qbar (1 in 2 year greenfield rate) or 2 l/s/ha, whichever is greater. **Evidence Required:** Plans showing: \boxtimes Existing flow routes and flood risks See Modified flow routes QA24017 Contributing and impermeable areas report Current (if any) and proposed 'source control' and 'management train' locations of sustainable drainage components (C753 Chapter 7) Details of drainage ownership Details of exceedance routes (Technical Standards S9) Topographic survey Locations and number of existing and proposed discharge points Note consideration should be given to manage surface water from both impermeable and permeable surfaces (including gardens and verges) likely to enter the drainage system.

Please list any relevant document and or drawing numbers (including revision reference) to support your answers to Section 2.

See Plans and Appendix QA24017 Report

SECTION 3: PEAK RUNOFF <u>RATES</u> — TECHNICAL STANDARDS S2, S3 AND S6 (UNLESS S1 APPLIES)

Rainfall Event	Existing Rate (I/s)	Greenfield Rate (I/s)	Proposed Rate (I/s) Previously developed sites - In line with S3 should be equivalent to Greenfield runoff rates – discuss with LLFA if this is not achievable pre-application		
Qbar (Approach 2)	1.1	1.1	2		
1 in 1 Year Event (Approach 1)					
1 in 30 Year Event					
1 in 100 Year Event* (Approach 1)					
with additional volumes (lo	n 100 year rate should be restricte ng-term storage volume) releasea nce should only be applied to the _l	l at a rate no greater than 2 l/s/ha	where infiltration is not possible.		
Evidence Required: Methodology used to calculate peak runoff rate clearly stated and justified.					
Impermeable areas plan, supp	ported by topographical survey confir	ming positive drainage.			
Hydraulic calculations and det	tails of software used.				
State the hydraulic meth (Refer to Table 24.1 of The Su	od used in your calculations DS Manual)		IH124		
Please list any relevant d reference) to support you	ocument and or drawing numb ur answers to Section 3.	ers (including revision			

SECTION 4: DISCHARGE <u>VOLUME</u> – TECHNICAL STANDARDS S4, S5 AND S6 (UNLESS S1 APPLIES)

Rainfall Event	Existing Volume (m³)	Greenfield Volume (m³)	Proposed Volume (m³)				
1 in 100 Year 6 Hour Event (Approach 1)							
Does the below statement ap Long term storage is not ach Statutory Technical Standards and including the 1 in 100 yea	Yes □ No ⊠						
Evidence Required: Approach to managing the quantity							
Methodology used to calculate disc							
Hydraulic calculations and details o							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Please list any relevant document to support your answers to Se	nent and or drawing numbers (including revision reference)					

SECTION 5: STORAGE - TECHNICAL STANDARDS S7 AND S8

State climate change anowance used (%)	
State housing density (houses per ha)	N/A
State urban creep allowance used (%)	10
Evidence Required: State / used in appropriate industry standard surface water management design software.	\boxtimes
State storage volume required (m³) (excluding non-void spaces)	5
Must include an allowance for climate change and urban creep	
Have you incorporated interception into your design? (Refer to Chapter 24 of The SuDS Manual C753) Where possible, infiltration or other techniques are to be used to try and achieve zero discharge to receiving waters for rainfall depths up to 5mm.	Yes ⊠ No □
Evidence Required: Drainage plans showing location of attenuation and all flow control devices and supporting calculations.	\boxtimes
Summarise how storage will be provided for 1 in 30 year event on site. Storage must be designed to ensure that at no flooding occurs onsite in a 1 in 30 year event except in designed areas <u>and</u> no flooding occurs offsite in a 1 in 100 year (plus climate change allowance) event.	French drain along the edge of the site
Summarise how storage will be provided for 1 in 100 year (plus climate change) event on site.	French drain along the edge of the site
Where storage above the 1 in 30 year rainfall event is provided in designated areas designed to accommodate excess surface water volumes, plans showing storage locations and surface water depths and supported by calculations used in appropriate industry standard surface water management design software. It is important to run a range of duration events to ensure the worst case condition is found for each drainage element on the site	
Evidence Required: Plans showing size and location of storage and supporting calculations. Where there is controlled flooding, extents and depths must be indicated.	
Please list any relevant document and or drawing numbers (including revision reference) to support your answers to Section 5.	See QA24017 report

40

SECTION 6: WATER QUALITY PROTECTION

Contaminated surface water run-off can have negative impacts on the quality of receiving water bodies. The potential level of contamination will influence final the design of an appropriate treatment train as part of your sustainable drainage system.

Is the proposa	Yes □	No⊠					
• If the site is contaminated, it should be demonstrated that the sustainable drainage system will not increase the risk of pollution to controlled waters though the mobilisation of contaminants and/or creation of new pollution pathways.							
Confirm the P	ollution Haz	ard Level of the proposed development - Tick <u>ALL</u> that apply					
Refer to Pollut guidance.	ion Hazard I	ndices for different Land Use Classifications in Table 26.2 of The SuDS	Manual C753	for further			
Pollution Ha Tick <u>ALL</u> th		Surface water run-off from the proposed development will drain	from:				
VERY LOW		Residential roofs					
LOW	 Other roofs (typically commercial/industrial roofs) Individual property driveways, residential car parks, low traffic roads (e.g. cul de sacs, 						
MEDIUM		 Commercial yard and delivery areas Non-residential car parking with frequent change (e.g. hospitals, I All roads except low traffic roads and trunk roads/motorways² 	etail)				
HIGH	 Sites with heavy pollution (e.g. haulage yards, lorry parks, highly frequented lorry approaches to industrial estates, waste sites) Sites where chemicals and fuels (other than domestic fuel oil) are to be delivered, handled 						
~		tion Hazard Level is 'Very Low' or 'Low', has the sustainable assessed and appropriate mitigation measures included?	Yes ⊠	No□			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ment has a very low or low polluting potential, you should design your s propriate treatment train in accordance with The SuDS Manual (C753).	ustainable drair	nage			
~		ation Hazard Level is 'Medium' or 'High', is the application rater quality risk assessment?	Yes □	No⊠			
 If the proposed development has a high polluting potential, a detailed risk assessment will be required to identify an appropriate SuDS treatment train and ensure compliance with Paragraph 170 of the National Planning Policy Framework. If the proposed development has a medium polluting potential, a detailed risk assessment may be required depending on the nature, scale and location of the development. 							
Has pre-applic	cation advice	on water quality been obtained from the Environment Agency?	Yes □	No⊠			
If YES, provide	e details:						
~		cument and or drawing numbers (including revision ranswers to Section 6.	QA24017 repor	t			

² Motorways and trunk roads should follow the guidance and risk assessment process set out in Highways Agency (2009).

SECTION 7: DETAILS OF YOUR SUSTAINABLE DRAINAGE SYSTEM

a) Function of your Sustainable Drainage System

Do your proposals store rainwater for later use (as a resource)?	Yes ⊠ No □
Evidence Required: Please provide a brief sentence in the adjacent white box to describe how this function has been achieved.	Using water butts attached to gutters on the stable block
Do your proposals promote source control to manage rainfall close to where it falls? (e.g. promoting natural losses through soakage, infiltration and evapotranspiration)	Yes □ No ⊠
Evidence Required: Please provide a brief sentence in the adjacent white box to describe how this function has been achieved.	
Please list any relevant document and or drawing numbers (including revision reference) to support your answers to Section 7a.	

b) Hierarchy of Drainage Options – Planning Practice Guidance

The proposed method of discharge are set out within order of priority. Generally, the aim should be to discharge surface run off as high up the following hierarchy of drainage options as reasonably practicable.

Proposed method of surface water discharge		Is this proposed?					
Hierarchy Level 1: Into the ground (via infiltration)		Yes □ No ⊠					
	If YES - Evidence Required			If NO — Evidence Required Tick <u>ALL</u> that apply			
	A. Completed Infiltration Checklist from The SuDS Manual (C753) Appendix B An editable version of this form is available on SusDrain website.	\boxtimes	A.	Site investigation to demonstrate that the ground is not free draining. Test results to be provided in accordance with: • The methodology within BRE 365 (2016), <u>OR</u> • Falling head permeability tests BS EN ISO 22282-2: 2012			
	B. British Geological Survey (BGS) Infiltration SuDS Map		В.	NOTE: where an applicant is unable to access a site to undertake testing, e.g. where unable to access a site for an outline application, they can submit a <u>SuDS GeoReport</u> or similar.			
	C. Infiltration testing to BRE 365 (2016) or falling head permeability tests to BS EN ISO 2228-2: 2012 (optional for outline)		C.	Evidence to confirm that infiltration to ground would result in a risk of deterioration to ground water quality.			
	'Plan B' sustainable drainage plan and statement of approach with an alternative discharge method, in case infiltration proposals are proven not feasible upon further site specific ground investigation e.g. to consider seasonal variations to groundwater.		D.	Geotechnical advice from a competent person* which determines that infiltration of water to ground would pose an unacceptable risk of geohazards to the site and/or local area. *Note: Competent person may include a Chartered Engineer, Chartered Geologists, Registered Ground Engineering Professionals (RoGEP).			

Proposed method of surface water discharge			Is this proposed?				
Hierarchy Level 2: To a surface water body (select type)				Yes ⊠	No □	N/A □	
NOTE: Consent from LLFA or Permit from Environment Agency			☐ Main river		☐ Canal		
may be required – refer to guidance			☑ Ordinary watercours		\square Other water body		
If YES - Evidence Required				If NO – Evidence Required			
\boxtimes	Surface water body / watercourse survey		Tick <u>ALL</u> that apply owing nearby watercourses and waterbodies				
	and report	AND					
				ent providing justification in	vour Susta	ainable Drainage Strategy	
						-	
				/here discharge of any elemont In should provide justificatio			
			discharg	ge of surface water to water	course rela	ates to issues associated	
				rd party land or the securing necessary for the applicant :			
				g authority to support their p			
Proposed	d method of surface water discharge			ls th	nis propo	sed?	
Hierarchy Level 3: To a surface water sewer or highway drain Yes □ No □ N/A ☒				N/A ⊠			
(select type)							
	e) 			☐ Surface water se	ewer	☐ Highway drain	
	e) If YES - Evidence Required			If NO – Evidence	e Require		
(select typ	If YES - Evidence Required		Plan sho	If NO – Evidence Tick <u>ALL</u> that	e Require	d	
	If YES - Evidence Required Written correspondence from Water and Sewerage Company/ Highway Authority		Plan sho	If NO – Evidence	e Require	d	
(select typ	If YES - Evidence Required Written correspondence from Water and		AND	If NO – Evidence Tick <u>ALL</u> that	e Require apply ghway drai	ins	
(select typ	If YES - Evidence Required Written correspondence from Water and Sewerage Company/ Highway Authority		AND	If NO — Evidence Tick ALL that owing nearby sewers and hig ent providing justification in	e Require apply ghway drai	ins sinable Drainage Strategy	
Proposed	If YES - Evidence Required Written correspondence from Water and Sewerage Company/ Highway Authority regarding proposed connection.		AND	If NO — Evidence Tick ALL that owing nearby sewers and hig ent providing justification in	e Require apply ghway drai your Susta	ins sinable Drainage Strategy	
Proposed	If YES - Evidence Required Written correspondence from Water and Sewerage Company/ Highway Authority regarding proposed connection.		AND	If NO — Evidence Tick ALL that owing nearby sewers and high ent providing justification in Is the	e Require apply ghway drain your Susta	ins sinable Drainage Strategy sed? N/A 🗵	
Proposed	If YES - Evidence Required Written correspondence from Water and Sewerage Company/ Highway Authority regarding proposed connection. d method of surface water discharge y Level 4: To combined sewer		AND	If NO — Evidence Tick ALL that owing nearby sewers and high ent providing justification in Is th	e Require apply ghway drain your Susta	ins sinable Drainage Strategy sed? N/A 🖂	
Proposed	If YES - Evidence Required Written correspondence from Water and Sewerage Company/ Highway Authority regarding proposed connection. d method of surface water discharge y Level 4: To combined sewer If YES - Evidence Required Written correspondence from Water and		AND	If NO — Evidence Tick ALL that owing nearby sewers and high ent providing justification in Is th Yes If NO — Evidence	e Require apply ghway drain your Susta	ins sinable Drainage Strategy sed? N/A 🗵	
Proposed Hierarch	If YES - Evidence Required Written correspondence from Water and Sewerage Company/ Highway Authority regarding proposed connection. d method of surface water discharge y Level 4: To combined sewer If YES - Evidence Required Written correspondence from Water and	ng numb	AND Stateme	If NO – Evidence Tick ALL that owing nearby sewers and high ent providing justification in Is th Yes If NO – Evidence N/A	e Require apply ghway drain your Susta	ins sinable Drainage Strategy sed? N/A 🗵	

c) Proposed SuDS Component Types

·						
Within property boundary	☑ Rainwater harvesting	☐ Green/ blue roofs	☐ Pervious pavements [Type: A ☐ B ☐ C ☐]	☐ Soakaway		☐ Bio retention systems
			Tick ALL that apply			ı
	☐ Infiltration system [Type: ☐ Surface lev		☐ Filter strips	⊠ Filter	drains	☐ Swales
Within development site boundary	☐ Bio retention system	☐ Detention basins	□ Ponds and wetlands	☐ Atter tanks/ C pipes	nuation Oversized	☐ Other (state below)
(not property)	If 'Other' please stat	re:				
Off site (not within the boundary of the proposed development)	Please state:					
I confirm that the ak SuDS Manual (C753)		ponents have been o	designed in accordan	ce with	The	I confirm ⊠
I confirm that the management of flows resulting from rainfall in excess of a 1 in 100 year plus climate change rainfall event, and their exceedance route(s), has been fully considered in order to minimise the risks to people, property (new and existing) and infrastructure. □ confirm □						I confirm ⊠
Please list any relevant document and or drawing numbers (including revision reference) to support your answers to Section 7c. See QA24017						

SECTION 8: OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE — TECHNICAL STANDARD S12 AND NATIONAL PLANNING POLICY FRAMEWORK

The applicant is responsible to ensure that ALL components selected in Section 7 can be maintained for the design life of the development. This information is required so the Local Planning Authority can ensure the maintenance and management of the sustainable drainage system. The Local Planning Authority will discuss how this will be secured (e.g. via planning condition or planning obligation).

	Information Provided?
Management Plan	Yes □ No ⊠
Plan/ drawing provided to show the position of the different SuDS components with: Key included to identify any of the adopting bodies that you will be offering your sustainable drainage components for adoption (relates to maintenance and management arrangements below). Plan/ drawing to identify any areas where certain activities are prohibited, detailing reasons why.	
Action plan for accidental pollutant spillages.	

	Information Provided?
Maintenance Schedule	Yes □ No ⊠
Evidence Required:	
A copy of the maintenance schedule including:	
1. Proactive and preventative maintenance	
Detailing regular, occasional and remedial maintenance activities including	
recommendations for inspection and monitoring. This should include recommended	
frequencies, advice on plant/ machinery required and an explanation of the objectives	
for the maintenance proposed and potential implications of not meeting them.	
2. Reactive and corrective maintenance (e.g. product repair and replacement).	
Including advice on excavations, or similar works, in locations that could affect the SuDS	
components/ adjacent structures.	

	Information Provided?
Maintenance and Management Arrangements	Yes □ No ⊠
Evidence Required: Evidence of formal agreement with the party responsible for undertaking maintenance.	
Please select any of the adopting bodies that you will be offering your sustainable drainage components for adoption. Tick all that apply.	
 □ Water and Sewerage Company Section 104 agreement (Water Industry Act 1991) □ Highway Authority Section 278/38 agreement (Highways Act 1980) 	
□ Local Authority Public Open Space [Refer to Local Authority Policy]	
Please select the arrangement(s) for all non-adopted sustainable drainage components. Tick all that apply.	
☐ Management Company ☑ Property Owner (for SuDS components within property boundary only) ☐ Other (alease state)	
☐ Other (please state)	

Please list any relevant document and or drawing numbers (including revision	
reference) to support your answers to Section 8.	

DECLARATION AND SUBMISSION

This pro-forma has been completed using evidence from information which has been submitted with the planning application.

The information submitted in the Sustainable Drainage Strategy and site-specific Flood Risk Assessment (FRA), where submitted, is proportionate to the site conditions, flood risks and magnitude of development and I agree that this information can be used as evidence to this sustainable drainage approach.

Submitter Details				
	Email Address	mikematthews@peak-associates.com elliepugh@peak-associates.com		
<u>Completed</u> by	Ellie Pugh	Telephone Number(s)	01925 491011 01524 510475	
Signed off by	Mike Matthews	Accreditation(s) and/or Qualification(s) of Signatory	Mike Matthews - BSc (<i>Hons</i>), MSc, CIWEM Ellie Pugh – MSci (<i>Hons</i>)	
Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	02/04/2024	Company	Peak Associates Environmental Consulatnts Ltd.	

Client Details			
Name	Melanie Lawrenson	Company	ML Planning Consultancy Ltd