

## **Biodiversity Self-Assessment Form**

Site Address	9 Newfound Reach, Coln Waters, nr Lechlade, GL7 3FU
Planning Reference (Office use only)	Click or tap here to enter text.
Date completed	15/04/2024

This Biodiversity Self-Assessment form is required as part of your submission for the following **minor** applications where a Biodiversity Report has **not** been submitted:

- Householder
- Full Permission
- Outline Permission
- Listed Building Consent
- Permission in Principle

**Note for <u>major</u> development proposals**: all major developments are required to submit an Ecological Impact Assessment (a Preliminary Ecological Appraisal may be acceptable where no further surveys or only precautionary mitigation measures are required).

This form is designed to help you establish whether your proposal is likely to affect biodiversity, what additional information you will need to provide to support your application, and how to access this information.

There are many legally protected sites of nature conservation importance and non-statutory local wildlife sites (see Appendix 1), priority habitats (see Appendix 3) and a wide range of legally protected, notable and priority species (see Appendix 6) in the District. Developments and works can adversely affect these and Local Planning Authorities (LPAs) are legally required by the Government to consider the conservation of biodiversity when determining a planning application.

Government planning policies for biodiversity are set out in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), while the LPA's local plan will set out how they address these requirements in local policy terms. The LPA needs to be able to understand what the potential biodiversity constraints of the development might be, and how these will be avoided, mitigated, or as a last resort compensated.

A list of Appendices and additional advice can be found in the **Biodiversity Guidance for Applications** document accompanying this assessment form. Copies are also available to download on the Council's Planning Validation page via the following link:

<u>Cotswold District Council – Planning application support information</u>



## **Self-Assessment Form**

The submitted information in this form will be reviewed by the Local Planning Authority. You may be asked for further clarification if necessary, either prior to validation, or during the application process.

If you answer 'YES' to any of the following questions, please include a brief explanation under 'Comments', outlining the likelihood of the development having an adverse impact on the identified site. For example, stating that the identified feature is outside the development footprint/boundaries (see Appendix 8).

If your answers identify that your proposal may potentially have an adverse impact on designated sites, priority or other notable habitats or legally protected or notable species, you are required to submit a biodiversity report (see the 'Biodiversity Reports' section of the Biodiversity Guidance for Applications document).

Please answer all questions **YES (Y)** or **NO (N)** by marking the appropriate box against each question.

## 1.0 Habitats

The following habitats may be indicative of a Local Wildlife Site (see Appendix 1) or Priority Habitat within or adjacent to the application site (see Appendix 3) and may be of importance to Protected and Notable species (see Appendix 6).

1.1	Are any of the following present on or within <b>100m</b> of the application site?	Υ	N	Comments
1.1.1	Estuary or Saltmarsh		$\boxtimes$	Click or tap here to enter text.
1.1.2	Wetlands or Marshes		$\boxtimes$	Click or tap here to enter text.
1.1.3	River (including banks and adjacent habitat)  If yes, please also state whether this is within 10m of site		$\boxtimes$	Click or tap here to enter text.
1.1.4	Stream (including banks and adjacent habitat)  If yes, please also state whether this is within 10m of site			A small drainage channel that links different lakes runs through the plot. The proposed development is very unlikely to pose an adverse impact due to its small scale, it does not disturb this feature.
1.1.5	Lake (including banks and adjacent habitat)	$\boxtimes$		Newfound lake (one of the post-gravel extraction



	If yes, please also state whether this is within 10m of site			lakes) is immediately adjacent to the plot (31m away). The proposed development is very unlikely to pose an adverse impact due to its small scale compared to other consented and completed structures nearby.
1.1.6	Pond and/or ditches			The drainage stream noted above could be described as a "ditch" when water is not free-flowing. The proposed development is very unlikely to pose an adverse impact due to its small scale – it does not disturb this feature.
1.1.7	Mixed / Broad-leaved woodland	$\boxtimes$		
1.1.8	Deciduous Woodland	П	$\boxtimes$	Click or tap here to enter text.
1.1.9	Veteran trees			(Trees are relatively young given the previous industrial use (quarrying) of the site.)
1.1.10	Traditional orchard		$\boxtimes$	Click or tap here to enter text.
1.1.11	Derelict orchard/brownfield land		$\boxtimes$	Click or tap here to enter text.
1.1.12	Areas of scrub well-connected to woodland or hedgerows	$\boxtimes$		Not hedgerows (there are none), but the woodland as described above is connected in some areas, separated by residential plots and access roads in others.



4.4.42			The proposed development is very unlikely to pose an adverse impact due to its small scale and very limited impact on the tree cover.
1.1.13	Hedgerows (including field hedgerows over 1m tall and over 0.5m deep)	$\boxtimes$	Click or tap here to enter text.
1.1.14	Arable field margins supporting assemblages of rare arable plants	$\boxtimes$	Click or tap here to enter text.
1.1.15	Mosaic habitats on previously developed land	$\boxtimes$	Click or tap here to enter text.
1.1.16	Heathland	$\boxtimes$	Click or tap here to enter text.
1.1.17	Flower-rich Meadow/Grassland	$\boxtimes$	Click or tap here to enter text.
1.1.18	Rough grassland	$\boxtimes$	Click or tap here to enter text.
1.1.19	Ruderal vegetation		Ruderal vegetation assumed to be present following landscape reinstatement works post quarrying.  The proposed development is very unlikely to pose an adverse impact due to its small scale and careful siting.
1.1.20	Log and/or rubble piles  Mammal burrows		There may be log piles in the existing woodland described above. The proposed development is very unlikely to pose an adverse impact due to its small scale, log piles can be left undisturbed.



		$\boxtimes$		burrows. The
				proposed
				development is
				very unlikely to
				pose an adverse
				impact due to its
				small scale and
				siting can be
				adjusted slightly to suit on-site
				conditions.
1.1.22				Click or tap here
1.1.22	Railway land			to enter text.
	·	Ш	$\boxtimes$	
1.1.23	Mature/ overgrown gardens over 0.1ha			Click or tap here
	Mature/ Overgrown gardens over 0.111a		$\boxtimes$	to enter text.
1.1.24				Click or tap here
	Allotments		$\boxtimes$	to enter text.

## 2.0 Protected and Notable species

The following features are of importance to Protected and Notable species such as bats, barn owls, dormice and great crested newts, and may indicate their presence.

2.1	Do any of the following apply to existing buildings that are to be part of proposed works?	Y	N	Comments
2.1.1	Hanging tiles timber cladding/weatherboarding or roof voids where the building is within 200m of woodland, hedgerow network or water (See Appendix 5),			Click or tap here to enter text.
2.1.2	Pre-1960 buildings or structures within 200m of woodland, hedgerow network or water		$\boxtimes$	Click or tap here to enter text.
2.1.3	Pre-1914 buildings or structures within 400m of woodland, hedgerow network or water		$\boxtimes$	Click or tap here to enter text.



2.1.4	Pre-1914 buildings or structures with gable ends, traditional clay tile roofs or slates roofs, hanging tiles or weathering boarding regardless of location		Click or tap here to enter text.
2.1.5	Agricultural buildings, particularly traditional brick or stone construction and/or with exposed wooden beams greater than 20cm thick	$\boxtimes$	Click or tap here to enter text.
2.1.6	Any structure with open flying access within 200m of woodland, hedgerow network or water	$\boxtimes$	Click or tap here to enter text.
2.1.7	Any structure with gaps around roof structures e.g. flashing, ridge tiles, facia and soffit boards, within 200m of woodland, hedgerow network or water	$\boxtimes$	Click or tap here to enter text.
2.1.8	Structures where there is known current or historic bat use	$\boxtimes$	Click or tap here to enter text.
2.1.9	Cellar, ice-house, tunnels or similar underground ducts and structures	$\boxtimes$	Click or tap here to enter text.
2.1.10	Bridge structures, especially over water or wet ground	$\boxtimes$	Click or tap here to enter text.
2.1.11	Gravel pits, quarries, natural cliff faces, rock outcrops, caves or swallets	$\boxtimes$	Click or tap here to enter text.

2.2	Will proposals affect trees with any of the following features?	Υ	N	Comments
2.2.1	Obvious holes, cracks or cavities		$\boxtimes$	Trees are quite young and have thin trunk diameters
2.2.2	Dense vegetation (e.g. ivy)		$\boxtimes$	Click or tap here to enter text.
2.2.3	Trees with a circumference greater that 1m at chest height		$\boxtimes$	Click or tap here to enter text.

2.3	Does the application site fall within ANY of the following areas?	Y	N	Comments
2.3.1	Within <b>50m</b> of a pond AND within <b>Red / Amber</b> Impact Risk Zones of the District Level licensing scheme modelled map (NatureSpace)? (see Appendix 2)	$\boxtimes$		If Newfound lake counts as a "pond" and making an estimate on the



				very high-level mapping available from NatureSpace Partnership. The proposed development is very unlikely to pose an adverse impact due to its small scale.
2.3.2	Within <b>250m</b> of a pond AND within <b>Red / Amber</b> Impact Risk Zones of the District Level licensing scheme modelled map (NatureSpace)?	$\boxtimes$		See note above.
2.3.3	Within <b>500m</b> of a pond AND more than <b>5Ha</b> in area		$\boxtimes$	Click or tap here to enter text.
2.3.4	Within an area with habitat connectivity between the site and nearby pond e.g. hedgerows, grassland, scrub, woodland, tree lines, old dry-stone walls			The site is within an area of "young trees" which is close to the water edge. The proposed development is very unlikely to pose an adverse impact due to its small scale.

		Υ	N	Comments
2.4	Will the proposal involve flood lighting or a significant increase in external lighting?		$\boxtimes$	Click or tap here to enter text.
2.5	Is the proposal for a wind turbine?		$\boxtimes$	Click or tap here to enter text.
2.6	Is the proposal for a solar farm?		$\boxtimes$	Click or tap here to enter text.



		Υ	N	Comments
3.1	Is the application site on or within <b>50m</b> of a SSSI, SAC, SPA or Ramsar site?  (Householder applications only, see Appendix 1)			Within 50m of SSSI (approx 40m away). The proposed development is very unlikely to pose an adverse impact due to its small scale in relation to already consented and constructed buildings nearby.
3.2	Is the application site on or within <b>200m</b> of a SSSI, SAC, SPA or Ramsar site?  (All other applications, see Appendix 1)			Householder application
3.3	Is the site within a SSSI impact risk zone (IRZ) and does it correspond to any of the development types listed in the results?  Note: If Yes, the LPA would need to consult Natural England		$\boxtimes$	Click or tap here to enter text.
3.4	Is the application for residential or tourism development within:  Note: If Yes, the Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) Mitigation Strategy (in preparation) must be applied or a bespoke mitigation strategy put forward to compensate for recreational impacts (See Appendix 1)	Υ	N	Comments
3.4.1	The zone of influence (9.4km) of the North Meadow and Clattinger SAC?		$\boxtimes$	11.2km distant (as measured n Bing Maps)
3.4.2	The zone of influence (15.4km) of the Cotswold Beechwoods SAC?		$\boxtimes$	19.7km distant (as measured n Bing Maps)

<u>Disclaimer:</u> this form cannot include all protected species and all circumstances where they may be affected. Legislation relating to protected species applies in all circumstances and it is the responsibility of the applicant/developer to ensure that protected species and habitats are not impacted as a result of development. If protected species are found during the course of development, work must stop and advice sought from a competent (suitably qualified and/or experienced) ecologist and/or Natural England on any special precautions before continuing, including the need for a derogation licence.



The application may not be validated if any of the information submitted proves to be inadequate or inaccurate. If validated and the information is subsequently found not to fully address any potential impacts then further information may be required during the course of any planning application, for instance, if any of the information you have provided needs clarification, or if other potential impacts are identified. If sufficient information on ecological issues is not provided by the time the application needs to be determined, the application may be invalidated or refused.

It is strongly advised that you consider biodiversity at the **earliest possible stage** in your project as there are seasonal constraints to much of the survey work that may be needed to support your application.

Reports may not be required where applicants are able to provide pre-application correspondence from Natural England, the Local Authority or their ecological advisor that confirms that they are satisfied that the proposal will not have an adverse impact on any features identified in Sections 1.0, 2.0 or 3.0

Office use only – to be completed by the Validation Team:				
1	Have <b>ALL</b> questions and sections been completed?	Y/N	If Yes, go to 2	If NO, application should not be validated
2	Have any questions been answered 'Yes'?	Y/N	If YES, go to 3	If NO, application can be validated
3	Does the applicant's completed checklist conclude that, although there may be some biodiversity-related issues, no impacts are likely to occur and no mitigation is required?	Y/N	If YES, application can be validated	If NO, go to 4
4	Has an appropriate biodiversity report* been submitted to address all the potential impacts and recommend mitigation and enhancement measures?	Y/N	If YES, application can be validated	If NO, application should not be validated
	Date completed			

<sup>\*</sup>Note: Appropriate reports are: Preliminary Ecological Appraisal (where no further surveys are necessary or only precautionary mitigation measures are required) or Ecological Impact Assessment in most cases.

