

Membership No.FE00604

## Mark Hinsley Arboricultural Consultants Ltd. MSc Res Man (Arb), OND (Arb), F.Arbor.A



Established 1994

## Tel: 01202 876177Company Reg. No. 07232825VAT Reg. No. GB 730399627Reg. Office Address:Office F11, 10 Whittle Road, Ferndown, Dorset, England BH21 7RU

Our Ref: 1049-2023 Demolition/Con Hill/JC/IH/03/24

19th March 2024

BCP Council Town Hall Bournemouth Dorset BH2 6DY

# TREES AT FORMER BOURNEMOUTH & POOLE COLLEGE, CONSTITUTION HILL ROAD, POOLE.

**Brief:** Survey trees at Former Bournemouth & Poole College, Constitution Hill Road, Poole from the plans provided. Comment upon their condition & suitability for retention. Design a tree protection plan for the demolition of the buildings on the site.

Date of Inspection: 15.11.23. Inspected by: John Christopher MArborA, FdScArb, HNC Building Studies Ivan Hinsley BSc

Survey method: On foot ground level visual.

**Findings:** From the on-site, ground level survey that was conducted at the former Bournemouth & Poole College, Constitution Hill Road, Poole, 67 individual trees and 4 groups of trees were found to stand on the site of the proposed development which could have an impact on the proposed development. There is a significant difference between the height of the top level of the site and the lowest level, with a number of different levels within that. There are several buildings on the site of varying condition. There are large areas of hardstanding, tarmac, and paving. The site has had little vegetation management and so is becoming overgrown by invasive species that need to be controlled.

### TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE FOR FORMER BOURNEMOUTH & POOLE COLLEGE, CONSTITUTION HILL ROAD, POOLE.

#### **Survey Technique**

The surveyed trees were visually assessed from ground level as far as access allowed. No climbing inspections or invasive examination techniques were carried out. Access to some trees was restricted, in such cases the descriptions of the trees given in the survey schedule are subject to the tree being free of significant defects that were not clearly visible. Detail on the individual trees assessed is given in the survey schedule using the format in BS5837: 2012 'Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction – Recommendations', please read in conjunction with the enclosed Tree Survey Plan. The columns and abbreviations used are:

Column 1 = T - Tree number marked on the submitted plan.

Column 2 = The Latin binomial and common name if applicable.

Column 3 = Hgt – Approximate tree height, in metres; to the nearest 0.5m if under 10m.

Column 4 = Dbh - Diameter (rounded to the nearest 10mm). Single stemmed trees, at 1.5m above ground level. Low branched trees, at the narrowest point below the fork. Trunks with irregular swellings, at the narrowest point below the swelling. Multi stemmed trees, each stem measured at 1.5m above ground level. # estimated value if unable to gain access.

Column 5 = RPA – The Root Protection Area: radius measured in metres from the centre of the trunk.

Column 6 = B/S - Approximate branch spread to the four cardinal points of the compass, in meters.

Column 7 = FSB – Height of first significant branch above ground level in meters and direction of growth Column 8 = C/C – Height of canopy above ground level, in meters.

Column 8 = Age – Age class as representation of passage through normal life cycle – Y=Young,

SM= Semi-Mature, EM = Early Mature, M=Mature, FM = Fully Mature, OM = Over Mature.

Column 9 = R/C - Estimated remaining contribution, in years.

Column 10 = Cat – BS5837: 2012 Survey category.

Categories are:-

#### **U Trees unsuitable for retention** (Red on plan)

Trees that can not realistically be retained, in the context of the current land use, for longer than 10 years.

#### A Trees of high quality (Green on plan)

Trees able to make a substantial contribution for a minimum of 40 years. Particularly good examples of trees, or essential components of groups of arboricultural features e.g. avenues. Visual importance or significant conservation, historical or other value. Veteran trees, especially if ancient.

#### **B Trees of moderate quality** (Blue on plan)

Those in such a condition as to be able to make a significant contribution for a minimum of 20 years. Might be category A but have defects or lack special qualities; or growing in a high value group. Has conservation or cultural values.

#### **C Trees of low quality** (Grey on plan)

Unremarkable trees of limited merit, with a life expectancy of at least 10 years; or growing in a low value group. Also young trees with a stem diameter of below150mm.

Column 11 = General Observations - notes re structural and/or physiological condition, and/or preliminary management recommendations.

## SURVEY SCHEDULE

Т	Name & Species	Hgt	Dbh	RPA	B/S	C/C	Age	R/C	Cat	<b>General Observations</b>
1	Quercus robur	10	400	4.8	N 5	5	Μ	40+	С	Leaning to east. Poor
					E 6	2				form.
	Oak				S 4	4				
					W 2	4				
					FSB N2					
2	Quercus robur	12	450x2	7.6	N 5	5	YM	40+	В	Part of group. Good
					E4	5				condition. Bifurcated at
	Oak				<u>S 5</u>	5				1.5m.
						2				
2					FSB N4					Cana
3	0	0	250	4.0	N 5	4	VM	20	C	Gone
4	Quercus robur	9	350	4.2		4	YM	20-	C	Asymmetrical crown.
	Oalz					4		40		Part of group. Dead
	Оак				$\frac{52}{W3}$	4				suppressed by
					W J FSB N3	4				neighbouring cypress
5					130 113					Gone
6	Acer	12	500	6	N 5	3	YM	20-	С	Multi-stemmed Edge
0	nseudonlatanus	12	500	0	E 5	3	1 191	40	C	of group Poor form no
	pseudopiaianius				S 5	3		10		long-term future
	Sycamore				W 5	3				long term future.
	~ J				FSB S1	-				
7	Quercus robur	7	250	3	N 6	3	YM	20-	С	Young, suppressed.
	~				E 5	3		40		Leaning NE.
	Oak				S 2	3				asymmetrical canopy.
					W 3	3				Edge of group.
					FSB					
8	Taxus baccata	11	700	8.4	N 4	3	Μ	40+	С	Close to building.
					E 4	3				declining vigour.
	Yew				S 3	3				Previous pruning
					W 4	3				wounds ok.
					FSB S2					
CO	T	10	240	2.00	N 1	2	м	10	C	Omenanda I Na Iana
69	Juniperus	12	240	2.88		$\frac{2}{2}$	IVI	10-	C	overcrowded. No long-
	chinensis		ave			$\frac{2}{2}$		20		term future.
	Chinese juniper				32 W 1	$\frac{2}{2}$				
	Chinese jumper				FSB	2				
					TOD					
10	Quercus robur	17	810	12.76	N 9	3	FM	40+	А	On bank. In group,
	~		690		E 9	3				large dominant.
	Oak				S 9	5				Competing Laurel
					W 9	5				growing at base.
					FSB N4					Erosion of bank at base
										of tree on S side.

Т	Name & Species	Hgt	Dbh	RPA	B/S	C/C	Age	R/C	Cat	<b>General Observations</b>
11	Crataegus	6	300	3.6	N 3	2	Μ	10-	С	Edge of path. Part of
	monogyna				E 3	2		20		group.
					S 3	2				
	Hawthorn				W 3	2				
					FSB					
12	Betula pendula	15	490	7.44	N 6	4	FM	10-	С	Was twin stemmed,
	1		380		E 6	4		20		lost other stem.
	Silver birch				S 5	4		_		Subsequent cavity.
					W 4	4				Dominant. Poor lean on
					ESB E1					heavily weighted stem.
13	Pinus sylvestris	16	710	8.52	N7	8	М	40+	В	Edge of group.
10	1 thus sylvestris	10	/10	0.02	E8	6			2	Prominent
	Scots pine				<u>55</u>	6				Undergrowth prevented
	Secto pine				W4	8				close inspection Good
					ESB N4	0				tree
14	Quercus robur	16	380	4 56	N4	3	М	40+	В	Good tree. In group
11	Quereus robur	10	500	1.50	F2	3	1.11	101	D	Good dee. In group.
	Oak				54	3				
	Ouk				W3	3				
					FSB	5				
15	Dinus sulvestris	16	580	6.06	N5	8	м	401	P	Important Edge of
15	i mus sylvesiris	10	380	0.90	E5	6	IVI	40+	D	group
	Saata nina				E3 83	6				group.
	Scots pille				35 W5	6				
					WJ ESD N5	0				
16	Datula nondula	16	400	5 00	FSD INJ N4	5	м	20	D	Cood tree On
10	Бегина репаша	10	490	3.00	IN4 E2	5	IVI	20-	D	boundary July obsoured
	Silverhingh					5		40		boundary. Tvy obscured
	Silver bildi				35 W2	5				hispection.
						5				
17	Patula pandula	10	220	2.60	rod No	2	см	20	C	Growing out of
1/	Бегина репанна	10	220	2.00		$\frac{2}{2}$	5101	20-	C	rotaining well. Viewelly
	Silverhingh					5		40		retaining wall. Visually
	Silver blich				35 W/	2				torm future
					W4 ECD	2				term future.
10	Inninanus	11	250	2.0	гэd N1	2	м	20	C	Jun Dort of group
10	Juniperus	11	230	5.0		$\frac{2}{2}$	IVI	20-	C	Passonable
	chinensis				E2 82	$\frac{2}{2}$		40		Reasonable.
	Chinaga iuninan				52 W1	$\frac{2}{2}$				
	Chinese Juniper					Z				
10	Acar	10	150	1.0	N1	2	SM	10	C	Vouna Squirrol
19	Acer	10	150	1.0		2	5101	20	C	damaga
	pseudopiaianus				S2	3		20		uaillage.
	Sucamora				32 W2	3				
	Sycamore					3				
					1.2D					

Т	Name & Species	Hgt	Dbh	RPA	B/S	C/C	Age	R/C	Cat	<b>General Observations</b>
G20	Juniperus	12	240	2.88	N1	2	М	10-	С	Overcrowded. No long-
	chinensis		ave		E2	2		20		term future. Ok
					S2	2				
	Chinese juniper				W1	2				
21	4	16	220	2.04	FSB	2	373.4	40.	C	
21	Acer	16	320	3.84	N4 E4	2	YM	40+	С	Edge of group. Some
	pseudopiaianus				E4 S4	$\frac{2}{2}$				Quarbanging footnath
	Sycamore				W0	$\frac{2}{2}$				Important in skyline
	Sycamore				FSB	2				important in skyline
22	Acer	16	500	6.0	N6	8	FM	40+	С	Ivy present, could not
	pseudoplatanus				E8	8				closely inspect. Edge of
					S8	8				group. Dominant in
	Sycamore				W6	8				skyline. Large limb
					FSB E3					high in E canopy
										aspect. Internal decay-
23	Chamaeconaris	13	460		N				IT	Fell Significant root
23	lawsoniana	15	-00		E				U	nlate movement Major
	ian soniana				S					lean.
	Lawson cypress				W					
	V I				FSB					
24	Acer	12	290	3.48	N5	3	YM	10-	С	Edge of group.
	pseudoplatanus				E1	3		20		Suppressed.
	9				S5	3				Overhanging building.
	Sycamore				W D EGD	3				
25	Acar	14	400	5.88	гэd N6	3	м	10	C	Cavity at 2m
23	nseudoplatanus	17	770	5.00	E5	3	141	$20^{10-}$	C	Overhanging buildings
	poencieptanentis				25 S6	3				and footpath.
	Sycamore				W6	3				
	-				FSB					
G26	Juniperus	11	200	2.4	N1	3	Μ	20-	С	Overcrowded. No long-
	chinensis		ave		E1	3		40		term future. OK. Failed
	<b>CI</b> · · ·				S1	3				tree leaning over
	Chinese juniper				W I ECD	3				learning resources
					гэр					One member of group
										heavily leaning towards
										octagonal building.
										Recommendation is to
										fell leaning tree.
27	Pinus sylvestris	16	550	6.60	N7	7	FM	40+	В	Edge of group.
					E4	9				Dominant.
	Scots pine				S1	11				Overhanging footpath
					W4	4				and buildings. Close to
					L2R M0					ambedded in main
										stem.

Т	Name & Species	Hgt	Dbh	RPA	B/S	C/C	Age	R/C	Cat	<b>General Observations</b>
28	Pinus sylvestris	17	400	4.8	N1	11	Μ	40+	В	Part of group. Good
					E5	11				condition. Unknown
	Scots pine				<b>S</b> 3	11				wire attaching it to 593.
					W4	11				
					FSB					
29	Pinus sylvestris	12	320	3.84	N1	4	YM	20-	C	Part of group.
					E1	4		40		Reasonable.
	Scots pine				S4	4				
					W2	4				
					FSB					
30	Acer	12	330	3.30	N4	2	YM	10-	C	Twin-stemmed. West
	pseudoplatanus				E2	2		20		stem heavy lean. Poor
					S3	2				form. Previously lost
	Sycamore				W2	2				top.
		1.0	4.40	1.60	FSB		<u></u>	• •	~	
31	Acer	10	140	1.68	N3	3	SM	20-	C	Twin-stemmed.
	pseudoplatanus				E2	3		40		Squirrel damage.
					S2	3				
	Sycamore				W2	3				
22	D: 1 .	1.4	200	2.60	FSB		177.6	40		
32	Pinus sylvestris	14	300	3.60	N3		YM	40+	В	Dense undergrowth.
	G				E2					Could not closely
	Scots pine				S2					inspect. Part of group.
					W2					Reasonable.
22		16	420	516	FSB			10	D	
33	Pinus sylvestris	16	430	5.16	NZ E1		M	40+	В	Good tree. Dominant in
	C									group.
	Scots pine				33 W2					
34	Dinus sulvestris	18	700	8 40	N/	12	м	401	٨	On top of bank
54	F mus sylvesins	10	700	0.40	IN4 E5	12	IVI	40+	A	Dominant in group
	Scots nine				S7	12				Good form Minor
	Seots pine				W3	12				storm damage
					FSB F8	12				storm damage.
35	Quercus robur	12	400	4 00	N2	2	YM	40+	С	Bifurcation at 2m Part
55	Quereus robur	12	100	1.00	F4	$\frac{2}{2}$	1 1/1	101	C	suppressed Reasonable
	Oak				\$3	$\frac{1}{2}$				tree Part of group
					W4	2				accertant of group.
36	Ouercus robur	17	590	9.50	N8	6	М	40+	Α	Twin-stemmed.
	2		550		E8	6				Dominant in skyline.
	Oak				 S8	6				Bark wound from
					W8	6				rubbing laurel.
					FSB W4					6
37	Pinus sylvestris	12	220	2.64	N2	6	SM	40+	В	Good potential. Ivy.
			-	-	E1	6				1
	Scots pine				S2	6				
	L -				W1	6				
					FSB					

Т	Name & Species	Hgt	Dbh	RPA	B/S	C/C	Age	R/C	Cat	<b>General Observations</b>
38	<i>Quercus robur</i> Oak	15	650	7.80	N4 E7 S8 W5 FSB S4	2 2 2 2	М	40+	В	Edge of group. In skyline. North stem previously removed.
39	<i>Quercus robur</i> Oak	9	340	4.08	N2 E2 S3 W5 FSB		YM	10- 20	U	Dead
40	<i>Pinus sylvestris</i> Scots pine	18	462	5.54	N1 E3 S3 W0 FSB S8	5 5 5 5	М	10- 20	С	Leaning east. Asymmetrical crown. Fibre buckling. Overhanging building and car park. West spire previously removed.
41	<i>Pinus sylvestris</i> Scots pine	15	550	6.60	N3 E4 S8 W2 FSB S4	8 8 8 8	М	10- 20	C	Exposed root system. On bank. Edge of group. Erosion of bank on low side.
42	<i>Pinus pinaster</i> Maritime pine	20	900	10.80	N5 E7 S10 W3 FSB W8	15 12 12 15	Μ	40+	В	Ivy. Dominant in group. Highly visible. Edge of group. Heavy lean towards south.
43	<i>Pinus sylvestris</i> Scots pine	20	420	5.04	N1 E3 S0 W0 FSB	5 5 5 5	М	20- 40	С	Poor form. Edge of group. Close to hard surfacing.
44	<i>Betula pendula</i> Silver birch	15	200 350	4.60	N5 E5 S4 W4 FSB N1	2 2 4 2	FM	20- 40	C	Highly visible tree growing out of retaining structure. Edge of car park- no long-term future.
45	<i>Betula pendula</i> Silver birch	10	220	2.60	N2 E3 S3 W4 FSB	2 2 5 2	SM	20- 40	C	Growing out of retaining wall. Visually prominent. No long- term future.
46	<i>Juniperus</i> <i>chinensis</i> Chinese juniper	13	350 300 250 250	7.20	N5 E5 S5 W5 FSB E3	3 2 2 2	М	20- 40	С	Poor internal structure. Competing stems, multi-stemmed at base. Poor planting location.

Т	Name & Species	Hgt	Dbh	RPA	B/S	C/C	Age	R/C	Cat	<b>General Observations</b>
47	Taxus baccata	7	200	2.40	N3	3	SM	40+	В	Young tree.
					E3	3				
	Yew				S3	3				
					W3	3				
					FSB					
48	Quercus robur	17	900	10.80	N8	3	М	40+	В	Significant tree. Bark
					E8	5				loss low down. Could
	Oak				S8	2.5				not closely inspect.
					W9	3				Storm damage on S
					FSB S5					FSB limb. Crown lift to
10		-					<i>a</i> . <i>c</i>	10	-	4 metres
49	Taxus baccata	6	250	3.00	N4	2.5	SM	40+	В	Young planting, has
	37				E4	2.5				potential.
	Yew				S4	2.5				
					W4	2.5				
50	4	0	220	2 (0	FSB	-	CM (	10	0	D ( 1
50	Acer	9	220	2.60	N4 E1	5	SM	10-	C	Fibre buckling law
	pseudopiaianus					0		20		Fibre buckling, low
	Sucomoro				51 W/	3				future
	Sycamore				FSB	5				Tuture.
51	Thuia plicata	10	220	2.60	N3	3	SM	10-	C	In decline nart
51	Τπαja piicaia	10	220	2.00	F2	1	3111	20	C	suppressed No long-
	Western red cedar				S1	4		20		term future
	Western red eedar				W2	4				
					FSB	Т				
52	Ouercus robur	11	350	4.20	N7	4	SM	40+	С	Suppressed. Leans to
	2				E3	5			-	N. Ok. Poor form.
	Oak				S2	2.5				Could not thoroughly
					W7	2.5				inspect. Crown lift to 4
					FSB W5					metres
53	Quercus robur	15	950	11.40	N5	6	FM	40+	В	Significant storm
					E7	6				damage, lost top.
	Oak				S12	5				Potential decay column
					W9	3				in main stem. Could
					FSB W4					not thoroughly inspect.
										Crown lift to 4 metres
54	Fagus sylvatica	17	750	9.00	N8	3	FM	40+	В	Suppressed, leans to N.
					E4	6				Poor limb structure.
	Beech				S4	4				Could not thoroughly
					W10	2				inspect. Crown lift to 4
0.55			200		FSB N4			10		metres
G55	Chamaecyparis	8	200	2.40	N2	1	YM	10-	C	Previously topped.
	leylandii		ave		E2			20		Good screen.
	T 1 1				82 W2					
	Leyland cypress				W2	1				
					L2R					
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	

Senior Consultant: Mark Hinsley MSc Res Man(Arb), OND(Arb), F.Arbor.A. Consultant: John Christopher FdScArb, HNC Building Studies, M.Arbor.A. Arboriculturalist: Ivan Hinsley BSc Support staff: Claire Perry, Teresa O'Neale

Т	Name & Species	Hgt	Dbh	RPA	B/S	C/C	Age	R/C	Cat	<b>General Observations</b>
56	Crataegus	5	190	2.28	N2	1	YM	20-	С	Small screening.
	monogyna				E2	1		40		
					S2	1				
	Hawthorn				W2	1				
		•	1250	17.00	FSB			10		
57	Quercus robur	20	1350	15.00	N8	6	Μ	40+	A	Some dead wood in
	0-1-				E0 C10	6				crown. Good, large
	Oak				S10 W9	0				tree. Dominant.
					WO FSB N/	0				
58	Quercus robur	16	820	9.85	N8	7	FM	40+	С	Overhanging building
50	Quereus robui	10	020	2.05	E8	7	1 1/1	101		Dominant in skyline
	Oak				S4	10				Bark delamination.
					W6	10				Internal decay
					FSB N3					(possibly honey
										fungus). Internal
										plumbing problem.
59	Quercus robur	7	1200	14.40	N5	3	OM	40+	В	Heavily crown
					E7	5				reduced. Prominent.
	Oak				S5	4				Dominant. Brown
					W7	4				cubicle rot in E limb @
					FSB E2					1m. Long over
										extended limb- reduce
(0)		10	700	7.00	NG	(	373.6	10	<b>T</b> T	before failure.
60	Quercus robur	10	700	7.00	N6 E2	6	ΥM	10-	U	Previously lost top.
	Oalz					2		20		Lost major stem.
	Oak				50 W5	5				huilding Honoy fungue
					WJ FSB	4				at base. No visible
					150					internal infection
61	Acer	12	550	6.60	N6	2	М	10-	С	Bifurcation at 2m.
01	pseudoplatanus		550	0.00	E6	$\frac{1}{2}$		20	Ũ	Overhanging building.
	<i>Γ</i> ~ · · · · · <i>Γ</i> · · · · · · ·				S4	$\overline{2}$				Could not closely
	Sycamore				W4	2				inspect.
	-				FSB N3					
62	Corylus avellana	6	1200	12.00	N6	1	Μ	20-	С	Multi-stemmed. Old
					E3	1		40		coppice.
	Hazel				<b>S</b> 3	1				
					W3	1				
			• 1 0		FSB			• •	~	
63	Acer	7	210	2.52	N4	$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	YM	20-	C	Previously fallen and
	pseudoplatanus				E2	2		40		re-rooted. Obscure
	Sucomoro				33 W2	$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$				101111.
	Sycamore				WZ FSB	2				
					1.90					

Т	Name & Species	Hgt	Dbh	RPA	B/S	C/C	Age	R/C	Cat	<b>General Observations</b>
64	Betula pendula	10	190	2.28	N2	4	YM	20-	С	Ivy. Reasonable.
					E1	4		40		Visible from adjacent
	Silver birch				<b>S</b> 1	4				properties.
					W1	4				
					FSB					
65	Quercus robur	12	750	9.00	N8	3	FM	40+	В	Edge of group.
					E9	3				Dominant.
	Oak				S9	3				
					W2	3				
				10.00	FSB W4			10	_	
66	Quercus robur	16	1150	13.80	N8	3	FM	40+	В	Large. Dominant.
	0.1				E/	3				Cavities from lost
	Oak				S10	3				limbs. Dead wood in
					W/	3				canopy. Ivy present,
					F2B W4					could not closely
										inspect base. Edge of
67	Aasoulus	8	420	5.00	N2	3	SM	40+	C	Young Woodland
07	hippocastanum	0	420	5.00	E5	3	5101	40+	C	adga Door limb
	nippocasianam				S5	3				structure – crossing
	Horse chestnut				33 W4	3				rubbing limbs Root
	Horse enestinat				ESB E2	5				girdling
68	Ouercus robur	20	1200	14 40	N6	9	FM	40+	В	Roadside Significant
	2	_0	1200	1.0.00	E7	9			-	dead wood in canopy.
	Oak				S5	7				Needs to have dead
					W7	7				wood removed. In
					FSB E4					decline.
69	Quercus robur	17	750	9.00	N7	4	М	40+	U	Fell. Standing dead.
	~				E3	3				C
	Oak				S4	4				
					W3	4				
					FSB					
70	Quercus robur	9	520	6.24	N5	5	М	40+	С	Roadside tree. Stunted.
					E5	4				Dead wood needing to
	Oak				S3	4				be removed.
					W3	4				
					FSB S3					
G71	Betula pendula	12			N				U	Dead.
					E					
	Silver birch				S					
					W					
		1		1	FSB					

**General Constraints:** Trees placed in the removal 'U' category are assessed upon their condition and not on any planning proposals which may require the removal of the tree for other reasons; category U trees are unsuitable for retention in a development context and should be removed for sound arboricultural reasons.

When considering the retention of trees in a planning context, preference should be given to retaining trees in categories A and B as these are the trees that contribute most to the amenity of the site and surroundings for the longest time.

Category C trees are of lesser importance, they would not usually be retained where they would impose a significant restraint on development.

Groups of even low value trees may have a collective screening or group value in the landscape that is higher than the individual categories of the component trees might suggest.

The enclosed tree survey plan indicates the initial root protection areas produced from the survey data. The Root Protection Areas (RPA's) for the trees have been calculated using the formula given in BS5837:2012. This is the recommended area around the tree in square metres within which no construction, excavation, soil stripping, level changes or other potentially harmful activities should take place unless appropriate precautions or techniques are employed to avoid root damage. Barriers should protect this area for the duration of any development works to avoid damage to the root system.

Adequate space should also be allowed for future growth, particularly around young and middle-aged trees.

These root protection areas have been scaled onto a flat plan. However, they represent a linear measurement to be taken across the topography of the ground. On steeply sloping areas a linear ground measurement will not extend so far across the plan as a flat ground measurement. It therefore follows that, on the steep areas of the site, it could be possible to create a more accurate, across the ground, root protection area measurement and marginally reduce some of the root protection areas from the limits shown on the enclosed plan.

#### Impact Assessment:

With the regard to the trees on the site at the former Bournemouth & Poole College, Constitution Hill Road, Poole, the retention of the trees on the top tier of the site is important for the screening of the site from the surrounding housing. The large bank between the top tier and the lower level is important to the amenity value of the site and maintaining the site biodiversity value.

Trees T44, T45 and T46 are to be felled as part of the demolition works within the Constitution Hill site. The felling of these trees is an acceptable loss due to their isolation from the wider tree resource and close proximity to buildings intended for demolition.

Several trees along the eastern boundary of the site, T48, T52, T53 and T54 will be crown lifted over the tarmac vehicular access along the eastern boundary of the site. This crown lifting will allow the free movement of demolition related plant into and out of the main site entrance along Constitution Hill Road.

Tree protection barriers will be erected prior to commencement of all demolition activities. The barriers will confirm to BS5837: 2012 Figure 2, being a braced vertical and horizontal scaffold framework, well braced to resist impact; onto this framework weldmesh panels are securely fixed.

Figure 2 barrier specification is illustrated below We have not investigated the possibility of existing underground services in any of the areas shown to receive protective barriers; these will be matters for onsite risk assessments by the appointed contractor.



The tree protection barriers have been aligned to allow use of two of the hard surfaced access routes from the lower to upper tiers of the site. A formal demolition plant access and pedestrian access have been highlighted on the accompanying tree protection plan. All other footpaths between the upper and lower levels of the site are to be fenced off and separated from the working area.

All existing hard surfacing located within the root protection areas of the tree resource found on site will be retained throughout the demolition period.

The use of heavy machinery, including a 21 Tonne excavator with 360 slew is considered to be acceptable for the demolition of buildings within the site. The machinery will not compromise the tree protection barriers. Demolition will take place working away from retained trees where practicable, with buildings pulled in on their own footprints to prevent any potential collapse of buildings into root protection areas.

If you require any further information at this stage, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Yours sincerely

Ivan Hinsley



G26 Chinese Juniper in poor condition and leaning over octagonal building within site. This tree should be felled.



Low canopies of trees over access into middle of site. Crown lifting to canopies should be undertaken to create 4m ground clearance.



G71 Dead Silver Birch



T69 Standing dead Oak





T68 Oak in decline. This tree should be monitored for further decline in condition.