SuDS Drainage Strategy November 2023

EAS

The White Hart, St Albans Road, South Mimms EN6 3PJ

Griggs (South Mimms) Limited

TRANSPORT PLANNING III HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE III FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 8HN. Tel 01920 871 777 et contect@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

EAS is a trading name of EAS Transport Planning Uit Registered in England and Wales No. 5751442

Document History

JOB NUMBER:	3991/2023 SuDS The White Hart South Mimme
REVISIONS:	B – Final

Revision	Comments	Ву	Checked	Authorised	Date
А	Client Draft	MD	MD	SA	29.09.2023
В	Final	MD	MD	MD	21.11.2023
С					
D					
E					

This document has been prepared for the sole use of Griggs (South Mimms) Ltd. Its content should not be relied upon by others without the written authority of EAS Transport Planning Ltd. If any unauthorised third party makes use of this report they do so at their own risk and EAS Transport Planning Ltd owe them no duty of care or skill.

The content of this report is based on information available as of November 2023, the validity of the statements made may therefore vary over time as planning guidance / policies and the evidence base change.

SuDS Drainage Statement | The White Hart, South Mimms

EAS

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Policy Context	2
	Introduction	2
	National Planning Policy Framework	2
	Local Policy	3
	Hertsmere Core Strategy Development	
	Planning Document	3
	Hertsmere Site Allocations and Develop	oment
	Management Policies Plan 2016	3
	Hertsmere Borough Council Strategic F	lood
	Risk Assessment (SFRA)	5
3	Existing Site Assessment	8
	Site Description	8
	Local Watercourses	8
	Site Levels	8
	Geology	8
	Sewers	8
	Existing Drainage	9
4	Potential Sources of Flooding	10
	Fluvial	10
	Surface Water	10
	Reservoir	10
	Groundwater	10
	Sewer	10
5	Proposed Drainage Strategy	12
	Relevant SuDS Policy	12
	Site-Specific SuDS	12
	Consideration of SuDS Hierarchy	13
	Surface Water Drainage Design Parame	eters
		14
	Pre-Development Runoff Rates and Dis	charge
	Volumes	15
	Post Development Runoff Rate	16
	Proposed SuDS Strategy	17
	Long Term Storage	18
	Exceedance Event	18

Surface Water Pump Alarm System	18
Water Quality	19

6 Maintenance of the Proposed Drainage System 21

Geocellular Attenuation Device Mainter Activities	1and 21	ce
Permeable Paving Maintenance Activiti Raingarden Planters Maintenance Activ	es /itie:	22 s
	23	
Manholes, Sewers and Inspection Char	nbe	rs -
Maintenance	24	
Surface Water Pumps – Pump Failure	24 Alari	m
System - Maintenance	24	
Conclusion	25	
Surface Water Drainage Strategy Sumr Conclusion	nary 26	/25
Annondiaca	07	
Appendices	21	
Appendix: A – Location Plan	1	
Appendix: B – Proposed Development	Plar 2	IS
Appendix: C – Flood Map for Planning	3	
Appendix: D – Topographical Survey	4	
Appendix: E – Thames Water Asset Loo		on
Appendix F – Surface Water Flood Mar)S	6
Appendix G – Thames Water Pre-		Ū
Development Enquiry	7	
Appendix H - Existing Impermeable Are	as	8
Appendix I – Pre-Development Runoff I	Rate	es
and Volumes	9	
Appendix J – Proposed SubS Layout		dol
Results	11	Juei
Appendix L – Exceedance Routes	12	
Appendix M - Klargester Treatment Dev	/ice	
Mitigation Indices	13	

SuDS Drainage Statement | The White Hart, South Mimms

TRANSPORT PLANNING III HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE III FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 BHN. Tei 01920 871 777 e: contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

7

8

1

1 Introduction

- 1.1 EAS have been commissioned to prepare a SuDS Drainage Report to accompany a planning application at The White Hart, St Albans Road, South Mimms, EN6 3PJ. The site location plan is included in **Appendix A**.
- 1.2 The proposals are for: "Conversion and extension of the former public house into six apartments, conversion of outbuilding into a two-bedroom apartment and construction of a detached infill dwelling, along with associated landscaping, bin store, cycle storage and vehicle parking." The proposed development plans are in **Appendix B**.
- 1.3 The site under 1ha, is located in Flood Zone 1 and is at very low to low risk of all other sources of flooding therefore a full flood risk assessment is not required. The focus of this report will be the SuDS strategy.
- 1.4 The contents of this SuDS report is based on the advice set out in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) published in September 2023, Annex 3: Flood risk vulnerability classification, also from the NPPF and PPG 'Guidance for Flood Risk and Coastal Change', updated in August 2022.
- 1.5 This report is based on the Flood Map for Planning, geology mapping, site-specific ground investigations, OS mapping, topographic survey, Strategic Flood Risk Assessment and local policy.
- 1.6 This document includes the following sections:
 - Section 2 describes the relevant policy;
 - Section 3 site description, including site levels, proximity to watercourses etc.;
 - Section 4 outlines potential sources of flooding;
 - Section 5 details the proposed drainage strategy;
 - Section 6 details maintenance tasks for the chosen SuDS features;
 - Section 7 concludes the report.

SuDS Drainage Statement | The White Hart, South Mimms

2

2 Policy Context

Introduction

2.1 This section sets out the policy context. This report is based on the advice set out in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) published in September 2023 and the Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) updated in August 2022.

National Planning Policy Framework

2.2 Paragraph 167 footnote 55 of the NPPF states:

"A site-specific flood risk assessment should be provided for all developments in Flood Zones 2 and 3. In Flood Zone 1, an assessment should accompany all proposals involving: sites of 1 hectare or more; land which has been identified by the Environment Agency as having critical drainage problems; land identified in a strategic flood risk assessment as being at increased flood risk in future; or land that may be subject to other sources of flooding, where its development would introduce a more vulnerable use."

The flood zones are defined as:

- Flood Zone 1 Land assessed as having a less than 1 in 1,000 (<0.1%) annual probability of flooding from fluvial sources;
- Flood Zone 2 Land assessed as having between a 1 in a 100 and 1 in 1,000 (1% to 0.1%) annual probability of flooding from fluvial sources;
- Flood Zone 3a Land assessed as having a 1 in 100 or greater (>1%) annual probability of flooding from fluvial sources, or at least 0.5% annual probability of tidal flooding;
- Flood Zone 3b Land where water has to flow or be stored in times of flood.
- 2.3 Paragraph 159 discusses the suitability of development location, particularly with regards to future risks induced by climate change:

"Inappropriate development in areas at risk of flooding should be avoided by directing development away from areas at highest risk (whether existing or future). Where development is necessary in such areas, the development should be made safe for its lifetime without increasing flood risk elsewhere".

2.4 Paragraph 160 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) sets out how:

"Strategic policies should be informed by a strategic flood risk assessment, and should manage flood risk from all sources. They should consider cumulative impacts in, or affecting, local areas susceptible to flooding, and take account of advice from the Environment Agency and other relevant flood risk management authorities, such as lead local flood authorities and internal drainage boards".

2.5 Paragraphs 169 NPPF discusses the application of sustainable drainage systems:

SuDS Drainage Statement | The White Hart, South Mimms

"Major developments should incorporate sustainable drainage systems unless there is clear evidence that this would be inappropriate. The systems used should:

- Take account of advice from the lead local flood authority;
- Have appropriate proposed minimum operational standards;
- Have maintenance arrangements in place to ensure an acceptable standard of operation of the lifetime of the development; and
- Where possible, provide multifunctional benefits."
- 2.6 The Flood Map for Planning has been enclosed in **Appendix C**. The site is located in Flood Zone 1 with an annual probability of flooding from fluvial sources of less than 0.1%.

Local Policy

Hertsmere Core Strategy Development Planning Document

- 2.7 The Hertsmere Core Strategy was adopted in January 2013. The relevant policy is CS16.
- 2.8 Policy CS16 Environmental impact of development

"The Council will work with key partners, including the Environment Agency and Natural England, to ensure that development proposals do not create an unacceptable level of risk to occupiers of a site, the local community and the wider environment. Development proposals should take account of the policy recommendations of the Council's SFRA and the guidance set out in the jointly produced guidance of the Hertfordshire Planning Authorities 'Building Futures' the Hertfordshire Guide to Promoting Sustainability in Development. Proposals will be required to incorporate sustainability principles, minimising their impact on the environment and ensuring prudent use of natural resources by measures including:

i) Avoiding development in the floodplain and close to river corridors unless the requirements of the sequential and exceptions tests have been met and flood prevention/mitigation measures are in place as required by the Environment Agency;

ii) Improving water efficiency by reducing water consumption through measures such as water saving devices in line with the Code for Sustainable Homes and BREEAM as a minimum requirement;

iii) Incorporating the use of Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDS) where appropriate and where required by the Flood and Water Management Act 2010 to help reduce the risk of flooding; ..."

Hertsmere Site Allocations and Development Management Policies Plan 2016

- 2.9 This document provides a balance between the Borough's housing and economic development needs, social welfare and protection of the environment.
- 2.10 Policy SADM14- Flood Risk

SuDS Drainage Statement | The White Hart, South Mimms

4

The risk of flooding will be avoided and reduced by:

- *i) locating development within areas of lower flood risk through the application of the sequential test and then applying an exception test in line with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF); and*
- *ii) ensuring that development proposals in flood risk areas actively manage and reduce flood risk by applying the sequential approach at site level.*
- *iii)* Where new development is proposed in a flood risk area, a site specific Flood Risk Assessment will be required. This must take into account the risk associated with all types of flooding.

Development must satisfy the following principles:

- *i)* It must not increase the risk of flooding elsewhere.
- *ii)* Within sites at risk of flooding, the most vulnerable parts of the proposed development should be located in areas of lowest flood risk, unless there are overriding reasons to prefer different locations.
- *iii)* Floor levels of development in Flood Zones 2 and 3 should be situated above the 1% (1 in 100 years) plus climate change predicted maximum water level, plus a minimum watertight depth of 300mm above the normal water level.
- *iv)* Development at risk from any form of flooding should be flood resilient and resistant, with safe access and escape routes: it should also be demonstrated that residual risks can be safely managed.
- *v)* Development should incorporate appropriate flood resilient features and flood mitigation measures.
- *vi*) Where possible the footprint of existing buildings should be reduced.
- vii) Any necessary flood protection or mitigation measure should not have an undue impact on nature conservation, landscape character, recreation or other important matter.
- *viii)* There should be no net loss in flood storage on site.
- *ix)* Flood flow routes should be preserved.
- *x)* Where possible, flood storage should be maximised through the use of green infrastructure and sustainable drainage systems.
- *xi)* The risk from all types of flooding should be reduced as a consequence of development, wherever possible.

Where necessary, planning permission will be conditional upon flood protection and/or runoff control measures being operative before other site works.

2.11 The site is located in Flood Zone 1 and is at very low risk of surface water flooding.

2.12 Policy SADM15 - Sustainable Drainage Systems

The design of new development should include sustainable drainage measures.

SuDS Drainage Statement | The White Hart, South Mimms

TRANSPORT PLANNING I HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE FLOOD RISK

1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 #HN. Tei 01920 871 777 e: contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

In particular, the Council will require the introduction of sustainable drainage (SuDS) on all major developments (as defined in the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015 and any subsequent order). The drainage scheme should provide the most sustainable option from the SuDS hierarchy. Measures should attenuate water runoff at source (e.g., through attenuation ponds, filter strips, swales) and achieve multiple benefits (including management of flood risk and surface water pollution, amenity and biodiversity). The drainage scheme will:

- *i) achieve the green field runoff rate, or as close to it as practicable;*
- *ii)* provide a 1 in 100 year attenuation taking into account climate change;
- *iii)* provide arrangements for future maintenance and management
- 2.13 The proposed SuDS strategy has been hydraulically modelled for the 100yr plus 40% climate change and a post development outfall rate matching the greenfield runoff rate has been achieved. Management schedules associated with the chosen SuDS devices are detailed in Section 6.

Hertsmere Borough Council Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA)

- 2.14 The Hertsmere SFRA prepared by AECOM was published in May 2018 to produce suitable guidance and mapping to inform development control decisions.
- 2.15 The SFRA contains mapping which includes, historic flood outlines, fluvial flood zones, and the location of recorded drainage infrastructure / land drainage flooding / groundwater flooding. The summary map includes data from Hertsmere Borough Council, Hertfordshire County Council, and the EA.
- 2.16 Figure 05.0 confirms the site is located in Flood Zone 1.
- 2.17 Figure 09 shows the location of recorded flood events across the district. To the south of the site, this figure shows that a Land Drainage Flood Event and a Highways Drainage Flood Event occurred, though no other specific information regarding these events is given. Taking into consideration that the surface water flood mapping for the site indicated no flooding on site, but shows some flooding within Blanche Lane, it is more likely that these noted flood events occurred in the carriageway.
- 2.18 Figure 10.3, showing Flooding from Surface Water, shows the site is not at risk of surface water flooding. Some flooding is showing in Blanche Road to the south of the site further supporting that the flood events shown in Figure 09 occurred in the carriageway.
- 2.19 Figure 11 identifies the site to be located in an area not considered to be prone to groundwater flooding.

SuDS Drainage Statement | The White Hart, South Mimms

- 2.20 Figure 12 identifies the site to be located in an area with 11-40 recorded incidents of sewer flooding.
- 2.21 Figure 13 confirms the site is not at risk of flooding from artificial sources such as reservoir.
- 2.22 Figure 14 shows the site is in an area that is "Probably compatible for infiltration SuDS", indicating that infiltration may be viable. An assessment of the underlying strata should therefore be undertaken to determine if testing is worthwhile.
- 2.23 Paragraph 6.5.1. of the SFRA sets out what is expected from a SuDS Drainage Strategy in the Borough:

"Flood risk outside the development

S1 Where the drainage system discharges to a surface water body that can accommodate uncontrolled surface water discharges without any impact on flood risk from that surface water body (e.g., the sea or large estuary) the peak flow control standards (S2 and S3 below) and volume control standards (S4 and S6 below) need not apply.

Peak flow control

S2 For greenfield developments, the peak runoff rate from the development to any highway drain, sewer or surface water body for the 1 in 1 year rainfall event and the 1 in 100 year rainfall event should never exceed the peak greenfield runoff rate for the same event.

S3 For developments which were previously developed, the peak runoff rate from the development to any drain, sewer or surface water body for the 1 in 1 year rainfall event and the 1 in 100 year rainfall event must be as close as reasonably practicable to the greenfield runoff rate from the development for the same rainfall event, but should never exceed the rate of discharge from the development prior to redevelopment for that event.

Volume control

S4 Where reasonably practicable, for Greenfield development, the runoff volume from the development to any highway drain, sewer or surface water body in the 1 in 100 year, 6 hour rainfall event should never exceed the Greenfield runoff volume for the same event.

S5 Where reasonably practicable, for developments which have been previously developed, the runoff volume from the development to any highway drain, sewer or surface water body in the 1 in 100 year, 6 hour rainfall event must be constrained to a value as close as is reasonably practicable to the greenfield runoff volume for the same event, but should never exceed the runoff volume from the development site prior to redevelopment for that event.

S6 Where it is not reasonably practicable to constrain the volume of runoff to any drain, sewer or surface water body in accordance with S4 or S5 above, the runoff volume must be discharged at a rate that does not adversely affect flood risk.

Flood risk within the development

S7 The drainage system must be designed so that, unless an area is designated to hold and/or convey water as part of the design, flooding does not occur on any part of the site for a 1 in 30 year rainfall event.

SuDS Drainage Statement | The White Hart, South Mimms

6

7

S8 The drainage system must be designed so that, unless an area is designated to hold and/or convey water as part of the design, flooding does not occur during a 1 in 100 year rainfall event in any part of: a building (including a basement); or in any utility plant susceptible to water (e.g., pumping station or electricity substation) within the development.

S9 The design of the site must ensure that, so far as is reasonably practicable, flows resulting from rainfall in excess of a 1 in 100 year rainfall event are managed in exceedance routes that minimise the risks to people and property. All major developments and other development should not result in an increase in surface water runoff, and where possible, should demonstrate betterment in terms of rate and volumes of surface water runoff. Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) should be used to reduce and manage surface water run-off to and from proposed developments as near to source as possible in accordance with the requirements of the Technical Standards and supporting guidance published by DCLG and Defra."

EAS is a trading name of EAS Transport Planning Uit: Registered in England and Wales No. 5751442

3 Existing Site Assessment

Site Description

- 3.1 The site is located at The White Hart, St Albans Road, South Mimms, EN6 3PJ and covers a total area of 0.272 hectares. A location plan is included at **Appendix A**.
- 3.2 It can be seen the site is approximately triangular in shape with Blanche Lane running along the south-western boundary, St Albans Road along the north-eastern boundary and residential properties to the south.
- 3.3 The site currently comprises The White Hart Public House, associated outhouse and parking area. A grassed space with a war memorial occupies the north-corner of the site.

Local Watercourses

3.4 An unnamed watercourse is located in land to the south of Blanche Lane. This flows in a northerly direction and looks to be culverted under Black Horse Lane to then outfall to EA Main River the Catharine Bourne approx. 180m to the north of the site.

Site Levels

3.5 The topographical survey is included in **Appendix D**. The site falls in a northerly direction with levels along the southern boundary at 93.8 – 94.8mAOD falling to 90.8mAOD in the north close to the war memorial.

Geology

3.6 The online British Geological Survey resource (www.bgs.ac.uk) shows the site to be underlain by Lambeth Group Formation – Clay, Silt and Sand. No superficial deposits recorded. Nearby historic borehole records show layers of firm to stiff clays up to a depth of 38m below ground level (ref. BH TL20SW). Although the SFRA indicates probable infiltration compatibility in the area, taking the above BGS and Borehole data into consideration, surface water disposal to ground is not considered to be viable.

Sewers

3.7 The Thames Water Asset Location Plan is included in Appendix E. A 150dia surface water is present in St Albans Road, heading northwards and outfalling into the unnamed watercourse in land to the south of Blanche Lane. Two surface water sewers (300dia and 150dia) run alongside Blanche Lane, also outfalling to the unnamed watercourse. A 300dia foul water sewer runs in

SuDS Drainage Statement | The White Hart, South Mimms

Blanche Lane, heading northwards and crosses the northern corner of the site to head towards Gascoyne Close to the north of the site.

Existing Drainage

- 3.8 The topographical survey and existing elevations show a number of rainwater downpipes serving The White Hart. The rainwater downpipes on the west and north side of the building run into below ground drainage which is likely to outfall directly to the existing 300dia adopted foul water sewer which crosses the site. Rainwater downpipes on the south and east side of the building look to outfall to a private combined system which outfalls to the adopted foul water network. Rainwater downpipes serving the outbuilding outfall directly to ground via rainwater-shoes, this water is then collected in a private surface water drainage system, also collecting runoff from the car park area. This looks to ultimately outfall to the 150dia adopted surface water sewer in St Albans Road or a highway drain.
- 3.9 The White Hart is a historic building, dating to the 1800's. Rainwater down-pipes serving the roof-area would likely have been directed to ground before then being directed to sewers (when these were built). In the case for rainwater down-pipes on the western face of the building, it is unlikely that these could be redirected to a new private drainage system as the red-line ownership boundary is close up against the building, preventing new drainage runs. It is therefore proposed to allow the rainwater downpipes on the western face of the building to continue to drain as per the existing situation which has been in operation for decades. For all other downpipes, where space is available to run a new private drainage system alongside the building, runoff shall be included in the hydraulic calculations.

4 Potential Sources of Flooding

Fluvial

- 4.1 A copy of the Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning is enclosed in **Appendix C**. This shows that the main development site is located in Flood Zone 1.
- 4.2 Flood Zone 1 indicates an annual probability of flooding <0.1%.

Surface Water

- 4.3 Surface water flooding refers to flooding caused when the intensity of rainfall, particularly in urban areas, can create runoff which temporarily overwhelms the capacity of the local drainage systems or does not infiltrate into the ground. The water ponds on the ground and flows towards low-lying land. This source of flood risk is also known as 'pluvial'.
- 4.4 The EA's surface water flood map is included in Appendix F (Source: <u>https://flood-warning-information.service.gov.uk/long-term-flood-risk/map</u>). This shows the site is not at risk of surface water flooding in all scenarios.

Reservoir

4.5 The EA long term risk maps confirms the site is not located in a reservoir flood extent.

Groundwater

- 4.6 The MAGIC Map website (<u>https://magic.defra.gov.uk/MagicMap.aspx</u>) shows that the site lies within an Inner Source Protection Zone and within an area of High Groundwater Vulnerability.
- 4.7 Looking at the Aquifer Designation for bedrock, the site is shown to be underlain by a Secondary A aquifer. In terms of the Aquifer Designation for superficial deposits, the site is underlain by an unproductive aquifer.
- 4.8 Historic Borehole Logs in the vicinity of the site do not show groundwater strike.
- 4.9 Based on the above data and considering the mapping provided in the Hertsmere SFRA, the risk of flooding from groundwater is considered to be low.

Sewer

4.10 Sewer flooding would occur if the capacity of the sewer was overwhelmed and a nearby manhole surcharged. This could occur after a long period of heavy rainfall, or if there was a blockage in the sewer.

SuDS Drainage Statement | The White Hart, South Mimms

4.11 Thames Water sewer records show surface and foul water sewers in St Albans Road and Blanche Lane. Levels fall in a northerly direction at a gradient of around 1:25 as such should flooding of adopted sewers occur, it is likely that waters would head in a northerly direction within the carriageway. The risk of flooding to the site from sewers is considered to be low.

SuDS Drainage Statement | The White Hart, South Mimms

TRANSPORT PLANNING III HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE II FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 &HN. Tel 01920 871 777 e: contect@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

EAS is a bading name of EAS Transport Planning Uit. Registered in England and Weles No. 5751442

5 Proposed Drainage Strategy

Relevant SuDS Policy

- 5.1 SuDS mimic natural drainage patterns and provide a method of surface water drainage which can decrease the quantity of water discharged, and hence reduce the risk of flooding. SuDS design should meet the "four pillars" of SuDS of: water quantity, water quality, amenity and biodiversity, wherever possible.
- 5.2 In decreasing order of preference, the preferred means of disposal of surface water runoff is:
 - Discharge to ground.
 - Discharge to a surface water body.
 - Discharge to a surface water sewer.
 - Discharge to a combined sewer.

Site-Specific SuDS

5.3 The various SuDS methods need to be considered in relation to site-specific constraints. Several SuDS options are available to reduce or temporarily hold back the discharge of surface water runoff. Table 5.1 outlines the constraints and opportunities to each of the SUDS devices in accordance with the hierarchical approach outlined in The SuDS Manual CIRIA C753. It also indicates what could and could not be incorporated within the development, based upon sitespecific criteria.

Device	Description	Constraints / Comments	Appropriate
Living roofs (source control)	Provide soft landscaping at roof level which reduces surface water runoff.	Proposed for bin and cycle stores.	Yes
Infiltration devices & Soakaways (source control)	Store runoff and allow water to percolate into the ground via natural infiltration.	The underlying geology is Lambeth Group Clay with historic borehole data showing firm to stiff clay to 30mbgl. Geology not considered suitable for infiltration.	No
Pervious surfaces (source control)	Storm water is allowed to infiltrate through the surface into a storage layer, from which it can either infiltrate and/or slowly release to sewers.	Lined permeable paving is proposed for all suitable external hardstanding areas.	Yes

SuDS Drainage Statement | The White Hart, South Mimms

TRANSPORT PLANNING III HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE III FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 8HN. Tel 01920 871 777 e: contect@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

EAS is a bading name of EAS Transport Planning Uit: Registered in England and Wales No. 5751442

Rainwater harvesting (source control)	Reduces the annual average rate of runoff from the Site by reusing water for non-potable uses e.g. toilet flushing, recycling processes.	Rainwater harvesting proposed for new-build single dwelling and converted outbuilding to single dwelling. Not proposed for flatted development in converted public house.	Yes
Swales (permeable conveyance)	Broad shallow channels that convey / store runoff, and allow infiltration (ground conditions permitting).	No suitable location onsite	No
Filter drains & perforated pipes (permeable conveyance)	Trenches filled with granular materials (to take flows from adjacent impermeable areas) that convey runoff while allowing infiltration.	Infiltration is not viable	No
Filter Strips (permeable conveyance)	Wide gently sloping areas of grass or dense vegetation that remove pollutants from run-off from adjacent areas.	Infiltration is not viable	No
Infiltration basins (end of pipe treatment)	Depressions in the surface designed to store runoff and allow infiltration.	Infiltration is not viable	No
Wet ponds & constructed wetlands (end of pipe treatment)	Provide water quality treatment & temporary storage above the permanent water level.	Brownfield site with spatial constraints.	No
Attenuation Underground (end of pipe treatment)	Oversized pipes or geo-cellular tanks designed to store water below ground level.	Due to spatial constraints on this brownfield site, it is necessary to utilize a geocellular attenuation device.	Yes
Raingardens	Rain gardens are relatively small depressions in the ground that can act as infiltration points for roof water and other 'clean' surface water.	Raingarden Planter proposed for flatted development	Yes

Table 5.1 Site Specific SuDS

Consideration of SuDS Hierarchy

- 5.4 The site is brownfield comprising a public house, outbuilding and associated parking area. Currently, surface water runoff from the site outfalls unrestricted and untreated to the nearby adopted foul and surface water sewers. Review of the underlying geology and Historic Borehole logs determine that the site is underlain by layers of firm to stiff clay. It is clear that infiltration is not viable. There are no watercourses in the vicinity which could offer a suitable outfall.
- 5.5 Taking the above into consideration, it is proposed to utilise SuDS Features to attenuate surface water runoff from the proposed development and outfall at a restricted rate to the adopted surface water sewer network.
- 5.6 The proposed surface water drainage strategy will provide a significant betterment to the existing situation whilst restricting runoff to as close to greenfield runoff rates as is practicable.
 SuDS Drainage Statement | The White Hart, South Mimms

- 5.7 The existing adopted surface water drainage network is shallow, however. The sewer in St Albans Road is approximately 800mm below ground level and the adopted surface water sewers to the west of Blanche Lane are also shallow, at around 1.0m deep. In order to outfall to the closest sewer in St Albans Road, it will therefore be necessary to pump surface water flows from the site to a demarcation chamber with a gravity connection to the adopted surface water sewer.
- 5.8 Thames Water have been consulted under a pre-development enquiry to check the capacity of the surface water sewer in St Albans Road to accept a restricted outfall from the proposed development. Their response is contained in **Appendix G** and confirms a maximum outfall rate of 3.5 l/s to manage all storms up to and including the 1:100yr + 40% Climate Change Event is acceptable. It should be noted that 3.5 l/s is the equivalent of 20% of the existing 1:2yr outfall rate from the site that is directed to surface water sewers. A further review of existing outfall rates is discussed below. The response also confirms that foul water capacity exists for the proposed development, including acceptance that some existing roof area will continue to drain to the adopted foul network.

Surface Water Drainage Design Parameters

- 5.9 Climate Change Allowance The 2070s 'Upper End' Climate Change for Colne Management Catchment peak rainfall allowance is 40% and shall be applied to the hydraulic drainage network design.
- 5.10 Storm Events The Hydraulic Model shall be run for a 1:2yr Storm Event, 1:30yr Storm Event, 1:30yr + 40% Climate Change Event, 1:100yr Storm Event and 1:100yr + 40% Climate Change Storm Event.
- 5.11 Rainfall Data FEH2022 Rainfall Data has been used in this assessment.
- 5.12 CV (Coefficient of volumetric run-off) The CV Value for Winter and Summer Storms has been set to 1.0 to represent 100% of runoff from impermeable areas entering the proposed drainage system. A robust approach.
- 5.13 Time of Entry a standard 5min time of entry is used. Surface water runoff from green roof areas has been modelled with a Time-Step.
- 5.14 Pre-and Post Runoff Rates Non-Statutory Technical Guidance Policy S3 States: "For developments which were previously developed, the peak runoff rate from the development to any drain, sewer or surface water body for the 1 in 1 year rainfall event and the 1 in 100 year rainfall event must be as close as reasonably practicable to the greenfield runoff rate from the development for the same rainfall event, but should never exceed the rate of discharge from the development prior to redevelopment for that event." The site is Brownfield. Due to site constraints, a maximum outfall rate of 3.5 l/s is necessary to prevent pump failure, increased

SuDS Drainage Statement | The White Hart, South Mimms

maintenance costs and reduce the electricity demand. Lower outfall rates increase the risk of pump failure and also require pumps to run for longer time periods during and after storm events. It is usually recommended by manufacturers that a minimum pump rate of 3.5 l/s is used. It should be noted -3.5 l/s is the equivalent of 20% of the existing unrestricted surface water outfall rate (directed to the adopted surface water sewer) from the site in a 1:2yr storm event. This proposed outfall rate is therefore considered to be suitable for this brownfield development and in line with Policy S3 as the rate of discharge is significantly lower than the existing.

- 5.15 Pre and Post Discharge Volumes and Long Term Storage Non-Statutory Technical Guidance Policy S5 States: "Where reasonably practicable, for developments which have been previously developed, the runoff volume from the development to any highway drain, sewer or surface water body in the 1 in 100 year, 6 hour rainfall event must be constrained to a value as close as is reasonably practicable to the greenfield runoff volume for the same event, but should never exceed the runoff volume from the development site prior to redevelopment for that event." This is discussed below.
- 5.16 Half-Drain Time <u>In line with Hertfordshire's LLFA Guidelines</u>, unlined storage devices should drain within 24hrs (1440mins), lined devices (tanks and lined ponds, lined permeable paving) to half-drain within 48hrs (2880mins). If this is not achieved, the storage device shall be sized to accommodate a further 1:30yr + 40% Climate Change Storm Event.
- 5.17 Consent for Outfall An agreement in principle is being sought from Thames Water for the proposed maximum 3.5 l/s to manage all storms up to and including the 1:100yr + 40% Climate Change Event. 3.5 l/s is the equivalent of 20% of the existing unrestricted surface water runoff from the development site that enters the adopted surface water sewers in a 1:2yr Storm Event (17.9l/s).
- 5.18 Exceedance Routes Exceedance routes shall be demonstrated.
- 5.19 Urban Creep Has not been applied for this scheme the proposals are for extension and conversion of existing public house to flats and conversion of existing outhouse to a single dwelling and provision of one new-build single dwelling.
- 5.20 Temporary Drainage Measures during Construction A high-level assessment of how water quantity and water quality will be managed during the construction phase is required. Identifying high level assumptions such as need to discharge to a sewer or watercourse will appropriate pollution measures. This shall be discussed.

Pre-Development Runoff Rates and Discharge Volumes

5.21 The site currently comprises 1321m² of impermeable area. Surface water runoff from the site outfalls unrestricted and untreated to the Thames Water foul and surface water sewers in
 SuDS Drainage Statement | The White Hart, South Mimms

TRANSPORT PLANNING III HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE III FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanslead Abbotts, SG12 8HN. Tel 01920 871 777 e: contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

Blanche Lane and St Albans Road. The topographical survey has been interrogated to ascertain which impermeable areas drain to the adopted foul water sewer and which areas drain to the adopted surface water sewer. SK02-SUDS in **Appendix H** shows the existing impermeable areas.

5.22 In order to calculate the existing runoff rates, a 'dummy' existing drainage network, based on information from the topographical survey, has been modelled for a range of storm events using Causeway Flow. The runoff rates results are contained in **Appendix I** and include the brownfield runoff volume for a 1:100yr 360min Storm Event. The results are summarised below:

Storm Events	Pre-development runoff rate for 288m2 impermeable area draining to adopted Foul Water Sewer (I/s)	Pre-development runoff rate for 1033m2 impermeable area draining to adopted Surface Water Sewer (I/s)	Total Pre- Development Surface Water Outfall Rate
1 in 2 year	4.9	17.6	22.5
1 in 30 year	9.7	39.4	49.1
1 in 100 year	11.3	43.0	54.3

Table 5.2 – Pre-Development Runoff Rates

Storm Events	Pre-development runoff Volume for 288m2 impermeable area draining to adopted Foul Water Sewer	Pre-development runoff Volume for 1033m2 impermeable area draining to adopted Surface Water Sewer	Total Pre- Development Runoff Volume
1 in 100yr 360min Storm	19.9 m ³	70.4 m ³	90.3 m³

Table 5.3 – Pre-Development Discharge Volumes

Post Development Runoff Rate

- 5.23 The proposals seek to restrict surface water runoff from the site to as much as is practicable. As described above, the site is brownfield and currently outfalls unrestricted and untreated to both adopted foul and surface water sewers.
- 5.24 For a small area of existing roof (which is to remain) it is not possible to redirect runoff to a new private surface water drainage system and as such, this roof area of 42m² and its down-pipes shall be retained and will drain as per the existing situation. It should be noted that all other existing impermeable and roof areas that currently drain to an adopted foul water sewer shall now be redirected into the proposed private surface water drainage system. This shall remove approximately 246m² of area that currently drains to the foul network, thus creating a significant betterment to the adopted foul sewer network and reducing the volume of water that would otherwise be directed to sewerage treatment works.
- 5.25 Due to site constraints, a maximum outfall rate of 3.5 l/s is necessary to prevent pump failure, increased maintenance costs and reduce the electricity demand. Lower outfall rates increase

the risk of pump failure and also require pumps to run for longer time periods during and after storm events. It is usually recommended by manufacturers that a minimum pump rate of 3.5 l/s is used. It should be noted – 3.5 l/s is the equivalent of 20% of the existing unrestricted surface water outfall rate (directed to the adopted surface water sewer) from the site in a 1:2yr storm event (17.9 l/s). Thames Water have been contacted to undertake a capacity check, their response is awaited.

Proposed SuDS Strategy

5.26 As outlined in Table 5.1 above, a number of SuDS Features shall be utilised to form the Surface Water Drainage Strategy in order to meet the 4 Pillars of SuDS.

Water Quantity - Raingarden Planters and Rainwater Harvesting Butts;

Water Quality - Permeable Paving and Raingarden Planters;

Biodiversity - Raingarden Planters and Green Roofs;

Amenity - Raingarden Planters and Green Roofs

- 5.27 The proposed SuDS Layout is included in **Appendix J** and Causeway Flow Hydraulic Model Outputs are contained in **Appendix K**.
- 5.28 The proposed impermeable area is: 1127m², comprising roofs, car park, patios and footpaths. This does not include the 42m² of existing roof area which will continue to drain as per the existing situation due to site constraints.
- 5.29 It can be seen it is proposed to utilise a number of SuDS Attenuation Features to serve the development site. Raingarden Planters have been proposed for rainwater down pipes serving the former public house and the former outbuilding. Rainwater harvesting butts shall be provided for the new-build individual dwelling and the former outhouse (to be single dwelling) for garden use. Lined Permeable Paving shall collect surface water run-off in the car park area.
- 5.30 Surface water runoff from impermeable areas, via the SuDS Features where possible, will collect in a 'main' private surface water drainage network which outfalls to a Geocellular Attenuation Device via a Proprietary Klargester (or similar) Treatment Device. A surface water pump will restrict flows from the Geocellular Attenuation Device to a maximum 3.5 l/s, pumping flows to a Demarcation Chamber close to Thames Water manhole ref: 1252 in St Albans Road.
- 5.31 The Permeable Paving, Raingarden Planters, Green Roofs and Geocelluar Attenuation Device shall provide the required storage volumes for storms up to and including the 1 in 100 year + 40% Climate Change Event.

SuDS Drainage Statement | The White Hart, South Mimms

- 5.32 A Geocellular Storage Device is sized at 54.4m2 x 0.66m deep and allows for a 95% void ratio. Lined Permeable Paving, covering an area of 480m² shall have a minimum subbase of 327mm and allows for 30% void ratio. The results are contained in **Appendix K** show a maximum storage volume of 34.13m³ within the Geocellular Storage Device and a maximum storage volume of 51.32m³ in the Permeable Paving. The maximum outfall rate for the 1:100yr + 40% Climate Change Event is 3.5 l/s.
- 5.1 The hydraulic outputs in **Appendix K** show the half-drain down times for each proposed attenuation feature. The half-drain-time for the Geocellular Attenuation Device is 196 mins; the half-drain time for the Permeable Paving is 3900mins well below the required 48hrs for lined features as per Hertfordshire Lead Local Authority requirements.

Long Term Storage

- 5.2 The site currently comprises 1321m² of impermeable area. Surface water runoff from the site outfalls unrestricted and untreated to the Thames Water foul and surface water sewers in Blanche Lane and St Albans Road. As shown in Table 5.3 above, the existing discharge volume in a 1:100yr 360min storm event has been calculated at: 90.3m³. As discussed above, 42m² of existing roof area shall continue to drain as per the existing situation due to site constraints. It is estimated that 2.9m³ (90.3/1321 x 42) of the discharge volume is attributed to this roof area. As such to compare a pre and post development discharge volume, 2.9³ should be subtracted from 90.3m³. The comparable pre-development discharge volume is therefore 87.4m³.
- 5.3 The post-development impermeable area is 1127m². It should be noted that some area of existing car park and hardstanding area is to be converted to garden space (permeable). The hydraulic outputs in **Appendix K** show the post-development discharge volume for a 1:100yr + 360min storm event is: 86.2m³.
- 5.4 The post-development discharge volume is lower than the pre-development volume and as such Long Term Storage is not required.

Exceedance Event

5.5 The proposed surface water drainage strategy is designed to accommodate a 1:100yr + 40% Climate Change Storm Event. In the unlikely event that an exceedance event occurs, any flood waters would flow in a westerly direction towards the unnamed watercourse to the west of Blanche Lane. An Exceedance Route Plan is included in Appendix L.

Surface Water Pump Alarm System

5.6 It is proposed to install a secondary back-up pump as well as a telemetry alarm system. The telemetry alarm system shall be linked to the elected Management Company to alert in SuDS Drainage Statement | The White Hart, South Mimms case of pump failure. In the event of primary pump failure, the secondary pump shall manage flows until the primary pump is repaired or replaced. In the unlikely event that the secondary pump fails before the primary pump is repaired, the telemetry alarm system will alert the Maintenance Company who shall install a temporary pump. The surface water pump control panels shall be located within a kiosk close to the Geocellular Storage.

5.7 The risk of pump failure is low, however in the very unlikely event that primary, secondary and temporary pumps all fail, waters would follow the routes as shown on the Exceedance Plan in **Appendix L**.

Water Quality

5.8 The drainage system has been designed to meet the water quality requirements set out by Table 26.2 of the CIRIA SuDS Manual C753 which sets out the specific pollution hazard indices for residential roofs and low traffic roads in Table 5.4 below.

Land Use	Hazard Level	Pollution Hazard Indices		
		Suspended Solids	Metals	Hydrocarbons
Residential roofs	Very low	0.2	0.2	0.05
Individual property driveways and low traffic roads	Low	0.5	0.4	0.4
Pollution Mitigation Required		0.5	0.4	0.4

Table 5.4 Land Use Pollution Hazard Ratings. Extracted from the CIRIA SuDS Manual C753 Simple Index Approach Tool.

- 5.9 Table 5.4 demonstrates that the proposed SuDS strategy exceeds the required treatment stages. In addition to the permeable paving, the raingardens will also provide a treatment stage.
 - 5.10 Relating to runoff from the Proposed Green Roofs: CIRIA 763 SuDS Manual Table 26.14 shows Residential Roofs have: Total Suspended Solids Pollution index of 0.4-0.5, Organic Pollution Index of 0.6-0.7, Hydrocarbon Pollution Index of 0.1 and Metals Pollution Index of 0.2-0.5. Table 26.15, SuDS mitigation indices, shows that Green Roofs alone provides mitigation for Total Suspended Solids Pollution at 0.8-0.9, Organic Pollution Index at 0.5, Hydrocarbon Pollution Index at 0.9 and Metals Pollution Index at 0.7-0.9. Surface water runoff from the green roof areas is more than sufficiently mitigated by use of the green roof itself.
 - 5.11 Relating to runoff from 'standard' roofs: CIRIA 763 SuDS Manual Table 26.2 shows Residential Roofs have a Pollution Hazard Level of LOW. Runoff from 'standard' roofs shall be treated via a Raingarden Planters prior to outfall to the permeable paving subbase. Raingarden Planters shall be assessed as Green-Roofs as the engineered soils are comparable to those used in green-roofs. Table 26.2 shows Residential Roofs have TSS of 0.2 Metals 0.2 and Hydrocarbons 0.05. Table 26.15, SuDS mitigation indices, shows that Green Roofs provide mitigation for Total Suspended Solids Pollution at 0.8-0.9, Organic Pollution Index at 0.5, Hydrocarbon Pollution Index at 0.9 and Metals Pollution Index at 0.7-0.9. Surface water run-off from the green roof areas is more than sufficiently mitigated by use of Raingarden Planters. In any rate it should be noted that waters would be cleansed by

SuDS Drainage Statement | The White Hart, South Mimms

the permeable paving subbase, then treated by a proprietary treatment device prior to outfall to the adopted surface water network.

- 5.12 Relating to runoff from trafficked areas: CIRIA 763 SuDS Manual Table 26.2 shows Low-Traffic Roads have a Pollution Hazard Level of LOW. All low-traffic roads in this catchment are anticipated to comprise lined permeable paving construction with outfall directed to the adopted sewer via the geo-cellular attenuation device. Table 26.2 shows Low-Traffic Roads have TSS of 0.5 Metals, 0.4 and Hydrocarbons 0.4. Table 26.3, SuDS mitigation indices for discharges to surface waters, shows that Permeable Paving alone provides mitigation for TSS at 0.7; Metals at 0.6 and Hydrocarbons at 0.7. Surface water run-off from low-traffic-road areas is more than sufficiently mitigated by use of Permeable Paving.
- 5.13 Relating to runoff from other hardstanding areas which drain directly to the 'main' surface water drainage system via gullies or channel drains: These areas shall be assessed as Low-Trafficked Roads: CIRIA 763 SuDS Manual Table 26.2 shows Low-Trafficked Roads have a Pollution Hazard Level of LOW. Table 26.2 shows Low-Traffic Roads have TSS of 0.5 Metals, 0.4 and Hydrocarbons 0.4. The 'main' surface water drainage system shall be treated via a Hydro-International Downstream Defender (Advanced Vortex) proprietary treatment system. Hydro-International have provided a specification sheet showing that this device can achieve mitigation for TSS at 0.5; Metals at 0.4 and Hydrocarbons at 0.5. Surface water run-off from these areas is therefore more than sufficiently mitigated by use of the Downstream Defender (Advanced Vortex). Details of the Downstream Defender is contained in **Appendix M** as well as advice from Hydro-International on sizing Downstream Defenders.
- 5.14 The surface water drainage strategy provides the necessary levels of treatment for the proposed site use.

SuDS Drainage Statement | The White Hart, South Mimms

6 Maintenance of the Proposed Drainage System

- 6.1 The maintenance of the SuDS features will remain private and the responsibility of the site owner via an appointed management and maintenance company. The site owner/appointed management company will be responsible for maintaining all surface water drainage and SuDS features, even those serving the two individual dwellings.
- 6.2 Regular inspections and maintenance should be carried out for each of these elements, particularly after periods of heavy rainfall. Maintenance tasks and frequencies for permeable paving and filter drain are detailed in the CIRIA SUDS Manual (C753) and have been summarised below in Tables 6.1 to 6.3 below.

Maintenance Schedule	Required Action	Frequency
Regular maintenance	Inspect and identify any areas that are not operating correctly. If required, take remedial action. Remove debris from the catchment surface (where if may cause risks to performance). Remove sediment from pre- treatment structures and/or internal forebays.	Monthly for 3 months, then annually. Monthly Annually or as required.
Remedial actions	Repair/rehabilitate inlets, outlet, overflows and vents.	As required
Monitoring	Inspect/check all inlets, outlets, vents and overflows to ensure that they are in good condition and operating as designed. Survey inside of tank/crate system for sediment build-up and remove if necessary.	Annually Every 5 years or as required.

Geocellular Attenuation Device Maintenance Activities

Table 6.1: Maintenance tasks for cellular storage tank (Source: CIRIA C753, The SUDS Manual)

Permeable Paving Maintenance Activities

Maintenance Schedule	Required Action	Frequency
Regular maintenance	Brushing and vacuuming.	Three times per year at end of winter, mid-summer, after autumn leaf fall, or as required based on site specific observations of clogging or manufacturer's recommendations.
Occasional maintenance	Stabilise and mow contributing and adjacent areas.	As required.
	Removal of weeds.	As required.
Remedial actions	Remediate any landscaping which, through vegetation maintenance of soil slip, has been raised to within 50mm of the level of the paving. Remedial work to any depressions, rutting and cracked or broken blocks considered detrimental to the structural performance of a hazard to the user. Rehabilitation of surface and upper sub- surface.	As required As required As required (if infiltration performance is reduced as a result of significant clogging.)
Monitoring	Initial inspection Inspect for evidence of poor operation and/or weed growth. If required, take remedial action. Inspect silt accumulation rates and establish appropriate brushing frequencies. Monitor inspection chambers.	Monthly for 3 months after installation. 3 monthly, 48 hours after large storms. Annually. Annually.

Table 6.2 Maintenance tasks for permeable paving (Source: CIRIA C753, The SUDS Manual)

SuDS Drainage Statement | The White Hart, South Mimms

TRANSPORT PLANNING III HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE II FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 8HN. Tei 01920 871 777 e: contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

EAS is a trading name of EAS Transport Planning Utt. Registered in England and Walas No. 5751442

Raingarden Planters Maintenance Activities

Maintenance Schedule	Required Action	Frequency
Regular Inspections	Inspect infiltration surfaces for silting and ponding, record de-watering time of the facility and assess standing water levels in underdrain (if appropriate) to determine if maintenance is necessary	Quarterly
	Check operation of underdrains by inspection of flows after rain	Annually
	Assess plants for disease infection, poor growth, invasive species etc and replace as necessary	Quarterly
	Inspect inlets and outlets for blockage	Quarterly
Regular Maintenance	Remove litter and surface debris and weeds	Quarterly
	Replace any plants, to maintain planting density	As required
	Remove sediment, littler and debris build up from around inlets or from forebays	Quarterly to biannually
Occasional Maintenance	Infill and holes or scour in the filter medium, improve erosion protection if required	As required
	Repair minor accumulations of silt by raking away surface mulch, scarifying surface of medium and replacing mulch	As required
Remedial actions	Remove and replace filter medium and vegetation above	As required but likely to be >20 years

Table 6.3 Operation and maintenance tasks for bioretention systems (Source: CIRIA C753, The SUDS Manual)

General Maintenance

- 6.3 It is recommended that during the first 12 months of operation all SuDS and drainage features are visually inspected on a monthly basis to determine any seasonal patterns this includes all SuDS features, inspection chambers, inlets and outlets. This will determine whether or not the recommended service intervals set out by CIRIA in the figures above will be sufficient for maintenance beyond the first year.
- 6.4 After the first 12 months, the maintenance schedule should be designed to at least meet the requirements set out by CIRIA based on the outcome of the monitoring.

23

Manholes, Sewers and Inspection Chambers - Maintenance

- 6.5 All inspection chambers and manholes, including the orifice plate/hydrobrake chambers, should be inspected on a bi-annual basis with further visual checks carried out throughout the year, such as in November after the heaviest leaf-fall has occurred.
- 6.6 Should a blockage occur at any time, it is advised to seek professional help to jet the drainage system to clean and clear the system.

Gutters and Downpipes - Maintenance

6.7 It is good practice to ensure that these are occasionally inspected to ensure they are in good order and free of leaves & debris. Once every 6 months should be sufficient.

Surface Water Pumps – Pump Failure Alarm System - Maintenance

6.8 It is recommended that the surface water primary and secondary pumps are tested every 3 months and to manufacturers guidelines. The telemetry alarm system should also be tested to ensure notifications and warnings are received by the Management Company accordingly. Most manufacturers will offer a maintenance service to ensure the pumps and telemetry alarm systems are functioning correctly and effectively. Kiosks containing control panels should be checked for damage and replaced as necessary.

7 Conclusion

- 7.1 This FRA and SuDS Report has been prepared in support of a planning application at The White Hart, St Albans Road, South Mimms, EN6 3PJ. The site location plan is included in Appendix A.
- 7.2 The proposals are for: "Conversion and extension of the former public house into six apartments, conversion of outbuilding into a two-bedroom apartment and construction of a detached infill dwelling, along with associated landscaping, bin store, cycle storage and vehicle parking." The proposed development plans are in Appendix B.
- 7.3 The site is located in Flood Zone 1 of the Flood Map for Planning and is at very low risk of surface water flooding. The site is considered to be at low to very low risk of flooding from all identified sources and no specific mitigation measures are considered necessary.

Surface Water Drainage Strategy Summary

- 7.4 The site is brownfield comprising a public house, outbuilding and associated parking area. Currently, surface water runoff from the site outfalls unrestricted and untreated to the nearby adopted foul and surface water sewers at a rate of 54.3 l/s in a 1:100yr storm event with an pre-development discharge volume of 87.4m³.
- 7.5 Review of the underlying geology and Historic Borehole logs determine that the site is underlain by layers of firm to stiff clay. It is clear that infiltration is not viable. There are no watercourses in the vicinity which could offer a suitable outfall.
- 7.6 Taking the above into consideration, there are no other viable options than to utilise SuDS Features to attenuate surface water runoff from the proposed development and outfall at a restricted rate to the adopted surface water sewer network. The existing adopted surface water drainage network is shallow, however. The sewer in St Albans Road is approximately 800mm below ground level and the adopted surface water sewers to the west of Blanche Lane are also shallow, at around 1.0m deep. In order to outfall to the closest sewer in St Albans Road, it will therefore be necessary to pump surface water flows from the site to a demarcation chamber with a gravity connection to the adopted surface water sewer.
- 7.7 SuDS Features have been included where it is possible and these include: Permeable Paving, Green Roofs, Raingarden Planters, Rainwater Harvesting Butts and a Geocellular Attenuation Device.
- 7.8 Surface water runoff from the site is restricted to a maximum 3.5 l/s to manage all storms up to and including the 1:100yr + 40% Climate Change Event. This flow rate has been agreed in principle with Thames Water and represents 20% of the Brownfield 1:2yr Runoff Rate. The proposals reduce the impermeable area which currently drains to the adopted foul network, by 246m², thus creating a significant betterment by reducing the volume of water that would otherwise be directed to sewerage treatment works.
- 7.9 Water Quality meeting CIRIA SuDS Manual Guidance has been considered and all surface water runoff from the site is suitably treated in line with the Guidance.
- 7.10 It is proposed that the maintenance of all features of the surface water drainage system within the proposed development will be the responsibility of the site owner and their appointed management company.

SuDS Drainage Statement | The White Hart, South Mimms

Conclusion

- 7.11 There are no identified Flood Risks which require mitigation. The proposed surface water drainage strategy follows the SuDS Hierarchy and utilises SuDS Features wherever possible. The proposals do not increase flood risk on or off site.
- 7.12 It is concluded there are no reasons on flood risk or surface water drainage grounds as to why permission should not be granted.

SuDS Drainage Statement | The White Hart, South Mimms

TRANSPORT PLANNING III HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE II FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 8HN. Tei 01920 871 777 e: contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

EAS is a bading name of EAS Transport Planning Uit. Registered in England and Wales No. 5751442



8 Appendices

Appendix: A – Location Plan	1			
Appendix: B - Proposed Development P	lans	2		
Appendix: C – Flood Map for Planning	3			
Appendix: D – Topographical Survey	4			
Appendix: E - Thames Water Asset Loc	ation Plan	5		
Appendix F - Surface Water Flood Maps	s 6			
Appendix G - Thames Water Pre-Develo	opment Enquiry	7		
Appendix H - Existing Impermeable Area	as 8			
Appendix I – Pre-Development Runoff Rates and Volumes				
Appendix J – Proposed SuDS Layout	10			
Appendix K - Causeway Flow Hydraulic	Model Results	11		
Appendix L – Exceedance Routes	12			
Appendix M - Klargester Treatment Devi	ice Mitigation India	ces 13		

SuDS Drainage Statement | The White Hart, South Mimms

Appendix: A – Location Plan

Appendix: B – Proposed Development Plans

TRANSPORT PLANNING III HIGHWAY'S AND DRAINAGE III FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 BHN. Tel 01920 871 777 et contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

EAS is a trading name of EAS Transport Planning Uit. Registered in England and Walas No. 5751442



	NOTES: This drawing is the property of Griggsand is issued on the condition that it is not reproduced, disclosed or copied to any unauthorised person without written consent.	
2	This drawing is to be read together with the specification and related drawings.	
Proposed Levels. Existing Trees/Foliage /	e cpiccs	
Woodland	REVISIONS	
New Trees	Rev: By: Check: Date: Description:	
(Landscaping is indicative, please refer to separate		
Landscape Plan for further details).		
Grass		
Porecelain Paving Slabs or similar.		
Permeable Construction to		
- 1.2m hich cost and 4 rail		
fencing.		
XX Y		
	Project:	
	The White Hart Pub, St Albans Road, South Mimms	
	Herts, EN6 3PJ.	
	Title: Site Plan (Ground Floor Lavout)	
	Drawn: Checked: Date: Scale: Size: Size: A1	
	Project No: Drawing No: Revision: 1563 PL020 -	
	-	
	- GRIGGS	
	857.1968	

Appendix: C – Flood Map for Planning

TRANSPORT PLANNING III HIGHWAY'S AND DRAINAGE III FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 BHN. Tel 01920 871 777 et contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

EAS is a trading name of EAS Transport Planning Uit. Registered in England and Walas No. 5751442



Flood map for planning

Your reference <Unspecified>

Location (easting/northing) **522213/201253**

Created **26 Sep 2023 12:04**

Your selected location is in flood zone 1, an area with a low probability of flooding.

You will need to do a flood risk assessment if your site is any of the following:

- bigger that 1 hectare (ha)
- In an area with critical drainage problems as notified by the Environment Agency
- identified as being at increased flood risk in future by the local authority's strategic flood risk assessment
- at risk from other sources of flooding (such as surface water or reservoirs) and its development would increase the vulnerability of its use (such as constructing an office on an undeveloped site or converting a shop to a dwelling)

Notes

The flood map for planning shows river and sea flooding data only. It doesn't include other sources of flooding. It is for use in development planning and flood risk assessments.

This information relates to the selected location and is not specific to any property within it. The map is updated regularly and is correct at the time of printing.

Flood risk data is covered by the Open Government Licence **which** sets out the terms and conditions for using government data. https://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3/

Use of the address and mapping data is subject to Ordnance Survey public viewing terms under Crown copyright and database rights 2022 OS 100024198. https://flood-map-for-planning.service.gov.uk/os-terms



© Environment Agency copyright and / or database rights 2022. All rights reserved. © Crown Copyright and database right 2022. Ordnance Survey licence number 100024198.
Appendix: D – Topographical Survey

TRANSPORT PLANNING III HIGHWAY'S AND DRAINAGE III FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 BHN. Tel 01920 871 777 et contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk



Appendix: E – Thames Water Asset Location Plan

TRANSPORT PLANNING III HIGHWAY'S AND DRAINAGE III FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 BHN. Tel 01920 871 777 et contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk



<u>Thames Water Utilities Ltd</u>, Property Searches, PO Box 3189, Slough SL1 4W, **T** 0800 009 4540 **E** <u>searches@thameswater.co.uk</u> **I** <u>www.thameswater-propertysearches.co.uk</u>

Manhole Reference	Manhole Cover Level	Manhole Invert Level
111E	n/a	n/a
111F	n/a	n/a
111G	n/a	n/a
1111H	n/a	n/a
1103	97.5	95.7
341A	n/a	n/a
231A 0201	n/a 87.20	n/a
1202	07.32 96.50	00.00
031	00.50 n/a	04.2 n/a
131B	n/a	n/a
1303	n/a	85.51
2454	86.17	85.33
131A	n/a	n/a
2453	86.2	85.01
141G	n/a	n/a
1401	85.97	83.41
141E	n/a	n/a
141D	n/a	n/a
	n/a	n/a
1410	11/a 95 1	11/a 92
1420 141B	03.1 n/a	00 n/a
1421	84.9	81 94
1403	84.88	83.38
141A	n/a	n/a
1402	85.61	83.14
1419	84.24	81.8
0453	87.87	86.49
0451	84.79	83.72
0402	84.54	83.65
0501	84.78	83.7
2051	97.9	96.99
2052	97.88	96.66
2054	98.17	97.41
2002	n/a 07 75	n/a 06.62
1151	98.26	90.05
1102	97.57	92.31
1101	98.22	96.63
111A	n/a	n/a
111B	n/a	n/a
111C	n/a	n/a
111D	n/a	n/a
2101	95.95	93.7
1152	96.12	95.14
	95.41	91.7
221A 201B	n/a	n/a
1201	91 69	90.65
2201	92.78	90.46
1202	92.1	90.51
1251	91.02	90.46
1252	92	91.22
1351	89.81	88.81
2303	91.74	90.16
1352	89.5	87.95
231B	n/a	n/a
2452	86.68	84.7
2251	n/a	
2401 2451	00.02 86.26	03.03 9/ 2
2401	80.20	0 1 .2 86.80
3314	n/a	n/a
3418	84.23	81.4
3154	94.17	92.19
3155	93.95	91.89
3152	n/a	92
3417	85.49	81.54
3151	94.76	93.8

3416 3051 1001 2053	89.49 95.6 99.3 98	87.55 94.64 92.81 97.36
The position of the apparatus shown on this plan shown but their presence should be anticipated. No of mains and services must be verified and establish	is given without obligation and warranty, and the acc liability of any kind whatsoever is accepted by Thames led on site before any works are undertaken.	curacy cannot be guaranteed. Service pipes are not water for any error or omission. The actual position



Asset Location Search - Sewer Key



1) All levels associated with the plans are to Ordnance Datum Newlyn.

2) All measurements on the plan are metric.

3) Arrows (on gravity fed servers) or flecks (on rising mains) indicate the direction of flow.

4) Most private pipes are not shown on our plans, as in the past, this information has not been recorded.

5) 'na' or '0' on a manhole indicates that data is unavailable.

6) The text appearing alongside a sewer line indicates the internal diameter of the pipe in millimeters. Text next to a manhole indicates the manhole reference number and should not be taken as a measurement. If you are unsure about any text or symbology, please contact Property Searches on 0800 009 4540. Appendix F – Surface Water Flood Maps

TRANSPORT PLANNING III HIGHWAY'S AND DRAINAGE III FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 BHN. Tel 01920 871 777 et contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk



Surface water flood risk: water depth in a high risk scenario Flood depth (millimetres)

🙆 Over 900mm (300 to 900mm (Below 300mm 💮 Location you selected



Surface water flood risk: water depth in a medium risk scenario Flood depth (millimetres)

🜑 Over 900mm 🔵 300 to 900mm 🕘 Below 300mm 🕀 Location you selected



Surface water flood risk: water depth in a low risk scenario Flood depth (millimetres)

D Over 900mm 000 to 900mm 😑 Below 300mm 🕀 Location you selected.

Appendix G – Thames Water Pre-Development Enquiry

TRANSPORT PLANNING III HIGHWAY'S AND DRAINAGE III FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 BHN. Tel 01920 871 777 et contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk



Mrs Marianna Dyason

EAS 1st Floor Millers House Roydon Road Stanstead Abbotts SG12 8HN Wastewater pre-planning Our ref DS6108711

26 October 2023

Pre-planning enquiry: Confirmation of sufficient capacity

Site: THE WHITE HART PH, ST ALBANS RODE, SOUTH MIMMS EN6 3PJ

Dear Mrs Dyason,

Thank you for providing information on your development.

Proposed site: Conversion & extension of existing public house plus 1 no. new build to provide a total of 2 houses and 6 flats

Proposed foul water: To discharge by gravity to 300mm foul sewer, manhole 1202.

Proposed surface water (1148m2): To discharge by pumped flow at 3.5 l/s to manhole 1252. (42m2 of roof area to remain unchanged, discharging to 300mm foul sewer)

We have completed the assessment of the foul water flows and surface water run-off based on the information submitted in your application with the purpose of assessing sewerage capacity within the existing Thames Water sewer network.

Foul Water

Thames Water recognises this catchment is subject to high infiltration flows during certain groundwater conditions. The scale of the proposed development doesn't materially affect the sewer network and as such we have no objection, however care needs to be taken when designing new networks to ensure they don't surcharge and cause flooding. In the longer term Thames Water, along with other partners, are working on a strategy to reduce groundwater entering the sewer networks.

If your proposals progress in line with the details you've provided, we're pleased to confirm that there will be sufficient sewerage capacity in the adjacent foul water sewer network to serve your development.

This confirmation is valid for 12 months or for the life of any planning approval that this information is used to support, to a maximum of three years.

You'll need to keep us informed of any changes to your design – for example, an increase in the number or density of homes. Such changes could mean there is no longer sufficient capacity.



Surface Water

Thames Water recognises this catchment is subject to high infiltration flows during certain groundwater conditions. The developer should liaise with the LLFA to agree an appropriate sustainable surface water strategy following the sequential approach before considering connection to the public sewer network. The scale of the proposed development doesn't materially affect the sewer network and as such we have no objection, however care needs to be taken when designing new networks to ensure they don't surcharge and cause flooding. In the longer term Thames Water, along with other partners, are working on a strategy to reduce groundwater entering the sewer network.

In accordance with the Building Act 2000 Clause H3.3, positive connection of surface water to a public sewer will only be consented when it can be demonstrated that the hierarchy of disposal methods have been examined and proven to be impracticable.

Where connection to the public sewerage network is still required to manage surface water flows, we will accept these flows at a discharge rate in line with CIRIA's best practice guide on SuDS or that stated within the sites planning approval.

If the above surface water hierarchy has been followed and if the flows are restricted to 3.5 l/s total of for all storms up to and including 1:100+40%CC; as described above, then Thames Water would not have any objections to the proposal.

Please see the attached 'Planning your wastewater' leaflet for additional information.

Diversion

Where there are any existing public sewers crossing the site, new buildings will need to be kept between 3 and 6.5m away from existing sewer depending on the size and depth of the sewer. Alternatively, it may be possible for sewers to be diverted around the new development. If you wish us to review a diversion proposal, please submit this via a Section 185 Diversion application. On some occasions it may be possible to abandon existing public sewers. Please contact us for further information on this process.

Source Protection Zone

The development site boundary falls within two Source Protection Zones for groundwater abstraction. These zones may be at particular risk from polluting activities on or below the land surface. To prevent pollution, the Environment Agency and Thames Water (or other local water undertaker) will use a tiered, risk-based approach to regulate activities that may impact groundwater resources, this may potentially affect your drainage or surface water strategies where deep or infiltration systems are proposed. The applicant is encouraged to read the Environment Agency's approach to groundwater protection (available at <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/groundwater-protection-position-statements</u> and may wish to discuss the full implications for their development with a suitably qualified environmental consultant.



What happens next?

Please make sure you submit your connection application, giving us at least 21 days' notice of the date you wish to make your new connection/s.

If you have any further questions, please contact me on 0800 009 3921.

Yours sincerely

James Kitching Development Engineer Developer Services – Sewer Adoptions Team

Get advice on making your sewer connection correctly at <u>connectright.org.uk</u> Clearwater Court, Vastern Road, Reading, RG1 8DB Find us online at <u>developers.thameswater.co.uk</u> Appendix H - Existing Impermeable Areas

TRANSPORT PLANNING III HIGHWAY'S AND DRAINAGE III FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 BHN. Tel 01920 871 777 et contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk



Appendix I – Pre-Development Runoff Rates and Volumes

TRANSPORT PLANNING III HIGHWAY'S AND DRAINAGE III FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 BHN. Tel 01920 871 777 et contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk



Rainfall Methodology	FEH-22	Minimum Velocity (m/s)	1.00
Return Period (years)	100	Connection Type	Level Soffits
Additional Flow (%)	0	Minimum Backdrop Height (m)	0.200
CV	1.000	Preferred Cover Depth (m)	1.200
Time of Entry (mins)	5.00	Include Intermediate Ground	\checkmark
Maximum Time of Concentration (mins)	30.00	Enforce best practice design rules	х
Maximum Rainfall (mm/hr)	50.0		

<u>Nodes</u>

Name	Area (ha)	T of E (mins)	Cover Level (m)	Diameter (mm)	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	Depth (m)
EX1F	0.014	5.00	93.160	600	-12.871	61.695	1.710
EX2F	0.015	5.00	93.160	600	24.757	62.034	2.180
OUTFALL F			93.160	1200	60.690	62.034	2.629

<u>Links</u>

Name	US Node	DS Node	Length (m)	ks (mm) / n	US IL (m)	DS IL (m)	Fall (m)	Slope (1:X)	Dia (mm)	T of C (mins)	Rain (mm/hr)
1.000	EX1F	EX2F	37.630	0.600	91.450	90.980	0.470	80.0	100	5.73	50.0
1.001	EX2F	OUTFALL F	35.933	0.600	90.980	90.531	0.449	80.0	100	6.42	50.0

Name	Vel (m/s)	Cap (I/s)	Flow (I/s)	US Depth (m)	DS Depth (m)	Σ Area (ha)	Σ Add Inflow (I/s)	Pro Depth (mm)	Pro Velocity (m/s)
1.000	0.861	6.8	2.5	1.610	2.080	0.014	0.0	43	0.801
1.001	0.861	6.8	5.2	2.080	2.529	0.029	0.0	66	0.950

Pipeline Schedule

Link	Length (m)	Slope (1:X)	Dia (mm)	Link Type	US CL (m)	US IL (m)	US Depth (m)	DS CL (m)	DS IL (m)	DS Depth (m)
1.000	37.630	80.0	100	Circular	93.160	91.450	1.610	93.160	90.980	2.080
1.001	35.933	80.0	100	Circular	93.160	90.980	2.080	93.160	90.531	2.529

Link	US	Dia	Node	MH	DS	Dia	Node	МН
	Node	(mm)	Туре	Туре	Node	(mm)	Туре	Туре
1.000	EX1F	600	Manhole	Adoptable	EX2F	600	Manhole	Adoptable
1.001	EX2F	600	Manhole	Adoptable	OUTFALL F	1200	Manhole	Adoptable

Manhole Schedule

Node	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	CL (m)	Depth (m)	Dia (mm)	Connectior	IS	Link	IL (m)	Dia (mm)
EX1F	-12.871	61.695	93.160	1.710	600					
						→ o				
							0	1.000	91.450	100
EX2F	24.757	62.034	93.160	2.180	600		1	1.000	90.980	100
						1				
							0	1.001	90.980	100

CAUSEWAY	EAS Transpo	ort Planninį	g Ltd	File: EX Netwo Stephe 29/09/	(ISTING TC rk: Storm en Adams (2023) FOUL WAT Network	ER Pa	age 2	
			Manhole	Schedu	<u>le</u>				
Node Ea: (sting Northing m) (m)	CL (m)	Depth (m)	Dia (mm)	Conne	ctions	Link	IL (m)	Dia (mm)
OUTFALL F 60	.690 62.034	93.160	2.629	1200	1	1 1	.001	90.531	100
		<u>:</u>	Simulatio	n Settin	gs	I			
Rainfall Methodolo Summer Winter	ogy FEH-22 CV 1.000 CV 1.000	SI Drain Do	Analysis kip Steady wn Time	Speed / State (mins)	Normal x 4880	Addition Check Check	al Stor Discha Discha	rage (m³∕ arge Rate rge Volu	ha) 20.0 e(s) x me x
			Storm D	60	5				
	Return Period (years)	Climate (CC	Change %)	Additio (A	nal Area %)	Additional (Q %)	Flow		
	100		0		0		0		



Results for 100 year 360 minute summer. 5240 minute analysis at 8 minute timestep. Mass balance: 100.00%

Node Event		US Node	Peak (mins)	Level (m)	Dep (m	oth I າ)	Inflow (I/s)	Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
360 minute summ	er l	EX1F	184	91.484	0.0	34	1.7	0.0152	0.0000	ОК
360 minute summ	er l	EX2F	184	91.031	0.0	51	3.5	0.0216	0.0000	ОК
360 minute summ	er (OUTFALL F	184	90.582	0.0	51	3.5	0.0000	0.0000	ОК
Link Event	US Nod	Link e	DS Node	Out (I/	flow 's)	Velo (m/	city F /s)	low/Cap	Link Vol (m³)	Discharge Vol (m³)
360 minute summer 360 minute summer	EX1F EX2F	1.000 1.001	EX2F OUTFALL	F	1.7 3.5	0. 0.	529 861	0.250 0.511	0.1205 0.1441	19.9

Results for 100 year 360 minute winter. 5240 minute analysis at 8 minute timestep. Mass balance: 100.00%

Node Event		US Node	Peak (mins)	Level (m)	Dep (m	th Inflov) (I/s)	v Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
360 minute win	ter EX	1F	184	91.477	0.0	27 1.:	1 0.0122	0.0000	ОК
360 minute win	ter EX	2F	184	91.021	0.0	41 2.3	3 0.0171	0.0000	ОК
360 minute win	ter Ol	JTFALL F	184	90.571	0.0	40 2.3	3 0.0000	0.0000	ОК
Link Event	US Node	Link	DS Node	Outf (I/	low s)	Velocity (m/s)	Flow/Cap	Link Vol (m³)	Discharge Vol (m³)
360 minute winter	EX1F	1.000	EX2F		1.1	0.470	0.163	0.0887	
360 minute winter	EX2F	1.001	OUTFALL I	F	2.3	0.776	0.340	0.1065	19.7



Rainfall Methodology	FEH-22	Minimum Velocity (m/s)	1.00
Return Period (years)	100	Connection Type	Level Soffits
Additional Flow (%)	0	Minimum Backdrop Height (m)	0.200
CV	1.000	Preferred Cover Depth (m)	1.200
Time of Entry (mins)	5.00	Include Intermediate Ground	\checkmark
Maximum Time of Concentration (mins)	30.00	Enforce best practice design rules	х
Maximum Rainfall (mm/hr)	50.0		

<u>Nodes</u>

Name	Area (ha)	T of E (mins)	Cover Level (m)	Diameter (mm)	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	Depth (m)
EX1F	0.004	5.00	93.160	600	-12.871	61.695	1.710
EX2F			93.160	600	24.757	62.034	2.180
OUTFALL F			93.160	1200	60.690	62.034	2.629

<u>Links</u>

Name	US Node	DS Node	Length (m)	ks (mm) / n	US IL (m)	DS IL (m)	Fall (m)	Slope (1:X)	Dia (mm)	T of C (mins)	Rain (mm/hr)
1.000	EX1F	EX2F	37.630	0.600	91.450	90.980	0.470	80.0	100	5.73	50.0
1.001	EX2F	OUTFALL F	35.933	0.600	90.980	90.531	0.449	80.0	100	6.42	50.0

Name	Vel (m/s)	Cap (I/s)	Flow (I/s)	US Depth (m)	DS Depth (m)	Σ Area (ha)	Σ Add Inflow (I/s)	Pro Depth (mm)	Pro Velocity (m/s)
1.000	0.861	6.8	0.7	1.610	2.080	0.004	0.0	22	0.561
1.001	0.861	6.8	0.7	2.080	2.529	0.004	0.0	22	0.561

Pipeline Schedule

Link	Length (m)	Slope (1:X)	Dia (mm)	Link Type	US CL (m)	US IL (m)	US Depth (m)	DS CL (m)	DS IL (m)	DS Depth (m)
1.000	37.630	80.0	100	Circular	93.160	91.450	1.610	93.160	90.980	2.080
1.001	35.933	80.0	100	Circular	93.160	90.980	2.080	93.160	90.531	2.529

Link	US	Dia	Node	MH	DS	Dia	Node	МН
	Node	(mm)	Туре	Туре	Node	(mm)	Туре	Туре
1.000	EX1F	600	Manhole	Adoptable	EX2F	600	Manhole	Adoptable
1.001	EX2F	600	Manhole	Adoptable	OUTFALL F	1200	Manhole	Adoptable

Manhole Schedule

Node	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	CL (m)	Depth (m)	Dia (mm)	Connection	าร	Link	IL (m)	Dia (mm)
EX1F	-12.871	61.695	93.160	1.710	600					
						⊖→₀				
							0	1.000	91.450	100
EX2F	24.757	62.034	93.160	2.180	600		1	1.000	90.980	100
						1				
							0	1.001	90.980	100

CAUSEWAY 😜	EAS Transport Plannii	ng Ltd File: E Netwo Stepho 29/09,	XISTING TO FOUL WA ork: Storm Network en Adams /2023	TER Page 2						
Manhole Schedule										
Node Eastir (m)	ng Northing CL (m) (m)	Depth Dia (m) (mm)	Connections	Link IL Dia (m) (mm)						
OUTFALL F 60.69	0 62.034 93.160	0 2.629 1200	1	1.001 90.531 100						
Simulation Settings										
Rainfall Methodology Summer CV Winter CV	FEH-22 1.000 1.000 1.000 Drain D	Analysis Speed Skip Steady State own Time (mins)	Normal Additio x Chec 4880 Check	nal Storage (m³/ha) 20.0 k Discharge Rate(s) x < Discharge Volume x						
15 30 6	0 120 180	Storm Duration	s 480 600 7	20 960 1440						
R	eturn Period Climate (years) (CC	e Change Additio C %) (A	nal Area Additiona %) (Q %	al Flow 6)						
	2 30 100	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0						



Results for 2 year Critical Storm Duration. Lowest mass balance: 100.00%

Node Event		US Node	Peak (mins)	Level (m)	Dep (m	oth Inflov a) (I/s)	v Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
15 minute summ	er E	EX1F	10	91.472	0.0	22 0.	7 0.0074	0.0000	OK
15 minute summ	er E	EX2F	12	91.001	0.0	21 0.	7 0.0060	0.0000	OK
15 minute summ	er (DUTFALL F	12	90.552	0.0	21 0.	7 0.0000	0.0000	ОК
Link Event (Upstream Depth)	US Node	Link	DS Node	Out (I,	flow /s)	Velocity (m/s)	Flow/Cap	Link Vol (m³)	Discharge Vol (m ³)
15 minute summer 15 minute summer	EX1F EX2F	1.000 1.001	EX2F OUTFALL	F	0.7 0.7	0.600 0.547	0.105 0.097	0.0463 0.0432	0.3



Page 4

Results for 30 year Critical Storm Duration. Lowest mass balance: 100.00%

Node Event		US Node	Peak (mins)	Level (m)	Dep (m	oth Inflo i) (l/s	w Node) Vol (m ^a	Flood) (m³)	Status
15 minute summ	er E	X1F	10	91.487	0.0	37 1	.9 0.012	1 0.0000	ОК
15 minute summ	er E	X2F	11	91.016	0.0	36 1	.9 0.010	1 0.0000	ОК
15 minute summ	er (DUTFALL F	11	90.566	0.0	35 1	.8 0.000	0.0000	ОК
Link Event	US	Link	DS Node	Outf	low	Velocity	Flow/Cap	Link	Discharge
(Opstream Depth)	Node	;	Node	(1/	5]	(m/s)		voi (m²)	voi (m²)
15 minute summer	EX1F	1.000	EX2F		1.9	0.757	0.276	0.0952	
15 minute summer	EX2F	1.001	OUTFALL	F	1.8	0.728	0.268	0.0896	0.8



Results for 100 year Critical Storm Duration. Lowest mass balance: 100.00%

Node Event		US Node	Peak (mins)	Level (m)	Dep (m	th Inflov) (I/s)	v Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
15 minute summ	er l	EX1F	10	91.493	0.0	43 2.	5 0.0141	0.0000	OK
15 minute summ	er l	EX2F	11	91.022	0.0	42 2.	5 0.0118	0.0000	OK
15 minute summ	er (OUTFALL F	11	90.572	0.0	41 2.	4 0.0000	0.0000	ОК
Link Event (Upstream Depth)	US Node	Link	DS Node	Outf (I/	flow 's)	Velocity (m/s)	Flow/Cap	Link Vol (m³)	Discharge Vol (m³)
15 minute summer	EX1F	1.000	EX2F	-	2.5	0.810	0.365	0.1172	
15 minute summer	EX2F	1.001	OUTFALL	F	2.4	0.786	0.358	0.1106	1.1



Rainfall Methodology	FEH-22	Minimum Velocity (m/s)	1.00
Return Period (years)	100	Connection Type	Level Soffits
Additional Flow (%)	0	Minimum Backdrop Height (m)	0.200
CV	1.000	Preferred Cover Depth (m)	1.200
Time of Entry (mins)	5.00	Include Intermediate Ground	\checkmark
Maximum Time of Concentration (mins)	30.00	Enforce best practice design rules	х
Maximum Rainfall (mm/hr)	50.0		

<u>Nodes</u>

Name	Area (ha)	T of E (mins)	Cover Level (m)	Diameter (mm)	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	Depth (m)
EX1F	0.014	5.00	93.160	600	-12.871	61.695	1.710
EX2F	0.015	5.00	93.160	600	24.757	62.034	2.180
OUTFALL F			93.160	1200	60.690	62.034	2.629

<u>Links</u>

Name	US Node	DS Node	Length (m)	ks (mm) / n	US IL (m)	DS IL (m)	Fall (m)	Slope (1:X)	Dia (mm)	T of C (mins)	Rain (mm/hr)
1.000	EX1F	EX2F	37.630	0.600	91.450	90.980	0.470	80.0	100	5.73	50.0
1.001	EX2F	OUTFALL F	35.933	0.600	90.980	90.531	0.449	80.0	100	6.42	50.0

Name	Vel (m/s)	Cap (I/s)	Flow (I/s)	US Depth (m)	DS Depth (m)	Σ Area (ha)	Σ Add Inflow (I/s)	Pro Depth (mm)	Pro Velocity (m/s)
1.000	0.861	6.8	2.5	1.610	2.080	0.014	0.0	43	0.801
1.001	0.861	6.8	5.2	2.080	2.529	0.029	0.0	66	0.950

Pipeline Schedule

Link	Length (m)	Slope (1:X)	Dia (mm)	Link Type	US CL (m)	US IL (m)	US Depth (m)	DS CL (m)	DS IL (m)	DS Depth (m)
1.000	37.630	80.0	100	Circular	93.160	91.450	1.610	93.160	90.980	2.080
1.001	35.933	80.0	100	Circular	93.160	90.980	2.080	93.160	90.531	2.529

Link	US	Dia	Node	MH	DS	Dia	Node	МН
	Node	(mm)	Туре	Туре	Node	(mm)	Туре	Туре
1.000	EX1F	600	Manhole	Adoptable	EX2F	600	Manhole	Adoptable
1.001	EX2F	600	Manhole	Adoptable	OUTFALL F	1200	Manhole	Adoptable

Manhole Schedule

Node	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	CL (m)	Depth (m)	Dia (mm)	Connectior	IS	Link	IL (m)	Dia (mm)
EX1F	-12.871	61.695	93.160	1.710	600					
						→ o				
							0	1.000	91.450	100
EX2F	24.757	62.034	93.160	2.180	600		1	1.000	90.980	100
						1				
							0	1.001	90.980	100

CAUSEWAY 😜	EAS Transport Plannii	ng Ltd File: E Netwo Stepho 29/09,	XISTING TO FOUL WA ork: Storm Network en Adams /2023	TER Page 2						
Manhole Schedule										
Node Eastir (m)	ng Northing CL (m) (m)	Depth Dia (m) (mm)	Connections	Link IL Dia (m) (mm)						
OUTFALL F 60.69	0 62.034 93.160	0 2.629 1200	1	1.001 90.531 100						
		Simulation Settin	gs							
Rainfall Methodology Summer CV Winter CV	FEH-22 1.000 1.000 1.000 Drain D	Analysis Speed Skip Steady State own Time (mins)	Normal Additio x Chec 4880 Check	nal Storage (m³/ha) 20.0 k Discharge Rate(s) x < Discharge Volume x						
15 30 6	0 120 180	Storm Duration	s 480 600 7	20 960 1440						
R	eturn Period Climate (years) (CC	e Change Additio C %) (A	nal Area Additiona %) (Q %	al Flow 6)						
	2 30 100	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0						



Page 3

Results for 2 year Critical Storm Duration. Lowest mass balance: 98.74%

Node Event		US Node	Peak (mins)	Level (m)	Dep (m	th Inflov) (I/s)	w Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
15 minute summ	er E	X1F	10	91.491	0.0	41 2.	5 0.0184	0.0000	OK
15 minute summ	er E	X2F	11	91.044	0.0	64 5.	0 0.0271	0.0000	OK
15 minute summ	er C	OUTFALL F	11	90.594	0.0	63 4.	9 0.0000	0.0000	ОК
Link Event (Upstream Depth)	US Node	Link	DS Node	Outf (I/	flow (s)	Velocity (m/s)	Flow/Cap	Link Vol (m³)	Discharge Vol (m ³)
15 minute summer	EX1F	1.000	EX2F		, 2.4	0.585	0.355	0.1568	. ,
15 minute summer	EX2F	1.001	OUTFALL	F	4.9	0.932	0.726	0.1893	2.2



Results for 30 year Critical Storm Duration. Lowest mass balance: 98.74%

Node Event	US Nod	e (Peak mins)	Level (m)	Depth (m)	Inflow (I/s)	Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)		Status
15 minute summer	EX1F		12	91.828	0.378	6.7	0.1687	0.0000	SU	RCHARGED
15 minute summer	EX2F		12	91.597	0.617	12.6	0.2591	0.0000	SU	RCHARGED
15 minute summer	OUTFA	LL F	12	90.625	0.094	9.7	0.0000	0.0000	OK	
Link Event	US Node	Link	E)S ode	Outflow	Velocity	Flow/Ca	ip Lin	k n³)	Discharge
(Opstream Deptil)		1 000		Jue	(1/5)	0 750	0.04		II)	voi (iii)
15 minute summer	EXIF	1.000	EXZF		5.7	0.759	0.84	0.25	944	
15 minute summer	EX2F	1.001	OUTI	Fall F	9.7	1.234	1.42	27 0.27	'75	6.1



Results for 100 year Critical Storm Duration. Lowest mass balance: 98.74%

Node Event	US Nod	le (i	Peak mins)	Level (m)	Depth (m)	Inflow (I/s)	Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)		Status
15 minute summer	EX1F		13	92.274	0.824	8.6	0.3674	0.0000	SUR	CHARGED
15 minute summer	EX2F		12	91.954	0.974	13.6	0.4090	0.0000	SUR	CHARGED
15 minute summer	OUTFA	LL F	11	90.626	0.095	11.3	0.0000	0.0000	ОК	
Link Event	US	Link	0	os	Outflow	Velocity	Flow/Ca	p Lin	k	Discharge
(Upstream Depth)	Node		No	ode	(I/s)	(m/s)		Vol (r	n³)	Vol (m³)
15 minute summer	EX1F	1.000	EX2F		5.9	0.780	0.86	6 0.29	944	
15 minute summer	EX2F	1.001	OUT	FALL F	11.3	1.439	1.66	5 0.27	'83	7.8



Page 1

Design Settings

Rainfall Methodology	FEH-22	Minimum Velocity (m/s)	1.00
Return Period (years)	100	Connection Type	Level Soffits
Additional Flow (%)	0	Minimum Backdrop Height (m)	0.200
CV	1.000	Preferred Cover Depth (m)	1.200
Time of Entry (mins)	5.00	Include Intermediate Ground	\checkmark
Maximum Time of Concentration (mins)	30.00	Enforce best practice design rules	х
Maximum Rainfall (mm/hr)	50.0		

<u>Nodes</u>

Name	Area (ha)	T of E (mins)	Cover Level (m)	Diameter (mm)	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	Depth (m)
EX1	0.051	5.00	93.160	600	-12.871	61.695	1.710
EX2	0.052	5.00	93.160	600	24.757	62.034	2.180
OUTFALL			93.160	1200	60.690	62.034	2.629

<u>Links</u>

Name	US Node	DS Node	Length (m)	ks (mm) / n	US IL (m)	DS IL (m)	Fall (m)	Slope (1:X)	Dia (mm)	T of C (mins)	Rain (mm/hr)
1.000	EX1	EX2	37.630	0.600	91.450	90.980	0.470	80.0	150	5.56	50.0
1.001	EX2	OUTFALL	35.933	0.600	90.980	90.531	0.449	80.0	150	6.09	50.0

Name	Vel (m/s)	Cap (I/s)	Flow (I/s)	US Depth (m)	DS Depth (m)	Σ Area (ha)	Σ Add Inflow (I/s)	Pro Depth (mm)	Pro Velocity (m/s)
1.000	1.125	19.9	9.2	1.560	2.030	0.051	0.0	72	1.104
1.001	1.125	19.9	18.6	2.030	2.479	0.103	0.0	116	1.275

Pipeline Schedule

Link	Length (m)	Slope (1:X)	Dia (mm)	Link Type	US CL (m)	US IL (m)	US Depth (m)	DS CL (m)	DS IL (m)	DS Depth (m)
1.000	37.630	80.0	150	Circular	93.160	91.450	1.560	93.160	90.980	2.030
1.001	35.933	80.0	150	Circular	93.160	90.980	2.030	93.160	90.531	2.479

Link	US Node	Dia (mm)	Node Type	МН Туре	DS Node	Dia (mm)	Node Type	МН Туре
1.000	EX1	600	Manhole	Adoptable	EX2	600	Manhole	Adoptable
1.001	EX2	600	Manhole	Adoptable	OUTFALL	1200	Manhole	Adoptable

Manhole Schedule

Node	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	CL (m)	Depth (m)	Dia (mm)	Connection	าร	Link	IL (m)	Dia (mm)
EX1	-12.871	61.695	93.160	1.710	600					
						⊖→0				
							0	1.000	91.450	150
EX2	24.757	62.034	93.160	2.180	600		1	1.000	90.980	150
						1>0				
							0	1.001	90.980	150

CAUSEWAY	Ð	EAS Transpo	rt Plannir	ig Ltd	File: E Netwo Steph 29/09	XISTING TO ork: Storm en Adams /2023	O SURFAC Network	E WA	Page 2	
				Manhole	Schedu	le				
Node Ea OUTFALL 6	asting (m) 0.690	Northing (m) 62.034	CL (m) 93.160	Depth (m) 2.629	Dia (mm) 1200	Connec	ctions	Link 1.001	IL (m) 90.531	Dia (mm) 150
						1				
				<u>Simulatic</u>	on Settin	<u>gs</u>				
Rainfall Methodo Summe Winte	ology er CV er CV	FEH-22 1.000 1.000	S Drain Do	Analysis kip Stead own Time	Speed y State (mins)	Normal x 4880	Addit Cho Che	ional Sto eck Disc eck Disch	orage (m ³ harge Rat harge Volu	/ha) 20.0 re(s) x ume x
				Storm D 3	uration 60	S				
	Ret	urn Period (years) 100	Climate (CC	Change %) 0	Additic (A	onal Area \ %) 0	Addition (Q	nal Flow .%)	,)	
				-		-		-		

Results for 100 year 360 minute summer. 5240 minute analysis at 8 minute timestep. Mass balance: 100.00%

Node Event		US Node	Peak (mins)	Level (m)	Dep (m	th Inflov) (I/s)	w Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
360 minute summ	er	EX1	184	91.508	0.0	58 6.	3 0.0508	0.0000	OK
360 minute summ	er	EX2	184	91.069	0.0	89 12.	7 0.0676	0.0000	ОК
360 minute summ	ier	OUTFALL	184	90.617	0.0	86 12.	6 0.0000	0.0000	ОК
Link Event	US Nod	Link	DS Node	Outf (I/	low s)	Velocity (m/s)	Flow/Cap	Link Vol (m³)	Discharge Vol (m³)
360 minute summer	EX1	1.000	EX2		6.3	0.732	0.315	0.3223	
360 minute summer	EX2	1.001	OUTFAI	LL :	12.6	1.176	0.632	0.3837	70.2

Results for 100 year 360 minute winter. 5240 minute analysis at 8 minute timestep. Mass balance: 100.00%

Node Event		US Node	Peak (mins)	Level (m)	Dep (m	th Inflov) (I/s)	w Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
360 minute wint	er E	X1	184	91.496	0.04	46 4.	1 0.0406	0.0000	ОК
360 minute wint	er E	X2	184	91.049	0.06	59 8.	3 0.0523	0.0000	ОК
360 minute wint	er O	UTFALL	184	90.598	0.06	67 8.	3 0.0000	0.0000	ОК
Link Event	US Node	Link	DS Node	Outf (I/	low s)	Velocity (m/s)	Flow/Cap	Link Vol (m³)	Discharge Vol (m³)
360 minute winter	EX1	1.000	EX2		4.1	0.660	0.206	0.2348	
360 minute winter	EX2	1.001	OUTFAL	.L	8.3	1.066	0.417	0.2794	70.4



Page 1

Design Settings

Rainfall Methodology	FEH-22	Minimum Velocity (m/s)	1.00
Return Period (years)	100	Connection Type	Level Soffits
Additional Flow (%)	0	Minimum Backdrop Height (m)	0.200
CV	1.000	Preferred Cover Depth (m)	1.200
Time of Entry (mins)	5.00	Include Intermediate Ground	\checkmark
Maximum Time of Concentration (mins)	30.00	Enforce best practice design rules	х
Maximum Rainfall (mm/hr)	50.0		

<u>Nodes</u>

Name	Area (ha)	T of E (mins)	Cover Level (m)	Diameter (mm)	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	Depth (m)
EX1	0.051	5.00	93.160	600	-12.871	61.695	1.710
EX2	0.052	5.00	93.160	600	24.757	62.034	2.180
OUTFALL			93.160	1200	60.690	62.034	2.629

<u>Links</u>

Name	US Node	DS Node	Length (m)	ks (mm) / n	US IL (m)	DS IL (m)	Fall (m)	Slope (1:X)	Dia (mm)	T of C (mins)	Rain (mm/hr)
1.000	EX1	EX2	37.630	0.600	91.450	90.980	0.470	80.0	150	5.56	50.0
1.001	EX2	OUTFALL	35.933	0.600	90.980	90.531	0.449	80.0	150	6.09	50.0

Name	Vel (m/s)	Cap (I/s)	Flow (I/s)	US Depth (m)	DS Depth (m)	Σ Area (ha)	Σ Add Inflow (I/s)	Pro Depth (mm)	Pro Velocity (m/s)
1.000	1.125	19.9	9.2	1.560	2.030	0.051	0.0	72	1.104
1.001	1.125	19.9	18.6	2.030	2.479	0.103	0.0	116	1.275

Pipeline Schedule

Link	Length (m)	Slope (1:X)	Dia (mm)	Link Type	US CL (m)	US IL (m)	US Depth (m)	DS CL (m)	DS IL (m)	DS Depth (m)
1.000	37.630	80.0	150	Circular	93.160	91.450	1.560	93.160	90.980	2.030
1.001	35.933	80.0	150	Circular	93.160	90.980	2.030	93.160	90.531	2.479

Link	US Node	Dia (mm)	Node Type	МН Туре	DS Node	Dia (mm)	Node Type	МН Туре
1.000	EX1	600	Manhole	Adoptable	EX2	600	Manhole	Adoptable
1.001	EX2	600	Manhole	Adoptable	OUTFALL	1200	Manhole	Adoptable

Manhole Schedule

Node	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	CL (m)	Depth (m)	Dia (mm)	Connections		Link	IL (m)	Dia (mm)	
EX1	-12.871	61.695	93.160	1.710	600						
						⊖→0					
							0	1.000	91.450	150	
EX2	24.757	62.034	93.160	2.180	600		1	1.000	90.980	150	
						1>0					
							0	1.001	90.980	150	
		_	EAS Transpo	rt Plannin	g Ltd	File: E	XISTING TO) SURFAC	E WA	Page 2	
-------	-------------	----------------	-----------------	------------	--------------	-------------	------------	----------	----------	------------	-------------
CALIC	ELAAV	0				Netwo	ork: Storm	Network			
CAUS	EVVAI	V				Steph	en Adams				
						29/09	/2023				
					Manhole	e Schedu	le				
	Node	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	CL (m)	Depth (m)	Dia (mm)	Connec	ctions	Link	IL (m)	Dia (mm)
	OUTFALL	60.690	62.034	93.160	2.629	1200		1	1.001	90.531	150
							-				
					Simulatio	on Settin	gs				
	C 11 A A					- I				, ,	
Rai	nfall Metho	dology	FEH-22		Analysis	Speed	Normal	Addit	ional St	orage (m)	/na) 20.0
	Sumi	mer CV	1.000	S.	kip Stead	y State	X 4000	Ch	eck Disc	charge Rat	e(s) x
	VVI	iter CV	1.000	Drain Do	wn Time	(mins)	4880	Cne	ECK DISC	narge volu	ume x
I					Storm [Juration	c				
	15 30	60	120	180	2/0	360	180	600	720	960	1///0
	15 50	00	120	100	240	500	400	000	/20	500	1440
		Re	turn Period	Climate	Change	Additic	nal Area	Additio	nal Flov	v	
			(vears)	(CC	%)	(4	(%)	(Q	%)		
			2	,	, 0	, c	, 0	(-	. ,	0	
			30		0		0		(0	
			100		0		0			0	
					-		-				



Results for 2 year Critical Storm Duration. Lowest mass balance: 99.60%

Node Event		US Node	Peak (mins)	Level (m)	Dep (m	th Inflo) (l/s	w Node) Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
15 minute summ	ner l	EX1	10	91.520	0.0	70 8	.9 0.0613	0.0000	OK
15 minute summ	ner l	EX2	11	91.094	0.1	14 17	.9 0.0866	0.0000	OK
15 minute summ	er (DUTFALL	11	90.640	0.1	09 17	0.0000	0.0000	ОК
Link Event (Upstream Depth)	US Nod	Link	DS Node	Outf (I/	low s)	Velocity (m/s)	Flow/Cap	Link Vol (m³)	Discharge Vol (m ³)
15 minute summer	EX1	1.000	EX2	-	8.8	0.788	0.442	0.4188	
15 minute summer	EX2	1.001	OUTFAL	L :	17.6	1.252	0.885	0.5051	7.8



Results for 30 year Critical Storm Duration. Lowest mass balance: 99.60%

Node Event	US Node	Pe e (mi	ak ins)	Level (m)	Depth (m)	Inflow (I/s)	Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)		Status
15 minute summer	EX1		12	93.113	1.663	24.5	1.4620	0.0000	FLC	DOD RISK
15 minute summer	EX2		12	92.600	1.620	42.2	1.2311	0.0000	SU	RCHARGED
15 minute summer	OUTFA	LL	9	90.673	0.142	39.4	0.0000	0.0000	ОК	
Link Event	US	Link		DS	Outflow	Velocity	Flow/Ca	p Lin	k	Discharge
(Upstream Depth)	Node		N	lode	(I/s)	(m/s)		Vol (m³)	Vol (m³)
15 minute summer	EX1	1.000	EX2	2	19.4	1.100	0.97	4 0.6	625	
15 minute summer	EX2	1.001	OU	ITFALL	39.4	2.240	1.98	4 0.6	262	21.4



Results for 100 year Critical Storm Duration. Lowest mass balance: 99.60%

Node Event	US Nod	le (Peak mins)	Leve (m)	el Dept (m)	h Inflow (I/s)	Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
15 minute summer	EX1		10	93.16	50 1.71	0 31.3	1.5031	2.5799	FLOOD
15 minute summer	EX2		11	92.96	51 1.98	1 48.1	1.5052	0.0000	FLOOD RISK
15 minute summer	OUTF	ALL	9	90.67	73 0.14	2 43.0	0.0000	0.0000	ОК
Link Event	US	Link	[os	Outflow	Velocity	Flow/Cap	Link	Discharge
(Upstream Depth)	Node		No	ode	(I/s)	(m/s)		Vol (m ⁻	') Vol (m')
15 minute summer	EX1	1.000	EX2		19.1	1.083	0.959	0.662	5
15 minute summer	EX2	1.001	OUT	FALL	43.0	2.441	2.162	0.626	2 25.1

Appendix J – Proposed SuDS Layout

TRANSPORT PLANNING III HIGHWAYS AND DRAINAGE II FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 BHN. Tel 01920 871 777 e: contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

EAS is a trading name of EAS Transport Planning Uit. Registered in England and Walas No. 5751442



Appendix K - Causeway Flow Hydraulic Model Results

TRANSPORT PLANNING III HIGHWAY'S AND DRAINAGE III FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 BHN. Tel 01920 871 777 et contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

EAS is a trading name of EAS Transport Planning Uit. Registered in England and Walas No. 5751442



EAS Transport Planning Ltd

Rainfall Methodology	FEH-22	Minimum Velocity (m/s)	1.00
Return Period (years)	100	Connection Type	Level Soffits
Additional Flow (%)	0	Minimum Backdrop Height (m)	0.200
CV	1.000	Preferred Cover Depth (m)	1.200
Time of Entry (mins)	5.00	Include Intermediate Ground	\checkmark
Maximum Time of Concentration (mins)	30.00	Enforce best practice design rules	х
Maximum Rainfall (mm/hr)	50.0		

<u>Nodes</u>

Name	Area (ha)	T of E (mins)	Cover Level (m)	Diameter (mm)	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	Depth (m)
SW1	0.011	5.00	91.730	600	-5.299	67.278	0.968
SW2	0.015	5.00	92.110	600	10.577	67.264	1.464
SW3	0.005	5.00	92.300	600	16.227	65.042	1.694
SW4	0.005	5.00	93.150	600	16.549	53.032	2.691
SW5	0.006	5.00	93.260	600	34.106	52.646	2.958
SW11			93.260	1200	34.390	43.456	3.030
DEFENDER			93.260	1200	45.338	43.532	3.214
SW6	0.005	5.00	92.990	600	-1.272	44.280	1.380
SW7	0.005	5.00	92.240	600	-1.460	51.838	0.700
SW8	0.020	5.00	92.990	600	8.515	51.845	1.587
SW9	0.010	5.00	92.990	600	8.560	43.913	1.635
SW10	0.010	5.00	92.990	600	20.957	43.587	1.703
GEO			93.260		52.911	43.462	3.690
DEMARCATION			91.850	1200	52.503	59.367	0.500
PERM PAVE	0.048	5.00	93.260		34.428	35.772	0.650

<u>Links</u>

Name	US	DS	Length	ks (mm) /	US IL	DS IL	Fall	Slope	Dia	T of C	Rain
	Node	Node	(m)	n	(m)	(m)	(m)	(1:X)	(mm)	(mins)	(mm/hr)
1.000	SW1	SW2	11.600	0.600	90.762	90.646	0.116	100.0	150	5.19	50.0
1.001	SW2	SW3	4.000	0.600	90.646	90.606	0.040	100.0	150	5.26	50.0
1.002	SW3	SW4	14.700	0.600	90.606	90.459	0.147	100.0	150	5.50	50.0
1.003	SW4	SW5	15.700	0.600	90.459	90.302	0.157	100.0	150	5.76	50.0
1.004	SW5	SW11	7.200	0.600	90.302	90.230	0.072	100.0	150	5.88	50.0
1.005	SW11	DEFENDER	6.700	0.600	90.230	90.146	0.084	79.8	150	5.98	50.0
2.000	SW6	SW7	2.800	0.600	91.610	91.540	0.070	40.0	150	5.03	50.0
2.001	SW7	SW8	10.900	0.600	91.540	91.403	0.137	79.6	150	5.19	50.0
2.002	SW8	SW9	3.800	0.600	91.403	91.355	0.048	79.2	150	5.25	50.0

Name	Vel	Сар	Flow	US	DS	Σ Area	Σ Add	Pro	Pro
	(m/s)	(I/s)	(I/s)	Depth	Depth	(ha)	Inflow	Depth	Velocity
				(m)	(m)		(I/s)	(mm)	(m/s)
1.000	1.005	17.8	2.0	0.818	1.314	0.011	0.0	34	0.663
1.001	1.005	17.8	4.7	1.314	1.544	0.026	0.0	52	0.848
1.002	1.005	17.8	5.6	1.544	2.541	0.031	0.0	58	0.891
1.003	1.005	17.8	6.5	2.541	2.808	0.036	0.0	63	0.929
1.004	1.005	17.8	7.6	2.808	2.880	0.042	0.0	68	0.964
1.005	1.126	19.9	25.3	2.880	2.964	0.140	0.0	150	1.147
2.000	1.596	28.2	0.9	1.230	0.550	0.005	0.0	18	0.728
2.001	1.128	19.9	1.8	0.550	1.437	0.010	0.0	31	0.705
2.002	1.131	20.0	5.4	1.437	1.485	0.030	0.0	53	0.960

Flow+ v10.7 Copyright © 1988-2023 Causeway Technologies Ltd

CAU	SEWAY	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	nsport Plai	nning Ltd	File: 20 Netwo Stephe 03/10/	230929-S rk: Storm n Adams 2023	UDS NET Network	WOR	Page 2		
				Li	inks						
Name	US Node	DS Node	Length (m)	ks (mm) / n	US IL (m)	DS IL (m)	Fall (m)	Slope (1:X)	Dia (mm)	T of C (mins)	Rain (mm/hr)
2.003	SW9	SW10	5.400	0.600	91.355	91.287	0.068	79.4	150	5.33	50.0
2.004	SW10	SW11	14.000	0.600	91.287	91.112	0.175	80.0	150	5.53	50.0
1.006	DEFENDER	GEO	2.600	0.600	90.046	90.000	0.046	56.5	150	6.01	50.0
1.007	GEO	DEMARCATION	15.910	0.600	89.570	91.350	-1.780	-8.9	100	6.28	50.0
3.000	PERM PAVE	SW11	7.684	0.600	92.610	90.270	2.340	3.3	100	5.03	50.0

N	lame	Vel (m/s)	Cap (I/s)	Flow (I/s)	US Depth (m)	DS Depth (m)	Σ Area (ha)	Σ Add Inflow (I/s)	Pro Depth (mm)	Pro Velocity (m/s)
2	.003	1.129	19.9	7.2	1.485	1.553	0.040	0.0	62	1.040
2	.004	1.125	19.9	9.0	1.553	1.998	0.050	0.0	71	1.100
1	.006	1.340	23.7	25.3	3.064	3.110	0.140	0.0	137	1.496
1	.007	1.000	7.9	25.3	3.590	0.400	0.140	0.0	100	0.000
3	.000	4.299	33.8	8.7	0.550	2.890	0.048	0.0	35	3.617

Pipeline Schedule

Link	Length (m)	Slope (1·X)	Dia (mm)	Link Type	US CL (m)	US IL (m)	US Depth (m)	DS CL (m)	DS IL (m)	DS Depth
4 000	(11)	(1.00 0	(1111)	i ypc	(11)	(11)	(11)	(11)		(11)
1.000	11.600	100.0	150	Circular	91.730	90.762	0.818	92.110	90.646	1.314
1.001	4.000	100.0	150	Circular	92.110	90.646	1.314	92.300	90.606	1.544
1.002	14.700	100.0	150	Circular	92.300	90.606	1.544	93.150	90.459	2.541
1.003	15.700	100.0	150	Circular	93.150	90.459	2.541	93.260	90.302	2.808
1.004	7.200	100.0	150	Circular	93.260	90.302	2.808	93.260	90.230	2.880
1.005	6.700	79.8	150	Circular	93.260	90.230	2.880	93.260	90.146	2.964
2.000	2.800	40.0	150	Circular	92.990	91.610	1.230	92.240	91.540	0.550
2.001	10.900	79.6	150	Circular	92.240	91.540	0.550	92.990	91.403	1.437
2.002	3.800	79.2	150	Circular	92.990	91.403	1.437	92.990	91.355	1.485
2.003	5.400	79.4	150	Circular	92.990	91.355	1.485	92.990	91.287	1.553
2.004	14.000	80.0	150	Circular	92.990	91.287	1.553	93.260	91.112	1.998
1.006	2.600	56.5	150	Circular	93.260	90.046	3.064	93.260	90.000	3.110
1.007	15.910	-8.9	100	Circular	93.260	89.570	3.590	91.850	91.350	0.400
3.000	7.684	3.3	100	Circular	93.260	92.610	0.550	93.260	90.270	2.890

Link	US	Dia	Node	МН	DS	Dia	Node	МН
	Node	(mm)	Туре	Туре	Node	(mm)	Туре	Туре
1.000	SW1	600	Manhole	Adoptable	SW2	600	Manhole	Adoptable
1.001	SW2	600	Manhole	Adoptable	SW3	600	Manhole	Adoptable
1.002	SW3	600	Manhole	Adoptable	SW4	600	Manhole	Adoptable
1.003	SW4	600	Manhole	Adoptable	SW5	600	Manhole	Adoptable
1.004	SW5	600	Manhole	Adoptable	SW11	1200	Manhole	Adoptable
1.005	SW11	1200	Manhole	Adoptable	DEFENDER	1200	Manhole	Adoptable
2.000	SW6	600	Manhole	Adoptable	SW7	600	Manhole	Adoptable
2.001	SW7	600	Manhole	Adoptable	SW8	600	Manhole	Adoptable
2.002	SW8	600	Manhole	Adoptable	SW9	600	Manhole	Adoptable
2.003	SW9	600	Manhole	Adoptable	SW10	600	Manhole	Adoptable
2.004	SW10	600	Manhole	Adoptable	SW11	1200	Manhole	Adoptable
1.006	DEFENDER	1200	Manhole	Adoptable	GEO		Junction	
1.007	GEO		Junction		DEMARCATION	1200	Manhole	Adoptable
3.000	PERM PAVE		Junction		SW11	1200	Manhole	Adoptable



Manhole Schedule

Node	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	CL (m)	Depth (m)	Dia (mm)	Connection	s	Link	IL (m)	Dia (mm)
SW1	-5.299	67.278	91.730	0.968	600					
						→0				
							0	1.000	90.762	150
SW2	10.577	67.264	92.110	1.464	600	1	1	1.000	90.646	150
							0	1.001	90.646	150
SW3	16.227	65.042	92.300	1.694	600		1	1.001	90.606	150
						ő	0	1.002	90.606	150
SW4	16.549	53.032	93.150	2.691	600		1	1.002	90.459	150
							0	1.003	90.459	150
SW5	34.106	52.646	93.260	2.958	600	1	1	1.003	90.302	150
							0	1.004	90.302	150
SW11	34.390	43.456	93.260	3.030	1200	3	1	3.000	90.270	100
							2	2.004	91.112	150
						2	3	1.004	90.230	150
						1	0	1.005	90.230	150
DEFENDER	45.338	43.532	93.260	3.214	1200	1>0	1	1.005	90.146	150
							0	1.006	90.046	150
SW6	-1.272	44.280	92.990	1.380	600					
							0	2.000	91.610	150
SW7	-1.460	51.838	92.240	0.700	600	→ o	1	2.000	91.540	150
						1	0	2.001	91.540	150
SW8	8.515	51.845	92.990	1.587	600		1	2.001	91.403	150
						1				450
<u></u>	0.500	42.042	02.000	4 625	600	0	0	2.002	91.403	150
5W9	8.560	43.913	92.990	1.635	600		T	2.002	91.355	150
							0	2.003	91.355	150
SW10	20.957	43.587	92.990	1.703	600	1	1	2.003	91.287	150
							0	2.004	91.287	150
GEO	52.911	43.462	93.260	3.690			1	1.006	90.000	150
							0	1.007	89.570	100

CAUSEWAY 🜍	EAS Transport Planning Ltd	File: 20230929-SU Network: Storm No Stephen Adams 03/10/2023	DS NETWOR F etwork	Page 4
	Manhole	<u>Schedule</u>		
Node Eas (r	ting Northing CL Deptl n) (m) (m) (m)	n Dia Conne (mm)	ections Lin	k IL Dia (m) (mm)
DEMARCATION 52.	503 59.367 91.850 0.500		1 1.00	7 91.350 100
PERM PAVE 34.	428 35.772 93.260 0.650		0 3.00	0 92.610 100
	Simulatio	n Settings		
Rainfall Methodology Summer CV Winter CV	FEH-22Analysis1.000Skip Steady1.000Drain Down Time (Speed Normal State x mins) 4880	Additional Sto Check Disch Check Disch	orage (m³/ha) 20.0 narge Rate(s) x arge Volume x
	Storm D 36	urations		
Re	eturn Period Climate Change (years) (CC %) 100 0	Additional Area A (A %) 0	Additional Flow (Q %) 0	
	Node PERM PAVE O	nline Orifice Contro	<u>I</u>	
Flap Valve Downstream Link	x Replaces Downstrea 3.000 Invert Le	m Link √ vel (m) 92.610	Diame Discharge Coe	eter (m) 0.010 efficient 0.600
	<u>Node GEO Onlin</u>	<u>e Pump Control</u>		
Flap Valve Downstream Link	x Replaces Downstrea 1.007 Invert Le	am Link √ vel (m) 89.570	Switch on de Switch off de	pth (m) 0.075 pth (m) 0.050
Dep (m 0.1 0.4	Flow Depth Flow (l/s) (m) (l/s) 00 3.500 0.660 3.500 00 3.500 1.200 3.500	DepthFlow(m)(l/s)1.5003.5002.0003.500	Depth Flo (m) (l/s) 2.500 3.50	w 5) 00
	Node GEO Depth/Ar	ea Storage Structur	<u>e</u>	
Base Inf Coefficien Side Inf Coefficien	t (m/hr) 0.00000 Safety Fac t (m/hr) 0.00000 Porc	ctor 2.0 sity 0.95 Tim	Invert Le le to half empty	vel (m) 89.570 (mins) 80
Depth (m) 0.000	Area Inf Area Depth Area (m²) (m²) (m) (m² 54.4 0.0 0.660 54.	a Inf Area De ?) (m²) (4 0.0 0	epth Area Ii m) (m²) .661 0.0	nf Area (m²) 0.0
	Node PERM PAVE Carp	oark Storage Structu	<u>ire</u>	
Base Inf Coefficient Side Inf Coefficient Safety Po	(m/hr) 0.00000 I (m/hr) 0.00000 Time to ha Factor 2.0 prosity 0.33	nvert Level (m) 92 If empty (mins) 80 Width (m) 22 Length (m) 22	2.610 Slo 00 D 1.900 Inf D 1.900	ope (1:X) 2000.0 epth (m) epth (m)



Results for 100 year Critical Storm Duration. Lowest mass balance: 100.00%

Node Event	US Node	Peak (mins)	Level (m)	Depth (m)	Inflow (I/s)	Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
360 minute summer	SW1	184	90.790	0.028	1.4	0.0145	0.0000	ОК
360 minute summer	SW2	184	90.693	0.047	3.2	0.0229	0.0000	ОК
360 minute summer	SW3	184	90.654	0.048	3.8	0.0164	0.0000	ОК
360 minute summer	SW4	184	90.511	0.052	4.4	0.0165	0.0000	ОК
360 minute summer	SW5	184	90.358	0.056	5.0	0.0180	0.0000	ОК
360 minute summer	SW11	184	90.318	0.088	11.1	0.1000	0.0000	ОК
360 minute summer	DEFENDER	184	90.125	0.079	11.1	0.0893	0.0000	ОК
360 minute summer	SW6	184	91.625	0.015	0.6	0.0054	0.0000	ОК
360 minute summer	SW7	184	91.565	0.025	1.2	0.0106	0.0000	ОК
360 minute summer	SW8	184	91.452	0.049	3.7	0.0260	0.0000	ОК
360 minute summer	SW9	184	91.410	0.055	4.9	0.0222	0.0000	ОК
360 minute summer	SW10	184	91.346	0.059	6.1	0.0236	0.0000	ОК
360 minute summer	GEO	232	90.029	0.459	11.0	23.7281	0.0000	SURCHARGED
360 minute summer	DEMARCATION	8	91.350	0.000	3.5	0.0000	0.0000	ОК
360 minute winter	PERM PAVE	360	92.815	0.205	3.8	31.8187	0.0000	SURCHARGED

Link Event	US	Link	DS	Outflow	Velocity	Flow/Cap	Link	Discharge
(Upstream Depth)	Node		Node	(I/s)	(m/s)		Vol (m³)	Vol (m³)
360 minute summer	SW1	1.000	SW2	1.4	0.402	0.078	0.0408	
360 minute summer	SW2	1.001	SW3	3.2	0.666	0.179	0.0191	
360 minute summer	SW3	1.002	SW4	3.8	0.739	0.212	0.0748	
360 minute summer	SW4	1.003	SW5	4.3	0.769	0.244	0.0886	
360 minute summer	SW5	1.004	SW11	5.0	0.600	0.282	0.0602	
360 minute summer	SW11	1.005	DEFENDER	11.1	1.089	0.555	0.0680	
360 minute summer	DEFENDER	1.006	GEO	11.0	1.245	0.466	0.0230	
360 minute summer	SW6	2.000	SW7	0.6	0.429	0.021	0.0040	
360 minute summer	SW7	2.001	SW8	1.2	0.357	0.060	0.0373	
360 minute summer	SW8	2.002	SW9	3.7	0.683	0.184	0.0204	
360 minute summer	SW9	2.003	SW10	4.9	0.793	0.243	0.0331	
360 minute summer	SW10	2.004	SW11	6.0	0.965	0.303	0.0874	
360 minute summer	GEO	Pump	DEMARCATION	3.5				86.2
360 minute winter	PERM PAVE	Orifice	SW11	0.1				

Flow+ v10.7 Copyright © 1988-2023 Causeway Technologies Ltd



EAS Transport Planning Ltd

Rainfall Methodology	FEH-22	Minimum Velocity (m/s)	1.00
Return Period (years)	100	Connection Type	Level Soffits
Additional Flow (%)	0	Minimum Backdrop Height (m)	0.200
CV	1.000	Preferred Cover Depth (m)	1.200
Time of Entry (mins)	5.00	Include Intermediate Ground	\checkmark
Maximum Time of Concentration (mins)	30.00	Enforce best practice design rules	х
Maximum Rainfall (mm/hr)	50.0		

<u>Nodes</u>

Name	Area (ha)	T of E (mins)	Cover Level (m)	Diameter (mm)	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	Depth (m)
SW1	0.011	5.00	91.730	600	-5.299	67.278	0.968
SW2	0.015	5.00	92.110	600	10.577	67.264	1.464
SW3	0.005	5.00	92.300	600	16.227	65.042	1.694
SW4	0.005	5.00	93.150	600	16.549	53.032	2.691
SW5	0.006	5.00	93.260	600	34.106	52.646	2.958
SW11			93.260	1200	34.390	43.456	3.030
DEFENDER			93.260	1200	45.338	43.532	3.214
SW6	0.005	5.00	92.990	600	-1.272	44.280	1.380
SW7	0.005	5.00	92.240	600	-1.460	51.838	0.700
SW8	0.020	5.00	92.990	600	8.515	51.845	1.587
SW9	0.010	5.00	92.990	600	8.560	43.913	1.635
SW10	0.010	5.00	92.990	600	20.957	43.587	1.703
GEO			93.260		52.911	43.462	3.690
DEMARCATION			91.850	1200	52.503	59.367	0.500
PERM PAVE	0.048	5.00	93.260		34.428	35.772	0.650

<u>Links</u>

Name	US	DS	Length	ks (mm) /	US IL	DS IL	Fall	Slope	Dia	T of C	Rain
	Node	Node	(m)	n	(m)	(m)	(m)	(1:X)	(mm)	(mins)	(mm/hr)
1.000	SW1	SW2	11.600	0.600	90.762	90.646	0.116	100.0	150	5.19	50.0
1.001	SW2	SW3	4.000	0.600	90.646	90.606	0.040	100.0	150	5.26	50.0
1.002	SW3	SW4	14.700	0.600	90.606	90.459	0.147	100.0	150	5.50	50.0
1.003	SW4	SW5	15.700	0.600	90.459	90.302	0.157	100.0	150	5.76	50.0
1.004	SW5	SW11	7.200	0.600	90.302	90.230	0.072	100.0	150	5.88	50.0
1.005	SW11	DEFENDER	6.700	0.600	90.230	90.146	0.084	79.8	150	5.98	50.0
2.000	SW6	SW7	2.800	0.600	91.610	91.540	0.070	40.0	150	5.03	50.0
2.001	SW7	SW8	10.900	0.600	91.540	91.403	0.137	79.6	150	5.19	50.0
2.002	SW8	SW9	3.800	0.600	91.403	91.355	0.048	79.2	150	5.25	50.0

Name	Vel	Сар	Flow	US	DS	Σ Area	Σ Add	Pro	Pro
	(m/s)	(I/s)	(I/s)	Depth	Depth	(ha)	Inflow	Depth	Velocity
				(m)	(m)		(I/s)	(mm)	(m/s)
1.000	1.005	17.8	2.0	0.818	1.314	0.011	0.0	34	0.663
1.001	1.005	17.8	4.7	1.314	1.544	0.026	0.0	52	0.848
1.002	1.005	17.8	5.6	1.544	2.541	0.031	0.0	58	0.891
1.003	1.005	17.8	6.5	2.541	2.808	0.036	0.0	63	0.929
1.004	1.005	17.8	7.6	2.808	2.880	0.042	0.0	68	0.964
1.005	1.126	19.9	25.3	2.880	2.964	0.140	0.0	150	1.147
2.000	1.596	28.2	0.9	1.230	0.550	0.005	0.0	18	0.728
2.001	1.128	19.9	1.8	0.550	1.437	0.010	0.0	31	0.705
2.002	1.131	20.0	5.4	1.437	1.485	0.030	0.0	53	0.960

Flow+ v10.7 Copyright © 1988-2023 Causeway Technologies Ltd

CAU	SEWAY	EAS Trar	nsport Plar	nning Ltd	File: 20 Netwo Stephe 02/10/	0230929-S rk: Storm n Adams 2023	UDS NET Network	WOR	Page 2		
				Li	inks						
Name	US Node	DS Node	Length (m)	ks (mm) / n	US IL (m)	DS IL (m)	Fall (m)	Slope (1:X)	Dia (mm)	T of C (mins)	Rain (mm/hr)
2.003	SW9	SW10	5.400	0.600	91.355	91.287	0.068	79.4	150	5.33	50.0
2.004	SW10	SW11	14.000	0.600	91.287	91.112	0.175	80.0	150	5.53	50.0
1.006	DEFENDER	GEO	2.600	0.600	90.046	90.000	0.046	56.5	150	6.01	50.0
1.007	GEO	DEMARCATION	15.910	0.600	89.570	91.350	-1.780	-8.9	100	6.28	50.0
3.000	PERM PAVE	SW11	7.684	0.600	92.610	90.270	2.340	3.3	100	5.03	50.0

Name	Vel (m/s)	Cap (I/s)	Flow (I/s)	US Depth (m)	DS Depth (m)	Σ Area (ha)	Σ Add Inflow (I/s)	Pro Depth (mm)	Pro Velocity (m/s)
2.003	1.129	19.9	7.2	1.485	1.553	0.040	0.0	62	1.040
2.004	1.125	19.9	9.0	1.553	1.998	0.050	0.0	71	1.100
1.006	1.340	23.7	25.3	3.064	3.110	0.140	0.0	137	1.496
1.007	1.000	7.9	25.3	3.590	0.400	0.140	0.0	100	0.000
3.000	4.299	33.8	8.7	0.550	2.890	0.048	0.0	35	3.617

Pipeline Schedule

Link	Length (m)	Slope (1:X)	Dia (mm)	Link Type	US CL (m)	US IL (m)	US Depth (m)	DS CL (m)	DS IL (m)	DS Depth (m)
1 000	11 600	100.0	150	Circular	91 730	90 762	0.818	92 110	90 646	1 314
1.001	4.000	100.0	150	Circular	92.110	90.646	1.314	92.300	90.606	1.544
1.002	14.700	100.0	150	Circular	92.300	90.606	1.544	93.150	90.459	2.541
1.003	15.700	100.0	150	Circular	93.150	90.459	2.541	93.260	90.302	2.808
1.004	7.200	100.0	150	Circular	93.260	90.302	2.808	93.260	90.230	2.880
1.005	6.700	79.8	150	Circular	93.260	90.230	2.880	93.260	90.146	2.964
2.000	2.800	40.0	150	Circular	92.990	91.610	1.230	92.240	91.540	0.550
2.001	10.900	79.6	150	Circular	92.240	91.540	0.550	92.990	91.403	1.437
2.002	3.800	79.2	150	Circular	92.990	91.403	1.437	92.990	91.355	1.485
2.003	5.400	79.4	150	Circular	92.990	91.355	1.485	92.990	91.287	1.553
2.004	14.000	80.0	150	Circular	92.990	91.287	1.553	93.260	91.112	1.998
1.006	2.600	56.5	150	Circular	93.260	90.046	3.064	93.260	90.000	3.110
1.007	15.910	-8.9	100	Circular	93.260	89.570	3.590	91.850	91.350	0.400
3.000	7.684	3.3	100	Circular	93.260	92.610	0.550	93.260	90.270	2.890

Link	US	Dia	Node	МН	DS	Dia	Node	МН
	Node	(mm)	Туре	Туре	Node	(mm)	Туре	Туре
1.000	SW1	600	Manhole	Adoptable	SW2	600	Manhole	Adoptable
1.001	SW2	600	Manhole	Adoptable	SW3	600	Manhole	Adoptable
1.002	SW3	600	Manhole	Adoptable	SW4	600	Manhole	Adoptable
1.003	SW4	600	Manhole	Adoptable	SW5	600	Manhole	Adoptable
1.004	SW5	600	Manhole	Adoptable	SW11	1200	Manhole	Adoptable
1.005	SW11	1200	Manhole	Adoptable	DEFENDER	1200	Manhole	Adoptable
2.000	SW6	600	Manhole	Adoptable	SW7	600	Manhole	Adoptable
2.001	SW7	600	Manhole	Adoptable	SW8	600	Manhole	Adoptable
2.002	SW8	600	Manhole	Adoptable	SW9	600	Manhole	Adoptable
2.003	SW9	600	Manhole	Adoptable	SW10	600	Manhole	Adoptable
2.004	SW10	600	Manhole	Adoptable	SW11	1200	Manhole	Adoptable
1.006	DEFENDER	1200	Manhole	Adoptable	GEO		Junction	
1.007	GEO		Junction		DEMARCATION	1200	Manhole	Adoptable
3.000	PERM PAVE		Junction		SW11	1200	Manhole	Adoptable



Manhole Schedule

Node	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	CL (m)	Depth (m)	Dia (mm)	Connections		Link	IL (m)	Dia (mm)
SW1	-5.299	67.278	91.730	0.968	600					
						→0				
							0	1.000	90.762	150
SW2	10.577	67.264	92.110	1.464	600	1	1	1.000	90.646	150
							0	1.001	90.646	150
SW3	16.227	65.042	92.300	1.694	600		1	1.001	90.606	150
						ő	0	1.002	90.606	150
SW4	16.549	53.032	93.150	2.691	600		1	1.002	90.459	150
							0	1.003	90.459	150
SW5	34.106	52.646	93.260	2.958	600	1	1	1.003	90.302	150
							0	1.004	90.302	150
SW11	34.390	43.456	93.260	3.030	1200	3	1	3.000	90.270	100
							2	2.004	91.112	150
						2	3	1.004	90.230	150
						1	0	1.005	90.230	150
DEFENDER	45.338	43.532	93.260	3.214	1200	1>0	1	1.005	90.146	150
							0	1.006	90.046	150
SW6	-1.272	44.280	92.990	1.380	600					
							0	2.000	91.610	150
SW7	-1.460	51.838	92.240	0.700	600	→ o	1	2.000	91.540	150
						1	0	2.001	91.540	150
SW8	8.515	51.845	92.990	1.587	600		1	2.001	91.403	150
						1				450
<u></u>	0.500	42.042	02.000	4 625	600	0	0	2.002	91.403	150
5₩9	8.560	43.913	92.990	1.635	600		T	2.002	91.355	150
							0	2.003	91.355	150
SW10	20.957	43.587	92.990	1.703	600	1	1	2.003	91.287	150
							0	2.004	91.287	150
GEO	52.911	43.462	93.260	3.690			1	1.006	90.000	150
							0	1.007	89.570	100

	EAS Transpo	rt Planning L	td	File: 202	30929-SU	DS NETWO	R Page	e 4	
CALICEVAAV 🔿				Network	: Storm N	letwork	_		
"AUSEVVAT 🦦	/			Stephen	Adams				
_				02/10/20	023				
	-						I		
		<u>M</u>	anhole S	<u>Schedule</u>					
Node Fa	sting Northi	ng Cl	Denth	Dia	Conn	ections	Link	п	Dia
Noue Ea	m) (m)	(m)	(m)	(mm)	Com	ections	LIIIK	(m)	(mm)
DEMARCATION 52	2.503 59.3	67 91.850	0.500	1200		1	1.007	91.350	100
		51.000	0.500	1200		、	1.007	51.000	100
					$ \downarrow \downarrow$)			
PERM PAVE 34	1.428 35.7	72 93.260	0.650		0				
					Ó				
						0	3.000	92.610	100
						1			
		<u>Sir</u>	nulation	Settings					
	5511.00							(3/1)	20.0
Rainfall Methodology	y FEH-22	A	nalysis S	peed N	lormal	Additiona	al Storage	e (m ³ /ha)	20.0
Summer CV	/ 1.000	SKIP	Steady	State x	000	Check	Discharg	e Rate(s)	x
winter CV	/ 1.000	Drain Dowr	n Time (i	mins) 4	880	Спеск І	Jischarge	e volume	x
		c	torm Du	rations					
15 30 e	50 120	180 24			180 6	500 720	96	0 14	40
13 30 0	120	100 2				/00 / /20	5	0 14	40
R	leturn Period	Climate Ch	ange /	Additiona	al Area	Additional	Flow		
	(vears)	(CC %)	- 0-	(A %	5)	(Q %)	-		
	2	()	0	•	, 0		0		
	30		0		0		0		
	30		40		0		0		
	100		0		0		0		
	100		40		0		0		
	<u>I</u>	Node PERM I	PAVE On	line Orifi	ce Contro	<u>ol</u>			
Flag Value		Donlagos Do	unctroor	n Link	/	-	iomotor	(m) 0.0	10
	2 000		wort Lov	n Link N	v 02.610	Discharg		(11) 0.0 iont 0.6	500
Downstream Link	5.000	11	ivert Lev	er(iii) s	92.010	Discharge	e coemc	ient 0.0	000
		Node GE	O Online	Pumn C	ontrol				
		HOUL OF			.011(101				
Flap Valve	e x	Replaces Do	wnstrea	m Link	\checkmark	Switch o	n depth	(m) 0.0	75
Downstream Linl	k 1.007	Ir	nvert Lev	/el (m)	89.570	Switch o	ff depth	(m) 0.0	50
				• •		1			
De	pth Flow	Depth F	low	Depth	Flow	Depth	Flow		
(n	n) (l/s)	(m)	(I/s)	(m)	(I/s)	(m)	(I/s)		
0.1	100 3.500	0.660 3	.500	1.500	3.500	2.500	3.500		
0.4	400 3.500	1.200 3	.500	2.000	3.500				
	_			_	_				
	<u>1</u>	Node GEO De	epth/Are	a Storage	e Structur	<u>e</u>			
Deep lef Coefficier	nat (ma /h m) 0 (0000	fat. Taa	ter 20			لمبيما	(
Base IIII COEIIICIEI Side Inf Coofficier	nt(m/nr) = 0.0		Dorog	101 2.0	5 ті~	INVE Investored and a	n Level ((11) 89. ns) 104	570
			FUIUS	orty 0.93			npry (III	113/ 190	
Denth	Area Inf Ar	ea Dent	h Area	a InfΔr	ea D	epth Area	a Inf∆	rea	
(m)	(m ²) (m ²)	(m)	(m ²)) (m ²))	(m) (m ²) (m ²	2)	
0.000	54.4 0	0.0 0.66	0 54.4	, ,, 1 C	,).0 0	0.661 0.0	, ()	, 0.0	
0.000		0.00	5.1					-	

Flow+ v10.7 Copyright © 1988-2023 Causeway Technologies Ltd



Node PERM PAVE Carpark Storage Structure

Base Inf Coefficient (m/hr)	0.00000	Invert Level (m)	92.610	Slope (1:X)	2000.0
Side Inf Coefficient (m/hr)	0.00000	Time to half empty (mins)	3900	Depth (m)	
Safety Factor	2.0	Width (m)	21.900	Inf Depth (m)	
Porosity	0.33	Length (m)	21.900		



Results for 2 year Critical Storm Duration. Lowest mass balance: 99.82%

Node Event	US Node	Peak (mins)	Level (m)	Depth (m)	Inflow (I/s)	Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
15 minute summer	SW1	10	90.795	0.033	1.9	0.0168	0.0000	ОК
15 minute summer	SW2	10	90.702	0.056	4.5	0.0274	0.0000	ОК
15 minute summer	SW3	11	90.660	0.054	4.7	0.0184	0.0000	ОК
15 minute summer	SW4	12	90.516	0.057	5.3	0.0184	0.0000	ОК
30 minute summer	SW5	19	90.364	0.062	6.0	0.0199	0.0000	ОК
15 minute summer	SW11	12	90.333	0.103	14.1	0.1169	0.0000	ОК
15 minute summer	DEFENDER	12	90.138	0.092	13.9	0.1037	0.0000	ОК
15 minute summer	SW6	10	91.628	0.018	0.9	0.0065	0.0000	ОК
15 minute summer	SW7	10	91.570	0.030	1.8	0.0127	0.0000	ОК
15 minute summer	SW8	10	91.463	0.060	5.2	0.0321	0.0000	ОК
15 minute summer	SW9	10	91.423	0.068	6.9	0.0274	0.0000	ОК
15 minute summer	SW10	11	91.360	0.073	8.6	0.0290	0.0000	ОК
120 minute summer	GEO	78	89.709	0.139	7.8	7.1634	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	DEMARCATION	1	91.350	0.000	3.3	0.0000	0.0000	ОК
1440 minute summer	PERM PAVE	1440	92.701	0.091	0.7	13.6750	0.0000	ОК

Link Event	US	Link	DS	Outflow	Velocity	Flow/Cap	Link	Discharge
(Upstream Depth)	Node		Node	(I/s)	(m/s)		Vol (m³)	Vol (m³)
15 minute summer	SW1	1.000	SW2	1.9	0.429	0.106	0.0513	
15 minute summer	SW2	1.001	SW3	4.4	0.771	0.249	0.0233	
15 minute summer	SW3	1.002	SW4	4.6	0.806	0.261	0.0867	
15 minute summer	SW4	1.003	SW5	5.2	0.816	0.295	0.1013	
30 minute summer	SW5	1.004	SW11	5.9	0.617	0.335	0.0697	
15 minute summer	SW11	1.005	DEFENDER	13.9	1.146	0.700	0.0814	
15 minute summer	DEFENDER	1.006	GEO	14.0	1.317	0.591	0.0276	
15 minute summer	SW6	2.000	SW7	0.9	0.485	0.031	0.0052	
15 minute summer	SW7	2.001	SW8	1.7	0.391	0.087	0.0493	
15 minute summer	SW8	2.002	SW9	5.1	0.719	0.256	0.0271	
15 minute summer	SW9	2.003	SW10	6.8	0.853	0.343	0.0434	
15 minute summer	SW10	2.004	SW11	8.6	1.058	0.434	0.1143	
120 minute summer	GEO	Pump	DEMARCATION	3.5				19.9
1440 minute summer	PERM PAVE	Orifice	SW11	0.1				



Results for 30 year Critical Storm Duration. Lowest mass balance: 99.82%

Node Event	US Node	Peak (mins)	Level (m)	Depth (m)	Inflow (I/s)	Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
15 minute summer	SW1	12	90.921	0.159	5.3	0.0811	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	SW2	12	90.915	0.269	12.4	0.1314	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	SW3	12	90.898	0.291	13.4	0.0997	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	SW4	12	90.833	0.374	14.9	0.1197	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	SW5	12	90.745	0.443	14.4	0.1430	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	SW11	12	90.681	0.451	35.4	0.5104	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	DEFENDER	12	90.303	0.257	35.2	0.2909	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	SW6	10	91.642	0.032	2.4	0.0113	0.0000	ОК
15 minute summer	SW7	11	91.610	0.070	4.8	0.0297	0.0000	ОК
15 minute summer	SW8	11	91.604	0.201	14.3	0.1074	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	SW9	11	91.568	0.213	17.7	0.0863	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	SW10	11	91.489	0.202	21.8	0.0806	0.0000	SURCHARGED
120 minute summer	GEO	90	89.999	0.429	18.9	22.1678	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	DEMARCATION	1	91.350	0.000	3.5	0.0000	0.0000	ОК
1440 minute winter	PERM PAVE	1410	92.787	0.177	0.9	27.3638	0.0000	SURCHARGED

Link Event	US	Link	DS	Outflow	Velocity	Flow/Cap	Link	Discharge
(Upstream Depth)	Node		Node	(I/s)	(m/s)		Vol (m³)	Vol (m³)
15 minute summer	SW1	1.000	SW2	5.2	0.515	0.296	0.2042	
15 minute summer	SW2	1.001	SW3	11.1	0.869	0.626	0.0704	
15 minute summer	SW3	1.002	SW4	12.6	0.935	0.712	0.2588	
15 minute summer	SW4	1.003	SW5	13.8	0.882	0.776	0.2764	
15 minute summer	SW5	1.004	SW11	16.2	0.920	0.912	0.1268	
15 minute summer	SW11	1.005	DEFENDER	35.2	1.997	1.766	0.1180	
15 minute summer	DEFENDER	1.006	GEO	35.1	1.992	1.481	0.0453	
15 minute summer	SW6	2.000	SW7	2.4	0.617	0.085	0.0146	
15 minute summer	SW7	2.001	SW8	4.8	0.440	0.242	0.1396	
15 minute summer	SW8	2.002	SW9	13.0	0.805	0.650	0.0669	
15 minute summer	SW9	2.003	SW10	17.2	0.979	0.864	0.0951	
15 minute summer	SW10	2.004	SW11	21.7	1.233	1.091	0.2389	
120 minute summer	GEO	Pump	DEMARCATION	3.5				49.8
1440 minute winter	PERM PAVE	Orifice	SW11	0.1				



Node Event	US Node	Peak (mins)	Level (m)	Depth (m)	Inflow (I/s)	Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
15 minute summer	SW1	13	91.304	0.542	7.4	0.2764	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	SW2	13	91.295	0.649	14.2	0.3169	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	SW3	13	91.274	0.668	15.0	0.2284	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	SW4	13	91.187	0.728	15.8	0.2329	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	SW5	13	91.059	0.757	17.4	0.2446	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	SW11	13	90.966	0.736	43.9	0.8330	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	DEFENDER	13	90.387	0.341	43.2	0.3861	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	SW6	12	91.865	0.255	3.4	0.0906	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	SW7	12	91.864	0.324	6.5	0.1379	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	SW8	12	91.848	0.445	17.0	0.2383	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	SW9	12	91.791	0.436	22.3	0.1766	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	SW10	12	91.662	0.375	27.8	0.1499	0.0000	SURCHARGED
120 minute winter	GEO	104	90.225	0.655	18.4	33.8412	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	DEMARCATION	1	91.350	0.000	3.5	0.0000	0.0000	ОК
1440 minute winter	PERM PAVE	1410	92.860	0.250	1.3	39.1189	0.0000	SURCHARGED

Link Event	US	Link	DS	Outflow	Velocity	Flow/Cap	Link	Discharge
(Upstream Depth)	Node		Node	(I/s)	(m/s)		Vol (m³)	Vol (m³)
15 minute summer	SW1	1.000	SW2	5.4	0.537	0.305	0.2042	
15 minute summer	SW2	1.001	SW3	11.9	0.880	0.670	0.0704	
15 minute summer	SW3	1.002	SW4	12.9	1.002	0.727	0.2588	
15 minute summer	SW4	1.003	SW5	15.4	0.874	0.866	0.2764	
15 minute summer	SW5	1.004	SW11	19.7	1.122	1.112	0.1268	
15 minute summer	SW11	1.005	DEFENDER	43.2	2.455	2.171	0.1180	
15 minute summer	DEFENDER	1.006	GEO	43.3	2.460	1.828	0.0453	
15 minute summer	SW6	2.000	SW7	3.8	0.629	0.133	0.0493	
15 minute summer	SW7	2.001	SW8	8.1	0.466	0.409	0.1919	
15 minute summer	SW8	2.002	SW9	16.5	0.935	0.824	0.0669	
15 minute summer	SW9	2.003	SW10	22.0	1.252	1.105	0.0951	
15 minute summer	SW10	2.004	SW11	27.6	1.567	1.387	0.2437	
120 minute winter	GEO	Pump	DEMARCATION	3.5				67.8
1440 minute winter	PERM PAVE	Orifice	SW11	0.1				



Results for 100 year Critical Storm Duration. Lowest mass balance: 99.82%

Node Event	US Node	Peak (mins)	Level (m)	Depth (m)	Inflow (I/s)	Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
15 minute summer	SW1	12	91.181	0.419	6.8	0.2135	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	SW2	13	91.172	0.526	15.1	0.2566	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	SW3	13	91.153	0.547	14.0	0.1870	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	SW4	13	91.074	0.615	14.9	0.1967	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	SW5	13	90.957	0.655	16.5	0.2115	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	SW11	13	90.872	0.642	41.3	0.7262	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	DEFENDER	13	90.359	0.313	40.7	0.3546	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	SW6	12	91.783	0.173	4.0	0.0614	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	SW7	12	91.781	0.241	5.7	0.1029	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	SW8	12	91.767	0.364	15.7	0.1948	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	SW9	12	91.717	0.362	20.8	0.1466	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	SW10	12	91.605	0.318	25.9	0.1271	0.0000	SURCHARGED
120 minute summer	GEO	98	90.154	0.584	23.9	30.1879	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	DEMARCATION	1	91.350	0.000	3.5	0.0000	0.0000	ОК
1440 minute summer	PERM PAVE	1470	92.841	0.231	1.8	35.9718	0.0000	SURCHARGED

Link Event	US	Link	DS	Outflow	Velocity	Flow/Cap	Link	Discharge
(Upstream Depth)	Node		Node	(I/s)	(m/s)		Vol (m³)	Vol (m³)
15 minute summer	SW1	1.000	SW2	6.3	0.531	0.355	0.2042	
15 minute summer	SW2	1.001	SW3	11.1	0.868	0.627	0.0704	
15 minute summer	SW3	1.002	SW4	12.2	0.975	0.686	0.2588	
15 minute summer	SW4	1.003	SW5	15.0	0.850	0.843	0.2764	
15 minute summer	SW5	1.004	SW11	17.9	1.017	1.008	0.1268	
15 minute summer	SW11	1.005	DEFENDER	40.7	2.310	2.043	0.1180	
15 minute summer	DEFENDER	1.006	GEO	40.8	2.316	1.721	0.0453	
15 minute summer	SW6	2.000	SW7	3.5	0.618	0.124	0.0493	
15 minute summer	SW7	2.001	SW8	6.8	0.466	0.343	0.1919	
15 minute summer	SW8	2.002	SW9	15.4	0.875	0.771	0.0669	
15 minute summer	SW9	2.003	SW10	20.5	1.167	1.030	0.0951	
15 minute summer	SW10	2.004	SW11	25.6	1.457	1.290	0.2428	
120 minute summer	GEO	Pump	DEMARCATION	3.5				63.0
1440 minute summer	PERM PAVE	Orifice	SW11	0.1				



Results for 100 year +40% CC Critical Storm Duration. Lowest mass balance: 99.82%

Node Event	US Node	Peak (mins)	Level (m)	Depth (m)	Inflow (I/s)	Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
15 minute summer	SW1	13	91.730	0.968	9.5	0.4934	0.0000	FLOOD RISK
15 minute summer	SW2	13	91.716	1.070	18.0	0.5221	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	SW3	13	91.687	1.081	18.2	0.3696	0.0000	SURCHARGED
120 minute winter	SW4	116	91.669	1.210	9.2	0.3872	0.0000	SURCHARGED
120 minute winter	SW5	116	91.668	1.366	10.7	0.4411	0.0000	SURCHARGED
120 minute winter	SW11	116	91.667	1.437	23.4	1.6252	0.0000	SURCHARGED
120 minute winter	DEFENDER	116	91.663	1.617	23.4	1.8289	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	SW6	12	92.162	0.552	4.3	0.1961	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	SW7	12	92.160	0.620	6.6	0.2642	0.0000	FLOOD RISK
15 minute summer	SW8	12	92.139	0.736	20.9	0.3939	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	SW9	12	92.055	0.700	27.3	0.2834	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	SW10	12	91.863	0.576	33.9	0.2306	0.0000	SURCHARGED
120 minute winter	GEO	116	91.661	2.091	23.3	34.1346	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	DEMARCATION	1	91.350	0.000	3.5	0.0000	0.0000	ОК
1440 minute winter	PERM PAVE	1440	92.937	0.327	1.7	51.3212	0.0000	SURCHARGED

Link Event	US	Link	DS	Outflow	Velocity	Flow/Cap	Link	Discharge
(Upstream Depth)	Node		Node	(I/s)	(m/s)		Vol (m³)	Vol (m³)
15 minute summer	SW1	1.000	SW2	6.9	0.543	0.391	0.2042	
15 minute summer	SW2	1.001	SW3	14.8	0.864	0.834	0.0704	
15 minute summer	SW3	1.002	SW4	14.8	0.949	0.834	0.2588	
120 minute winter	SW4	1.003	SW5	9.2	0.826	0.518	0.2764	
120 minute winter	SW5	1.004	SW11	10.7	0.636	0.600	0.1268	
120 minute winter	SW11	1.005	DEFENDER	23.4	1.330	1.175	0.1180	
120 minute winter	DEFENDER	1.006	GEO	23.3	1.435	0.983	0.0458	
15 minute summer	SW6	2.000	SW7	4.6	0.641	0.163	0.0493	
15 minute summer	SW7	2.001	SW8	9.2	0.524	0.463	0.1919	
15 minute summer	SW8	2.002	SW9	20.0	1.134	0.999	0.0669	
15 minute summer	SW9	2.003	SW10	26.8	1.522	1.343	0.0951	
15 minute summer	SW10	2.004	SW11	33.7	1.913	1.694	0.2465	
120 minute winter	GEO	Pump	DEMARCATION	3.5				85.7
1440 minute winter	PERM PAVE	Orifice	SW11	0.1				

Appendix L – Exceedance Routes

TRANSPORT PLANNING III HIGHWAY'S AND DRAINAGE III FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 BHN. Tel 01920 871 777 e: contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

EAS is a trading name of EAS Transport Planning Uit. Registered in England and Walas No. 5751442



Appendix M - Klargester Treatment Device Mitigation Indices

TRANSPORT PLANNING III HIGHWAY'S AND DRAINAGE III FLOOD RISK 1st Floor Millers House, Roydon Road, Stanstead Abbotts, SG12 BHN. Tel 01920 871 777 et contact@eastp.co.uk www.eastp.co.uk

EAS is a trading name of EAS Transport Planning Uit. Registered in England and Wales No. 5751442

Pollutant retention

It is important to ensure that pollutants in a rainfall event are retained throughout subsequent events. The Downstream Defender[®] Select offers engineers the option of specifying the retention performance of sediments. The Vortex and Vortex Plus models provide sediment retention up to twice the treatment flow rate, and the Advanced Vortex, with its benching skirt creating a calm sediment storage zone, provides sediment retention up to four times the treatment flow rate.

An option for enhancing the retention of hydrocarbons (oils) by storing them as a solid is available, ensuring no wash out during extremely high flows. This option is only is only available for the Advanced Vortex and Vortex Plus models

> Fig 4. Downstream Defender® Select with enhanced sediment retention and enhanced hydrocarbon (oil) retention options.

The Simple Index Approach (SIA)

The Simple Index Approach outlined in CIRIA C753 The SuDS Manual is a water quality design method for sites with a low to medium risk pollution hazard level. Sites with a high risk pollution hazard level should consider a more precautionary approach.

The approach assigns pollution hazard indices to the given land use for three pollutant groups, total suspended solids (TSS), metals and hydrocarbons. SuDS components are then selected until their combined pollution mitigation index score is greater than the pollution hazard index for each pollutant group.

Model	Downstream Defender [®] Select Mitigation Indices ^{(a)(b)}				
	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	Metals	Hydrocarbons (Oils)		
Vortex	0.3	0.2	0.2		
Vortex Plus	0.5	0.4	0.5		
Advanced Vortex	0.5	0.4	0.5		

Notes:

- (a) All mitigation indices supplied by Hydro International Ltd are independently verified and calculated using the methods laid out in the British Water How To Guide: Applying the CIRIA SuDS Manual Simple Index Approach to Proprietary / Manufactured Stormwater Treatment Devices. Performance declarations are available on request or on the British Water website.
- (b) Mitigation Indices quoted for the Downstream Defender® Select are valid when the unit is designed according to the Treatment Flow Rate (see Table 3).

Table 2 - SuDS Mitigation Indices for Downstream Defender® Select



Sizing a Downstream Defender® Select

The Downstream Defender[®] can be sized for different treatment goals and objectives. For design purposes, the selected model's Treatment Flow Rate should be greater than or equal to the site's Water Quality Flow Rate.

The hydraulic capacity of the selected model should be considered with respect to the peak discharge flow rate from the site.

If there is no treatment objective, just betterment, do not use a treatment flow rate and only compare the hydraulic capacity to the peak discharge flow rate.

Model diameter (m)	Treatment flow rate ^{(a)(b)} (l/s)	Hydraulic capacity ^(c) with recommended pipe size (l/s)	Hydraulic capacity ^(d) with maximum pipe size (l/s)	Maximum catchment area m ²	Maximum headloss at treatment flow rate (mm)
1.0	21	46	70	2800	160
1.2	30	84	107	4000	170
1.5	48	144	170	6400	220
1.8	69	217	278	9200	230
2.1	94	271	355	12500	240
2.4	123	422	529	16400	250
3.0	192	652	787	25600	260

Notes:

- (a) The Treatment Flow Rate is based on an annualised removal efficiency of >50% of all particles up to 1000 microns with a mass-median particle size (D50) of 63 microns and a specific gravity of 2.65. The testing was conducted in line with the British Water Code of Practice.
- (b) Alternative sizing based on different sediment grades available on request.
- (c) Maximum flow rate that can pass through the chamber with a maximum headloss of 500mm. Figures shown are when using the recommended pipe size in Table 5.
- (d) Maximum flow rate that can pass through the chamber with a maximum headloss of 500mm. Figures shown are when using the maximum pipe size in Table 5.

Table 3 - Downstream Defender® Select design information.



